arXiv:1206.1184v2 [math.DG] 6 Nov 2012

Convergence of scalar-flat metrics on manifolds with boundary under the Yamabe flow

Sérgio Almaraz [*]

Abstract

This paper is concerned with a Yamabe-type flow for compact Riemannian manifolds with boundary. The convergence of this flow is established if the manifold with boundary satisfies either a generic condition or a positive mass theorem.

Contents

1 Introduction 2

2 Preliminary results and longtime existence 5

3 The test-function 10
3.1 The auxiliary function ϕ and some algebraic preliminaries . . . 11
3.2 Defining the test function $\bar{u}_{(x,\epsilon)}$ and estimating its Sobolev quotient 15
3.3 Further estimates 26

4 Blow-up analysis 29
4.1 The case $u_\infty \equiv 0$ 30
4.2 The case $u_\infty > 0$ 39

5 Proof of the main theorem 55

6 Appendix A - Some elliptic estimates 60

7 Appendix B - Construction of the Green function 64

8 Appendix C - The asymptotic behavior of Palais-Smale sequences 75

---

[*] Supported by CAPES and FAPERJ (Brazil).

1 Introduction

Let M^n be a closed manifold with dimension $n \geq 3$. In order to solve the Yamabe problem (see [47]), R. Hamilton introduced the Yamabe flow, which evolves Riemannian metrics on M according to the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -(R_{g(t)} - \overline{R}_{g(t)})g(t)\,,$$

where R_g denotes the scalar curvature of the metric g and $\overline{R}_g$ stands for the average $\left(\int_M dv_g\right)^{-1} \int_M R_g dv_g$. Here, dv_g is the volume form of (M, g). Although the Yamabe problem was solved using a different approach in [6, 37, 45], the Yamabe flow is a natural geometric deformation to metrics of constant scalar curvature. The convergence of the Yamabe flow on closed manifolds to a constant scalar curvature metric was studied by B. Chow [20], H. Schwetlick and M. Struwe [41], and R. Ye [48]. This question was completed solved by S. Brendle in [12, 13], where the author makes use of the positive mass theorem.

In this work we study the convergence of a similar flow on compact n-dimensional manifolds with boundary, when $n \geq 3$. For those manifolds, J. Escobar raised the question of existence of conformal scalar-flat metrics on M which have the boundary as a constant mean curvature hypersurface. This problem was studied by J. Escobar [26, 27], F. Marques [33, 34], the author [3], and S. Chen [17]. The question of existence of conformal metrics with constant scalar curvature and minimal boundary was proposed in [25] and studied in [14, 25] (see also [5, 30]).

Let (M^n, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M and dimension $n \geq 3$. We consider the following conformal invariant defined in [26]:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(M, \partial M) &= \inf_{g \in [g_0]} \frac{\int_M R_g dv_g + 2\int_{\partial M} H_g d\sigma_g}{\left(\int_{\partial M} d\sigma_g\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}} \\ &= \inf_{\{\,u \in C^1(\bar{M}), u \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } \partial M\}} \frac{\int_M \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}|du|_{g_0}^2 + R_{g_0}u^2\right) dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_0}u^2 d\sigma_{g_0}}{\left(\int_{\partial M} |u|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

where H_g and $d\sigma_g$ denote respectively the trace of the 2nd fundamental form and the volume form of ∂M, with respect to the metric g, and $[g_0]$ stands for the conformal class of the metric g_0.

In [11], S. Brendle defined the following formulation of the Yamabe flow for manifolds with boundary (for a formulation with minimal boundary see [11, 21]):

$$\begin{cases} R_{g(t)} = 0\,, & \text{in } M\,, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -2(H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})g(t)\,, & \text{on } \partial M\,. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and proved short-time existence of a unique solution to (1.1) for a given initial metric. Here, $\overline{H}_g$ stands for the average $\left(\int_{\partial M} d\sigma_g\right)^{-1}\int_{\partial M} H_g d\sigma_g$. In the same paper he proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *(S. Brendle, 2002) Suppose that:*
(i) $Q(M,\partial M) \leq 0$;
or
(ii) $Q(M,\partial M) > 0$, *M is locally conformally flat with umbilic boundary, and the boundary of the universal cover of M is connected.*

Then, for every initial scalar-flat metric $g(0)$ *on M, the Yamabe flow* (1.1) *exists for all time* $t \geq 0$ *and converges to a scalar-flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary.*

In order to handle the general case for manifolds with boundary, we expect a certain positive mass theorem to hold. We will use the following definition:

Definition 1.2. *Let* (M^n, g) *be a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with boundary. We say that M is asymptotically flat with order* $p > 0$ *if there is a compact set* $K \subset M$ *such that* $M\backslash K$ *is diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}^n_+\backslash\overline{B^+_1(0)}$ *and there is a diffeomorphism* $f : M\backslash K \to \mathbb{R}^n_+\backslash\overline{B^+_1(0)}$ *such that, in the coordinate chart defined by f, we have*

$$|g_{ab}(y) - \delta_{ij}| + |y||g_{ab,c}(y)| + |y|^2|g_{ab,cd}(y)| = O(|y|^{-p}), \quad \text{as } |y| \to \infty,$$

where $a, b, c, d = 1, ..., n$.

Here, $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{(y_1, ..., y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n\,;\ y_n \geq 0\}$ and $\overline{B^+_1(0)} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+\,;\ |y| \leq 1\}$.

We suppose that the Riemannian manifold (M^n, g), $n \geq 3$, with boundary is asymptotically flat with order $p > \frac{n-2}{2}$. Let $(y_1, ..., y_n)$ be the coordinates induced by the diffeomorphism f as in the definition above. We also assume $|R_g(y)| = O(|y|^{-q_1})$, $|H_g(y)| = O(|y|^{1-q_2})$ as $|y| \to \infty$, for some $q_1, q_2 > n$. Then the limit

$$\begin{aligned} m(g) := \lim_{R\to\infty}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n_+,\,|y|=R}&\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_a},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_a}g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\right)\right\}\frac{y_a}{|y|}\,d\sigma_R \\ &+ \lim_{R\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\int_{y\in\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+,\,|y|=R} g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\right)\frac{y_i}{|y|}\,d\sigma_R \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

exists. (This fact was pointed out to me by F. Marques.) We expect the following to be true:

Conjecture 1.3 (Positive mass theorem). *If* $R_g, H_g \geq 0$, *then we have* $m(g) \geq 0$ *and the equality holds if and only if M is isometric to* $\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Remark. S. Raulot [36] has proved this conjecture for an asymptotically manifold which is obtained as a generalized stereographic projection of a compact spin manifold N with boundary ∂N and positive Yamabe quotient. This stereographic projection is performed around a point $x_0 \in \partial N$ using a Green's function, and the author also requires that x_0 has a locally conformally flat with umbilic boundary neighbourhood. Some other particular cases were proved by J. Escobar in the appendix of [25].

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M^n, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M, dimension $n \geq 3$, not conformally diffeomorphic to the unit ball B^n, and satisfying $Q(M, \partial M) > 0$. We define*

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{x_0 \in \partial M\,;\ \limsup_{x \to x_0} d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{2-d} |W_{g_0}(x)| = \limsup_{x \to x_0} d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{1-d} |\pi_{g_0}(x)| = 0\}\,,$$

where W_{g_0} denotes the Weyl tensor of M, π_{g_0} the trace-free second fundamental form of ∂M, and $d = \left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$ or the Conjecture 1.3 is true. Then, for any initial scalar-flat metric $g(0)$, the Yamabe flow (1.1) exists for all time $t \geq 0$ and converges to a scalar-flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary.

In particular, our main theorem holds when the trace-free second fundamental form of ∂M is nonzero everywhere. In dimensions $n \geq 4$, the set of metrics which satisfy this condition is an open and dense subset of the space of all Riemannian metrics on M. This hypothesis was used in [2] to prove compactness of the set of solutions to the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 follows the arguments in [12]. An essential step is the construction of a family of test-functions on M whose energies are uniformly bounded by the Sobolev's quotient $Q(B^n, \partial B)$. This construction is inspired by the test functions introduced by S. Brendle in [13] for the case of closed manifolds. The functions we use here were obtained in [17] in the case of umbilic boundary, where S. Chen addresses the existence of solutions to the Yamabe problem for manifolds with boundary, using an approach similar to the one in [14]. In the present work, we extend those functions to the case when the boundary does not need to be umbilic.

The positive mass theorem is used when estimating the energies of the test-functions. We should mention that if ∂M is umbilic (see [17]), the positive mass theorem required in Theorem 1.4 is the one for manifolds without boundary, known in the spin case in any dimensions (see [46]) and for $n \leq 7$ in general (see [38, 39]).

Another crucial result used in the proof of our main theorem is a modification of a compactness theorem due to M. Struwe ([44]). This is the content of Appendix C.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some preliminaries and prove the longtime existence of the flow. In Section 3, we construct the necessary test-functions by modifying the arguments in [17]. In Section 4, we make use of the compactness theorem of Appendix C to carry out a blow-up analysis using the test-functions. In Section 5, we first use the blow-up analysis to prove a result which is analogous to Proposition 3.3 of [12]. Then we use this result to prove the main theorem by estimating the solution to the flow uniformly in $t \geq 0$. In the Appendix A, we establish some elliptic estimates used in the rest of the paper. In the Appendix B we construct the Green function used in this work and prove some of its properties.

2 Preliminary results and longtime existence

Notation. *In the rest of this paper, M^n will denote a compact manifold with boundary ∂M and dimension $n \geq 3$, and g_0 will denote a background Riemannian metric on M. We assume that (M, g_0) satisfies $Q(M, \partial M) > 0$. According to Proposition 1.4 of [26] we can also assume that $R_{g_0} \equiv 0$ and $H_{g_0} > 0$ after a conformal change of the metric. Multiplying g_0 by a positive constant we can suppose that $\int_{\partial M} d\sigma_{g_0} = 1$.*

The Sobolev spaces $H^p(M)$ and $L^p(M)$ are defined with respect to the metric g_0, and $H^p(\partial M)$ and $L^p(\partial M)$ with respect to the induced metric on ∂M.

We will adopt the summation convention whenever confusion is not possible and use indices $a, b, c, d = 1, ..., n$ and $i, j, k, l = 1, ..., n-1$.

We will denote by $B_r(x)$ (resp. $D_r(x)$) the metric ball in M (resp. ∂M) with center $x \in M$ (resp. $x \in \partial M$) and radius r. These balls are chosen with respect to the metric g_0.

For any Riemannian metric g on M, η_g will denote the inward unit normal vector to ∂M respect to g and Δ_g the Laplace-Beltrami operator,.

If $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, we set $B^+_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \,;\, |z - z_0| < r\}$,

$$\partial^+ B^+_r(z_0) = \partial B^+_r(z_0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \quad \textit{and} \quad \partial' B^+_r(z_0) = B^+_r(z_0) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+\,.$$

Finally, for any $z = (z_1, ..., z_{n-1}, z_n)$ we set $\bar{z} = (z_1, ..., z_{n-1}, 0) \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

If $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$ for some positive smooth function u on M, we know that

$$\begin{cases} R_g = u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(-\dfrac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_{g_0} u + R_{g_0} \right), & \text{in } M\,, \\ H_g = u^{-\frac{n}{n-2}} \left(-\dfrac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} u + H_{g_0} u \right), & \text{on } \partial M\,, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and the operators $L_g = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_g u - R_g$ and $B_g = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_g} u - H_g$ satisfy

$$L_{u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0}(u^{-1}\zeta) = u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} L_{g_0}\zeta, \tag{2.2}$$

$$B_{u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0}(u^{-1}\zeta) = u^{-\frac{n}{n-2}} B_{g_0}\zeta\,. \tag{2.3}$$

for any smooth function ζ.

If $u(t)=u(\cdot,t)$ is a 1-parameter family of positive smooth functions on M and $g(t)=u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_0$ with $R_{g_0}\equiv 0$, then (1.1) can be written as

$$\begin{cases}\Delta_{g_0}u(t)=0\,, & \text{in}\, M\,,\\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t)=-\dfrac{n-2}{2}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})u(t)\,, & \text{on}\,\partial M\,.\end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

The second equation of (2.4) can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t)=(n-1)u(t)^{-\frac{2}{n-2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}u(t)-\frac{n-2}{2}H_{g_0}u(t)^{1-\frac{2}{n-2}}\\ -\frac{n-2}{2}u(t)\int_{\partial M}\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}u(t)-H_{g_0}u(t)\right)u(t)d\sigma_{g_0}\,.\end{aligned}$$

on ∂M. We recall that short-time existence of solutions to those equations was obtained in [11].

According to Lemma 3.8 of [11], the function $H_{g(t)}$ on ∂M can be extended to a smooth function on M satisfying

$$\begin{cases}\Delta_{g(t)}H_{g(t)}=0\,, & \text{in}\, M\,,\\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}H_{g(t)}=(n-1)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g(t)}}H_{g(t)}+H_{g(t)}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})\,, & \text{on}\,\partial M\,.\end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Hence, the evolution equations for the volume form $d\sigma_{g(t)}$ of ∂M and for $\overline{H}_{g(t)}$ are given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}d\sigma_{g(t)}=-(n-1)(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})d\sigma_{g(t)} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{H}_{g(t)}=-(n-2)\int_{\partial M}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})^2d\sigma_{g(t)} \tag{2.7}$$

respectively. In particular, $\overline{H}_{g(t)}$ is decreasing and we can assume that

$$\int_{\partial M}d\sigma_{g(t)}=1\,,\quad \text{for all}\, t\geq 0\,.$$

Hence, we observe that $\overline{H}_{g(t)}\geq\frac{1}{2}Q(M,\partial M)>0$ for all $t\geq 0$.

The next proposition is an application of the maximum principle to the equations (2.5).

Proposition 2.1. *For all* $t\geq 0$ *we have* $\inf_{\partial M}H_{g(t)}\geq\min\{\inf_{\partial M}H_{g(0)},0\}$.

We set $\sigma=1-\min\{0,\inf_{\partial M}H_{g(0)}\}=\max\{\sup_{\partial M}(1-H_{g(0)}),1\}$. Then $H_{g(t)}+\sigma\geq 1$ for all $t\geq 0$, by Proposition 2.1.

In order to prove that a solution $u(t)$ to the equations (2.4) exists for all $t\geq 0$, we will prove uniform estimates for $u(t)$ on each time interval $[0,T]$.

Proposition 2.2. *For each* $T>0$ *there exist* $C(T), c(T) > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup_M u(t) \leq C(T) \quad \textit{and} \quad \inf_M u(t) \geq c(T)\,, \quad \textit{for all } t \in [0,T]\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. It follows from the evolution equations (2.4), (2.7) and from the inequality $H_{g(t)} + \sigma \geq 1$ that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\log u(t) = -\frac{n-2}{2}(H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)}) \leq \frac{n-2}{2}(\overline{H}_{g(0)} + \sigma)\,, \quad \text{on } \partial M\,.$$

Then there exists $C(T) > 0$ such that $\sup_{\partial M} u(t) \leq C(T)$ for all $t \in [0,T]$, and the first estimate of (2.8) follows from the maximum principle.

In order to prove the second one, we are first going to prove that there exists $c(T) > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(M)} \geq c(T)\,, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,T]\,. \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose by contradiction this is not true. Then there exists a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset [0,T]$ such that $u_j = u(t_j) \to 0$ in $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(M)$. Using the formulas (2.1), (2.7) and the boundary area normalization we see that

$$\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|du(t)|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} u(t)^2 d\sigma_{g_0} = \overline{H}_{g(t)} \leq \overline{H}_{g(0)}\,, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence, there exists $u_0 \in H^1(M)$ such that $u_j \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $H^1(M)$ as $j \to \infty$, up to a subsequence. By the Sobolev's embedding theorems, we can also assume that $u_j \to u_0$ in $L^2(\partial M)$ and in $L^2(M)$. Since we are assuming $u_j \to 0$ in $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(M)$, we see that $u_0 \equiv 0$ a.e., and thus $u_j \to 0$ in $L^2(\partial M)$. Since $\sup_{\partial M} u(t) \leq C(T)$ for all $t \in [0,T]$, it follows that $u_j \to 0$ in $L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)$. This contradicts the boundary area normalization and proves the estimate (2.9).

We set $P = H_{g_0} + \sigma\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\sup_{\partial M} u(t)\Big)^{\frac{2}{n-1}}$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} + Pu(t) &\geq -\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} + H_{g_0}u(t) + \sigma u(t)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \\
&= (H_{g(t)} + \sigma)u(t)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \geq 0\,, \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T]\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Proposition A-3 that there exists $c(T) > 0$ such that

$$\Big(\inf_M u(t)\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}\Big(\sup_M u(t)\Big)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \geq c(T)\left(\int_M u(t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. Then the second estimate of (2.8) easily follows using the fact that $\sup_M u(t) \leq C(T)$. □

Now we proceed as in [11] p.642 to conclude that all higher order derivatives of u are uniformly bounded on every fixed interval $[0,T]$. This implies the existence of the solution $u(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Notation. *We define*

$$\overline{H}_\infty = \lim_{t\to\infty} \overline{H}_{g(t)} > 0\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Next we will establish some auxiliary results to be used in the rest of the paper.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $p > 2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\partial M} (H_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1} d\sigma_{g(t)} = \\
&\quad -\frac{4(n-1)(p-2)}{p-1}\int_M \left|d(H_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right|^2_{g(t)} dv_{g(t)} \\
&\quad -(n-p)\int_{\partial M}\left\{(H_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1}-(\overline{H}_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1}\right\}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})d\sigma_{g(t)} \\
&\quad -(p-1)\int_{\partial M}\sigma\left\{(H_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-2}-(\overline{H}_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-2}\right\}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})d\sigma_{g(t)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This lemma is a direct computation using the equations (2.5) and (2.6). □

Lemma 2.4. *For any $p > n-1$ there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)} \leq\, & C\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)} \\
&+ C\left\{\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\right\}^{\frac{p+2-n}{p+1-n}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for all t.

Proof. From the evolution equations (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)} \\
&= p(n-1)\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{p-2}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})\frac{\partial H_{g(t)}}{\partial \eta_{g(t)}} d\sigma_{g(t)} \\
&+ p\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p H_{g(t)} d\sigma_{g(t)} \\
&-(n-1)\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p (H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}) d\sigma_{g(t)} \\
&+ p(n-2)\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{p-2}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})d\sigma_{g(t)}\int_{\partial M}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 d\sigma_{g(t)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$p\int_M |f|^{p-2} f\Delta_g f dv_g + \frac{4(p-1)}{p}\int_M \left|d|f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right|^2_g dv_g = -p\int_{\partial M}|f|^{p-2}f\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_g}d\sigma_g\,,$$

we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\\
&=-\frac{(p-1)(n-2)}{p}\Big\{\int_M \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\big|d|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big|^2 dv_{g(t)}\\
&+\int_{\partial M}2H_{g(t)}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\Big\}\\
&+\left(\frac{2(p-1)(n-2)}{p}+p+1-n\right)\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})d\sigma_{g(t)}\\
&+\left(\frac{2(p-1)(n-2)}{p}+p\right)\int_{\partial M}\overline{H}_{g(t)}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\\
&+p(n-2)\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{p-2}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})d\sigma_{g(t)}\int_{\partial M}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 d\sigma_{g(t)}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p > n-1$ and $\overline{H}_{g(t)}$ is nonincreasing, using Hölder's inequality and the fact that $\int_{\partial M} d\sigma_{g(t)} = 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)} \qquad (2.13)\\
&\leq -\frac{(p-1)(n-2)}{p}Q(M,\partial M)\left\{\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g(t)}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\\
&+\left(\frac{2(p-1)(n-2)}{p}+p+1-n\right)\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{p+1}d\sigma_{g(t)}\\
&+\left(\frac{2(p-1)(n-2)}{p}+p\right)\int_{\partial M}\overline{H}_{g_0}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\\
&+p(n-2)\left\{\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\right\}^{\frac{p+1}{p}}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Young's inequality $AB \leq \alpha A^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + (1-\alpha)A^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$ to the interpolation identity $\|f\|^{p+1}_{L^{p+1}(\partial M)} \leq \|f\|^{\alpha p}_{L^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)}\|f\|^{1+(1-\alpha)p}_{L^p(\partial M)}$ with $\alpha = \frac{n-1}{p} < 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{p+1}d\sigma_{g(t)} \leq& \delta\left\{\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g(t)}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\\
&+\delta^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}\left\{\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\right\}^{\frac{p+2-n}{p+1-n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $0 < \delta < 1$. Choosing δ small, we substitute this last inequality in (2.13) and apply again the Young's inequality to obtain the estimate (2.12). $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Fix $n-1<p<n$. Then*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}=0\,.$$

Proof. Since $p>n-1\geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\partial M}(H_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1}d\sigma_{g(t)}\\&\qquad\leq -(n-p)\int_{\partial M}\left\{(H_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1}-(\overline{H}_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1}\right\}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})\,d\sigma_{g(t)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

One can also check that

$$\left\{(H_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1}-(\overline{H}_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1}\right\}(H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)})\geq c|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p\,.$$

Hence, for $p<n$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\partial M}(H_{g(t)}+\sigma)^{p-1}d\sigma_{g(t)}\leq -c\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\,.$$

Integrating we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}\,dt\leq c^{-1}\int_{\partial M}(H_{g_0}+\sigma)^{p-1}d\sigma_{g_0}\,,$$

which implies

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}=0\,.$$

On the other hand, since $p>n-1$, we can apply Lemma 2.4 to conclude the proof. □

Corollary 2.6. *For any $1<p<n$ we have*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\partial M}|H_{g(t)}-\overline{H}_\infty|^p d\sigma_{g(t)}=0\,.$$

3 The test-function

In this section we will construct a test-function that will be used in our subsequent blow-up analysis. Since our construction follows the same steps of [17], we will just point out the necessary modifications.

3.1 The auxiliary function ϕ and some algebraic preliminaries

First we need to establish some notations. We set $d = \left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]$ and, if $\epsilon > 0$,

$$U_\epsilon(y) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{(\epsilon + y_n)^2 + |\bar{y}|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \quad \text{for } \ y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \tag{3.1}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta U_\epsilon = 0\,, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \\ \partial_n U_\epsilon + (n-2)U_\epsilon^{\frac{n}{n-2}} = 0\,, & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$4(n-1)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon(y)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}dy\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = Q(B^n, \partial B)\,. \tag{3.3}$$

In this section, H will denote a symmetric trace-free 2-tensor on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ with components H_{ab}, $a, b = 1, ..., n$, satisfying

$$\begin{cases} H_{ab}(0) = 0\,, & \text{for all } a, b = 1, ..., n\,, \\ H_{an}(x) = 0\,, & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+,\ a = 1, ..., n\,, \\ \partial_k H_{ij}(0) = 0\,, & \text{for all } i, j, k = 1, ..., n-1\,, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j H_{ij}(x) = 0\,, & \text{for all } x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+,\ i = 1, ..., n-1\,. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

We will also assume that those components are of the form

$$H_{ab}(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} h_{ab,\alpha}x^\alpha \qquad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where each α stands for a multi-index. Obviously, the constants $h_{ab,\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $h_{an,\alpha} = 0$ for $a = 1, ..., n$ and $h_{ij,k} = 0$ for $i, j, k = 1, ..., n-1$.

Notation. *Let $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative smooth function such that $\eta|_{[0,4/3]} \equiv 1$ and $\eta|_{[5/3,\infty)} \equiv 0$. For $\rho > 0$ we define*

$$\eta_\rho(x) = \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{\rho}\right) \quad \textit{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+\,. \tag{3.6}$$

Notice that $\partial_n \eta_\rho = 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Let $V = V(\epsilon, \rho, H)$ be the smooth vector field on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ obtained in Proposition 12 of [17], which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{b=1}^n \partial_b \left\{U_\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\eta_\rho H_{ab} - \partial_a V_b - \partial_b V_a + \frac{2}{n}(\operatorname{div} V)\delta_{ab})\right\} = 0\,, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \\ \partial_n V_i = V_n = 0\,, & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

for $a = 1, ..., n$, $i = 1, ..., n-1$, and

$$|\partial^\beta V(x)| \leq C(n, |\beta|) \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|(\epsilon + |x|)^{|\alpha|+1-|\beta|} \tag{3.8}$$

for each multi-index β. Here,

$$\delta_{ab} = \begin{cases} 1\,, & \text{if } a = b\,, \\ 0\,, & \text{if } a \neq b\,. \end{cases}$$

We define symmetric trace-free 2-tensors S and T on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ by $S_{ab} = \partial_a V_b + \partial_b V_a - \frac{2}{n}(\text{div}V)\delta_{ab}$ and $T = H - S$, respectively. Observe that $T_{in} = S_{in} = 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ for $i = 1, ..., n-1$. It follows from (3.7) that T satisfies

$$U_\epsilon \partial_b T_{ab} + \frac{2n}{n-2} \partial_b U_\epsilon T_{ab} = 0\,, \quad \text{in } B^+_\rho(0)\,, \quad \text{for } a = 1, ..., n\,.$$

(Recall that we are adopting the summation convention whenener confusion is not possible.) In particular,

$$\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} U_\epsilon \partial_a \partial_b T_{ab} + \partial_a(\partial_b U_\epsilon T_{ab}) = 0\,, \quad \text{in } B^+_\rho(0)\,, \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used the identity $U_\epsilon \partial_a \partial_b U_\epsilon - \frac{n}{n-2} \partial_a U_\epsilon \partial_b U_\epsilon = -\frac{1}{n-2}|dU_\epsilon|^2 \delta_{ab}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ for all $a, b = 1, ..., n$.

Next we define the auxiliary function $\phi = \phi_{\epsilon,\rho,H}$ by

$$\phi = \partial_a U_\epsilon V_a + \frac{n-2}{2n} U_\epsilon \text{div} V\,, \tag{3.10}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} U_\epsilon \partial_b \partial_a H_{ab} + \partial_b(\partial_a U_\epsilon H_{ab}), & \text{in } B^+_\rho(0)\,, \\ \partial_n \phi - \frac{n}{n-2} U_\epsilon^{-1} \partial_n U_\epsilon \phi = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \partial_n U_\epsilon S_{nn}, & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+\,. \end{cases}$$

according to Propositions 1 and 5 in [17] together with the equation (3.9).

Observe that if $n = 3$ then $d = 0$. In this case, $H \equiv 0$ and $\phi \equiv 0$.

Convention. In the rest of Section 3.1 we will assume that $n \geq 4$.

We define algebraic Schouten tensor and algebraic Weyl tensor by

$$A_{ac} = \partial_c \partial_e H_{ae} + \partial_a \partial_e H_{ce} - \partial_e \partial_e H_{ac} - \frac{1}{n-1} \partial_e \partial_f H_{ef} \delta_{ac}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{abcd} = {} & \partial_b \partial_d H_{ac} - \partial_b \partial_c H_{ad} + \partial_a \partial_c H_{db} - \partial_a \partial_d H_{bc} \\ & + \frac{1}{n-2} \left(A_{ac}\delta_{bd} - A_{ad}\delta_{bc} + A_{bd}\delta_{ac} - A_{bc}\delta_{db} \right)\,. \end{aligned}$$

We also set

$$Q_{ab,c} = U_\epsilon \partial_c T_{ab} - \frac{2}{n-2}\partial_a U_\epsilon T_{bc} - \frac{2}{n-2}\partial_b U_\epsilon T_{ac} + \frac{2}{n-2}\partial_d U_\epsilon T_{ad}\delta_{bc} + \frac{2}{n-2}\partial_d U_\epsilon T_{bd}\delta_{ac}\,.$$

Lemma 3.1. *If the tensor H satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} Z_{abcd} = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \\ \partial_n H_{ij} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+\,, \end{cases}$$

then $H = 0$, in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Proof. Observe that the hypothesis $\partial_n H_{ij} = 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ implies that $h_{ij,n} = 0$. In this case, the expression (3.5) can be written as

$$H_{ab}(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{d} h_{ab,\alpha} x^\alpha\,.$$

Now the result is just Proposition 5 of [14]. □

Proposition 3.2. *We set $U_r = B_{r/4}(0, ..., 0, \frac{3r}{2}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Then there exists $C = C(n) > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 r^{2|\alpha|-4+n} \leq C\int_{U_r} Z_{abcd}Z_{abcd} + Cr^{-1}\int_{\partial' B^+_{\frac{5r}{3}}(0)\backslash \partial' B^+_{\frac{4r}{3}}(0)} \partial_n H_{ij}\partial_n H_{ij}\,,$$

for all $r > 0$.

Proof. If $r = 1$, we just observe that the square roots of both sides of the inequality are norms in H, due to Lemma 3.1. The general case follows by scaling. □

Lemma 3.3. *There exists $C = C(n) > 0$ such that*

$$\epsilon^{n-2} r^{6-2n}\int_{U_r} Z_{abcd}Z_{abcd} \leq \frac{C}{\theta}\int_{B^+_{2r}(0)\backslash B^+_r(0)} Q_{ab,c}Q_{ab,c} + \theta\epsilon^{n-2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 r^{2|\alpha|+2-n}$$

for all $0 < \theta < 1$ and all $r \geq \epsilon$.

Proof. This follows from the third formula in the proof of Proposition 7 in [14], by using Young's inequality. Observe that in our calculations we are using $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq d$ in the summation formulas instead of $2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d$, which are used in [14]. □

Lemma 3.4. *There exists* $C = C(n) > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon^{n-2} r^{5-2n} \int_{\partial' B^+_{\frac{5r}{3}}(0)\setminus \partial' B^+_{\frac{4r}{3}}(0)} \partial_n H_{ij} \partial_n H_{ij} \\
&\qquad \leq \theta \epsilon^{n-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 r^{2|\alpha|+2-n} \\
&\qquad\quad + C \int_{\partial' B^+_{2r}(0)\setminus \partial' B^+_r(0)} (-\partial_n U_\epsilon) U_\epsilon (S_{nn})^2 + \frac{C}{\theta} \int_{B^+_{2r}(0)\setminus B^+_r(0)} Q_{ij,n} Q_{ij,n}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \theta < 1$ *and all* $r \geq \epsilon$.

Proof. Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative smooth function such that $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \in \left[\frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3}\right]$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \notin [1, 2]$. For $r > 0$ we define $\chi_r(x) = \chi\left(\frac{|x|}{r}\right)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. It follows from the formula $\partial_n S_{ij} = \frac{2n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \partial_n U_\epsilon U_\epsilon^{-1} S_{nn} \delta_{ij}$ (see the proof of Proposition 5 in [17]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_\epsilon \partial_n U_\epsilon (S_{nn})^2 &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)^2}{4n^2} U_\epsilon^3 (\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} \partial_n S_{ij} \partial_n S_{ij} \\
&= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)^2}{4n^2} U_\epsilon^3 (\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} (\partial_n H_{ij} - \partial_n T_{ij})(\partial_n H_{ij} - \partial_n T_{ij}) \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\frac{1}{2}a^2 \leq (a-b)^2 + b^2$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon (-\partial_n U_\epsilon)(S_{nn})^2 \chi_r + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)^2}{4n^2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon^3 (-\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} \partial_n T_{ij} \partial_n T_{ij} \chi_r \quad (3.11) \\
\geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)^2}{8n^2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon^3 (-\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} \partial_n H_{ij} \partial_n H_{ij} \chi_r \\
\geq C^{-1} \epsilon^{n-2} r^{5-2n} \int_{\partial' B^+_{\frac{5r}{3}}(0)\setminus \partial' B^+_{\frac{4r}{3}}(0)} \partial_n H_{ij} \partial_n H_{ij} \,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(n) > 0$. Since $U_\epsilon \partial_n T_{ij} = Q_{ij,n}$ on $\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+$, integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon^3 (-\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} \partial_n T_{ij} \partial_n T_{ij} \chi_r &= \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon (-\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} Q_{ij,n} Q_{ij,n} \chi_r \quad (3.12) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \partial_n \Big\{ U_\epsilon (\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} Q_{ij,n} Q_{ij,n} \chi_r \Big\} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} Q_{ij,n} Q_{ij,n} \chi_r - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon (\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-2} (\partial_n \partial_n U_\epsilon) Q_{ij,n} Q_{ij,n} \chi_r \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} 2 U_\epsilon (\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} \partial_n Q_{ij,n} Q_{ij,n} \chi_r + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon (\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1} Q_{ij,n} Q_{ij,n} \partial_n \chi_r
\end{aligned}$$

Estimating the terms on the right hand side of (3.12) and using Hölder's and Young's inequalities we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} U_\epsilon^3(-\partial_n U_\epsilon)^{-1}\partial_n T_{ij}\partial_n T_{ij}\chi_r \tag{3.13}$$

$$\leq C\left\{\epsilon^{n-2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d}|h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 r^{2|\alpha|+2-n}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\left\{\int_{B^+_{2r}(0)\backslash B^+_r(0)} Q_{ij,n}Q_{ij,n}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \theta\epsilon^{n-2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d}|h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 r^{2|\alpha|+2-n}+\frac{C}{\theta}\int_{B^+_{2r}(0)\backslash B^+_r(0)} Q_{ij,n}Q_{ij,n}\,.$$

Now the result follows from the estimates (3.11) and (3.13). □

Proposition 3.5. *There exists* $\lambda = \lambda(n) > 0$ *such that*

$$\lambda\epsilon^{n-2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d}|h_{ij,\alpha}|^2\int_{B^+_\rho(0)}(\epsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}dx \leq \frac{1}{4}\int_{B^+_\rho(0)} Q_{ab,c}Q_{ab,c}dx - \frac{n^2}{2(n-1)(n-2)}\int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)}\partial_n U_\epsilon U_\epsilon (S_{nn})^2 dx$$

for all $\rho \geq 2\epsilon$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 that

$$\epsilon^{n-2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d}|h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 r^{2|\alpha|+2-n} \leq C\int_{\partial' B^+_{2r}(0)\backslash\partial' B^+_r(0)}(-\partial_n U_\epsilon)U_\epsilon(S_{nn})^2 + C\int_{B^+_{2r}(0)\backslash B^+_r(0)} Q_{ab,c}Q_{ab,c}$$

for all $r \geq \epsilon$. Now the assertion follows. □

3.2 Defining the test function $\bar{u}_{(x,\epsilon)}$ and estimating its Sobolev quotient

We first recall the definition of Fermi coordinates:

Definition 3.6. *Let* $x_0 \in \partial M$. *We choose geodesic normal coordinates* $(x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$ *on the boundary, centered at* x_0, *of the point* $x \in \partial M$. *We say that* $(x_1, ..., x_n)$, *for small* $x_n \geq 0$, *are the Fermi coordinates (centered at* x_0*) of the point* $\exp_x(x_n \nu(x)) \in M$. *Here, we denote by* $\nu(x)$ *the inward unit vector normal to* ∂M *at* $x \in \partial M$.

For small $\rho > 0$, the Fermi coordinates centered at x_0 define a smooth map $\psi_{x_0} : B^+_\rho(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to M$. We will sometimes omit the symbols ψ_{x_0} in order to simplify our notations, identifying $\psi_{x_0}(x) \in M$ with $x \in B^+_\rho(0)$. In those coordinates we have the properties $g_{ab}(0) = \delta_{ab}$ and $g_{nb}(x) = \delta_{nb}$ for any $x \in B^+_\rho(0)$

and $a, b = 1, \dots, n$. If we write $g = \exp(h)$, then the symmetric 2-tensor h satisfies the following properties

$$\begin{cases} h_{ab}(0) = 0\,, & \text{for all } a, b = 1, \dots, n\,, \\ h_{an}(x) = 0\,, & \text{for all } x \in B^+_\rho(0),\ a = 1, \dots, n\,, \\ \partial_k h_{ij}(0) = 0\,, & \text{for all } i, j, k = 1, \dots, n-1\,, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j h_{ij}(x) = 0\,, & \text{for all } x \in \partial' B^+_\rho(0),\ i = 1, \dots, n-1\,. \end{cases}$$

The last two properties follow from the fact that Fermi coordinates are normal when restricted to the boundary.

According to Proposition 3.1 of [33], for each $x_0 \in \partial M$ we can find a conformal metric $g_{x_0} = f_{x_0}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$, $f_{x_0}(x_0) = 1$, and Fermi coordinates centered at x_0 such that $\det(g_{x_0})(x) = 1 + O(|x|^{2d+2})$. In particular, if we write $g_{x_0} = \exp(h_{x_0})$, in those coordinates we have $\mathrm{tr}(h_{x_0})(x) = O(|x|^{2d+2})$ and $H_{g_{x_0}}$, the trace of the second fundamental form, satisfies

$$H_{g_{x_0}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \partial_n g_{ij}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_n (\log\det(g_{x_0}))(x) = O(|x|^{2d+1})\,. \tag{3.14}$$

Since M is compact we can choose ρ independently of $x_0 \in \partial M$, and assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq f_{x_0} \leq \frac{3}{2}$.

Notation. *In order to simplify our notations, in the coordinates above we will write* g_{ab} *and* g^{ab} *instead of* $(g_{x_0})_{ab}$ *and* $(g_{x_0})^{ab}$ *respectively, and* h_{ab} *instead of* $(h_{x_0})_{ab}$ *respectively.*

In this section, we define by

$$H_{ab}(x) = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} h_{ab,\alpha} x^\alpha$$

the Taylor expansion of order d associated with the function $h_{ab}(x)$. (Recall that $d = \left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]$.) Observe that H is a symmetric trace-free 2-tensor on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$, satisfying the properties (3.4) and of the form (3.5). Thus, $h_{ab}(x) = H_{ab}(x) + O(|x|^{d+1})$. Then we can use the function $\phi = \phi_{\epsilon,\rho,H}$ (see formula (3.10)) and the results obtained in Section 3.1. We recall that if $n = 3$ then $d = 0$, $H = 0$ and $\phi = 0$.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}(x) = &\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{\overline{H}_\infty}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \eta_\rho(\psi_{x_0}^{-1}(x)) \Big(U_\epsilon(\psi_{x_0}^{-1}(x)) + \phi(\psi_{x_0}^{-1}(x)) \Big) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2(n-1)}{\overline{H}_\infty}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \Big(1 - \eta_\rho(\psi_{x_0}^{-1}(x)) \Big) G_{x_0}(x)\,, \quad \text{for } x \in \psi_{x_0}(B^+_{2\rho}(0))\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

(where U_ϵ was defined by (3.1), η_ρ by (3.6), and $\overline{H}_\infty > 0$ by (2.11)) and

$$\bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}(x) = G_{x_0}(x)\,, \quad \text{otherwise}\,.$$

Here, G_{x_0} is the Green's function of the conformal Laplacian $L_{g_{x_0}} = \Delta_{g_{x_0}} - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g_{x_0}}$ with pole at $x_0 \in \partial M$ satisfying the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_{x_0}}} G_{x_0} - \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} H_{g_{x_0}} G_{x_0} = 0 \tag{3.16}$$

and the normalization $\lim_{|y|\to 0} |y|^{n-2} G_{x_0}(\psi_{x_0}(y)) = 1$. (See Proposition B-2.) With the above normalization, G_{x_0} satisfies

$$|G_{x_0}(\psi_{x_0}(y)) - |y|^{2-n}| \leq C \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}| |y|^{|\alpha|+2-n} + C|y|^{d+3-n} \,, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_b} (G_{x_0}(\psi_{x_0}(y)) - |y|^{2-n}) \right| \leq C \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}| |y|^{|\alpha|+1-n} + C|y|^{d+2-n} \,, \tag{3.18}$$

for all $b = 1, ..., n$.

By the estimate (3.8), ϕ satisfies $|\phi(y)| \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}| (\epsilon + |y|)^{|\alpha|+2-n}$, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, and

$$\left| \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \partial_n \phi(y) + \frac{2n(n-1)}{n-2} U_\epsilon^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \phi(y) \right| \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}| (\epsilon + |y|)^{|\alpha|-n} \,,$$

for all $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Notation. *We define the test function*

$$\bar{u}_{(x_0,\epsilon)} = f_{x_0} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)} \,. \tag{3.19}$$

Let us denote by W_{g_0} the Weyl tensor of (M, g_0) and by π_{g_0} the trace-free 2nd fundamental form of ∂M. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ the set of all points $x_0 \in \partial M$ such that

$$\limsup_{x \to x_0} d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{2-d} |W_{g_0}(x)| = \limsup_{x \to x_0} d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{1-d} |\pi_{g_0}(x)| = 0 \,.$$

Remark. If $n = 3$, we obviously have $\mathcal{Z} = \partial M$.

Our main result in this section is the following estimate for the energy of $\bar{u}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}$:

Proposition 3.7. *Assume that $\mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$ or Conjecture 1.3 is true. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$, depending only on (M, g_0), such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\int_M \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} |d\bar{u}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_0} \bar{u}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)} d\sigma_{g_0}}{\left(\int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}} \\ &= \frac{\int_M \left\{ \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} |d\bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}|^2_{g_{x_0}} + R_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)} \right\} dv_{g_{x_0}} + \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)} d\sigma_{g_{x_0}}}{\left(\int_{\partial M} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_{x_0}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}} \\ &\leq Q(B^n, \partial B) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_0 \in \partial M$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$.

Convention. In the rest of Section 3 we will use the normalization $\overline{H}_\infty = 2(n-1)$, without loss of generality.

Let λ be the constant obtained in Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 3.8. *There exist* $C = C(n, g_0)$ *and* $\rho_0 = \rho_0(n, g_0)$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B^+_\rho(0)} \left\{ \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} |d(U_\epsilon + \phi)|^2_{g_{x_0}} + R_{g_{x_0}} (U_\epsilon + \phi)^2 \right\} dx + \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)} 2H_{g_{x_0}} (U_\epsilon + \phi)^2 dx \\
&\leq 4(n-1) \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)} U_\epsilon^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \left\{ U_\epsilon^2 + 2U_\epsilon \phi + \frac{n}{n-2}\phi^2 - \frac{n-2}{8(n-1)^2} U_\epsilon^2 |S_{nn}|^2 \right\} dx \\
&+ \int_{\partial^+ B^+_\rho(0)} \left\{ \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} U_\epsilon \partial_a U_\epsilon + U_\epsilon^2 \partial_b h_{ab} - \partial_b U_\epsilon^2 h_{ab} \right\} \frac{x_a}{|x|} d\sigma_\rho \\
&- \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 \epsilon^{n-2} \int_{B^+_\rho(0)} (\epsilon + |x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n} dx \\
&+ C \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}| \epsilon^{n-2} \rho^{|\alpha|+2-n} + C\epsilon^{n-2} \rho^{2d+4-n}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < 2\epsilon \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 11 of [13] that the scalar curvature satisfies

$$|R_{g_{x_0}} - \partial_a \partial_b H_{ab}| \leq C \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}| |x|^{|\alpha|-1} + C|x|^{d-1} \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|R_{g_{x_0}} - \partial_a \partial_b h_{ab} + \partial_b (H_{ab} \partial_c H_{ac}) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_b H_{ab} \partial_c H_{ac} + \frac{1}{4} \partial_c H_{ab} \partial_c H_{ab}| \\
&\leq C \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 |x|^{2|\alpha|-1} + C \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}| |x|^{|\alpha|+d-1} + C|x|^{2d} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

We point out that, although these estimates are a little weaker than those of Proposition 3 in [17], they are enough to prove our result.

Following the steps of the proof of Proposition 7 in [17] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B^+_\rho(0)} \left\{ \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}|d(U_\epsilon+\phi)|^2_{g_{x_0}} + R_{g_{x_0}}(U_\epsilon+\phi)^2 \right\} dx + \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)} 2H_{g_{x_0}}(U_\epsilon+\phi)^2 dx \\
&\quad\leq -\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)} \left\{U_\epsilon \partial_n U_\epsilon + 2\partial_n U_\epsilon \phi + \frac{n}{n-2}U_\epsilon^{-1}\partial_n U_\epsilon \phi^2\right\} dx \\
&\qquad + \frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}\int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)} U_\epsilon\partial_n U_\epsilon (S_{nn})^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{B^+_\rho(0)} Q_{ab,c}Q_{ab,c}\, dx \\
&\qquad + \int_{\partial^+ B^+_\rho(0)} \left\{ \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} U_\epsilon \partial_a U_\epsilon + U_\epsilon^2 \partial_b h_{ab} - \partial_b U_\epsilon^2 h_{ab}\right\} \frac{x_a}{|x|} d\sigma_\rho \\
&\qquad + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 \epsilon^{n-2}\int_{B^+_\rho(0)} (\epsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}dx \\
&\qquad + C\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|\epsilon^{n-2}\rho^{|\alpha|+2-n} + C\epsilon^{n-2}\rho^{2d+4-n}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion follows from Proposition 3.5 and the second equation of (3.2). □

As in [17] (see also [13]) and [14]), we define the flux integral

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(x_0,\rho) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} &\int_{\partial^+ B^+_\rho(0)} (|x|^{2-n}\partial_a G_{x_0} - \partial_a |x|^{2-n} G_{x_0})\frac{x_a}{|x|} d\sigma_\rho \\
&- \int_{\partial^+ B^+_\rho(0)} |x|^{2-2n}(|x|^2 \partial_b h_{ab} - 2n x_b h_{ab})\frac{x_a}{|x|} d\sigma_\rho\,,
\end{aligned}$$

for $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small.

Proposition 3.9. *There exists* $\rho_0 = \rho_0(n, g_0)$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \left\{ \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}|d\bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}|^2_{g_{x_0}} + R_{g_{x_0}}\bar{U}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)} \right\} dv_{g_{x_0}} + \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_{x_0}}\bar{U}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)} d\sigma_{g_{x_0}} \\
&\qquad\leq Q(B^n,\partial B)\left\{\int_{\partial M} \bar{U}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}_{(x_0,\epsilon)} d\sigma_{g_{x_0}}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} - \epsilon^{n-2}\mathcal{I}(x_0,\rho) \\
&\qquad\quad - \frac{\lambda}{4}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 \epsilon^{n-2}\int_{B^+_\rho(0)} (\epsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}dx \\
&\qquad\quad + C\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|\epsilon^{n-2}\rho^{|\alpha|+2-n} + C\epsilon^{n-2}\rho^{2d+4-n} + C\rho^{1-n}\epsilon^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < 2\epsilon \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$.

Proof. The proof of this proposition is analogous to Proposition 9 of [17]. A necessary step is the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
4(n-1)\int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)} U_\epsilon^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\left(U_\epsilon^2 + 2U_\epsilon\phi + \frac{n}{n-2}\phi^2 - \frac{n-2}{8(n-1)^2}U_\epsilon^2 S_{nn}^2\right)dx \qquad (3.22)\\
\leq Q(B^n,\partial B)\left(\int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)}(U_\epsilon+\phi)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d}|h_{ij,\alpha}|\rho^{|\alpha|+1-n}\epsilon^{n-1}\\
+ C\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d}|h_{ij,\alpha}|^2\epsilon^{n-1}\rho\int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)}(\epsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}dx
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < 2\epsilon \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$ and ρ_0 sufficiently small. This inequality is slightly different from the one in Proposition 8 of [17], since the Taylor expansion (3.5) for H_{ab} includes terms of order $|\alpha| = 1$. However, the estimate (3.22) is enough to prove our assertion. We also need to observe that we are assuming a different boundary condition for the Green's function G_{x_0} (see (3.16)) which differ from the one in [17] by the term $\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}H_{g_{x_0}}G_{x_0}$. However, this term is easily estimated using (3.14) and (3.17). □

Corollary 3.10. *There exist* $\rho_0,\ \theta,\ C_0 > 0$, *depending only on* (M, g_0), *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M\left\{\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}|d\bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}|^2_{g_{x_0}} + R_{g_{x_0}}\bar{U}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)}\right\}dv_{g_{x_0}} + \int_{\partial M}2H_{g_{x_0}}\bar{U}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)}d\sigma_{g_{x_0}}\\
\leq Q(B^n,\partial B)\left\{\int_{\partial M}\bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_{x_0}}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} - \epsilon^{n-2}\mathcal{I}(x_0,\rho)\\
- \theta\epsilon^{n-2}\int_{B^+_\rho(0)}|W_{g_0}(x)|^2(\epsilon+|x|)^{6-2n}dx\\
- \theta\epsilon^{n-2}\int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)}|\pi_{g_0}(x)|^2(\epsilon+|x|)^{5-2n}dx\\
+ C_0\epsilon^{n-2}\rho^{2d+4-n} + C_0\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\rho}\right)^{n-2}\frac{1}{\log(\rho/\epsilon)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < 2\epsilon \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$.

Proof. By Young's inequality, given $C > 0$ there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$C|h_{ij,\alpha}|\epsilon^{n-2}\rho^{|\alpha|+2-n} \leq \frac{\lambda}{8}|h_{ij,\alpha}|^2\epsilon^{n-2}\int_{B^+_\rho}(\epsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}dx + C'\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\rho}\right)^{2n-4-2|\alpha|},$$

for $|\alpha| < \frac{n-2}{2}$, and

$$C|h_{ij,\alpha}|\epsilon^{n-2}\rho^{|\alpha|+2-n} \leq \frac{\lambda}{8}|h_{ij,\alpha}|^2\epsilon^{n-2}\int_{B^+_\rho}(\epsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}dx + C'\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\rho}\right)^{n-2}\frac{1}{\log(\rho/\epsilon)},$$

for $|\alpha| = \frac{n-2}{2}$. Then, according to Proposition 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \left\{ \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} |d\bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}|^2_{g_{x_0}} + R_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)} \right\} dv_{g_{x_0}} + \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}^2_{(x_0,\epsilon)} d\sigma_{g_{x_0}} \qquad (3.23)\\
&\qquad \leq Q(B^n, \partial B) \left\{ \int_{\partial M} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_{x_0}} \right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} - \epsilon^{n-2} \mathcal{I}(x_0, \rho)\\
&\qquad\quad - \frac{\lambda}{8} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 \epsilon^{n-2} \int_{B^+_\rho} (\epsilon + |x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n} dx\\
&\qquad\quad + C\epsilon^{n-2} \rho^{2d+4-n} + C \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\rho} \right)^{n-2} \frac{1}{\log(\rho/\epsilon)}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Weyl tensor and the trace-free 2nd fundamental form satisfy the pointwise estimates

$$|W_{g_0}(x)| = |W_{g_{x_0}}(x)| \leq C|\partial^2 h(x)| + C|\partial h(x)| \leq C \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} |h_{ij,\alpha}||x|^{|\alpha|-2} + C|x|^{d-1}$$

and

$$|\pi_{g_0}(x)| = |\pi_{g_{x_0}}(x)| \leq C|\partial h(x)| \leq C \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} |h_{ij,\alpha}||x|^{|\alpha|-1} + C|x|^d .$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B^+_\rho(0)} |W_{g_{x_0}}(x)|^2 (\epsilon + |x|)^{6-2n} dx + \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)} |\pi_{g_{x_0}}(x)|^2 (\epsilon + |x|)^{5-2n} dx \qquad (3.24)\\
&\leq C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 \int_{B^+_\rho(0)} (\epsilon + |x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n} dx\\
&+ C \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(0)} (\epsilon + |x|)^{2|\alpha|+3-2n} dx + C\rho^{2d+4-n}\\
&\leq C' \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} |h_{ij,\alpha}|^2 \int_{B^+_\rho(0)} (\epsilon + |x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n} dx + C\rho^{2d+4-n} .
\end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from the estimates (3.23) and (3.24). □

Proposition 3.11. *The functions $\mathcal{I}(x_0, \rho)$ converge uniformly to a continuous function $I : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ as $\rho \to 0$.*

Proof. This proof follows the same steps of Proposition 18 in [13], where the author uses conformal coordinates and therefore can assume that $x^a h_{ab}(x) = 0$. Here we are not assuming this, but this problem is solved as some terms involving $x^a h_{ab}(x)$ cancel out.

We will prove that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}} |\mathcal{I}(x_0, \rho) - \mathcal{I}(x_0, \tilde{\rho})| \leq C\rho^{2d+4-n}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < \tilde{\rho} < \rho. \tag{3.25}$$

Let us fix fix $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ and consider Fermi coordinates $\psi_{x_0} : B^+_{2\rho}(0) \to M$ as in the beginning of Section 3.2. We will write $B^+_\rho = B^+_\rho(0)$ and $B^+_{\tilde{\rho}} = B^+_{\tilde{\rho}}(0)$ for short. Integrating by parts we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(x_0, \rho) - \mathcal{I}(x_0, \tilde{\rho}) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} & \int_{B^+_\rho \backslash B^+_{\tilde{\rho}}} |x|^{2-n} \Delta G_{x_0} dx \\ & - \int_{\partial^+ B^+_\rho} \left\{ |x|^{3-2n} x_i \partial_j h_{ij} - 2n|x|^{1-2n} x_i x_j h_{ij}) \right\} d\sigma_\rho \\ & + \int_{\partial^+ B^+_{\tilde{\rho}}} \left\{ |x|^{3-2n} x_i \partial_j h_{ij} - 2n|x|^{1-2n} x_i x_j h_{ij}) \right\} d\sigma_{\tilde{\rho}} \\ & + O(\rho^{2d+4-n}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Here, Δ stands for the Euclidean Laplacian and we have used (3.14).

Since $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have $g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + O(|x|^{d+1})$ and $G_{x_0}(x) = |x|^{2-n} + O(|x|^{d+3-n})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B^+_\rho \backslash B^+_{\tilde{\rho}}} |x|^{2-n} \Delta G_{x_0}\, dx &= - \int_{B^+_\rho \backslash B^+_{\tilde{\rho}}} |x|^{2-n} (L_{g_{x_0}} - \Delta)|x|^{2-n} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{B^+_\rho \backslash B^+_{\tilde{\rho}}} |x|^{2-n} (L_{g_{x_0}} - \Delta)(G_{x_0} - |x|^{2-n})\, dx \\ &= - \int_{B^+_\rho \backslash B^+_{\tilde{\rho}}} |x|^{2-n} (L_{g_{x_0}} - \Delta)|x|^{2-n} dx + O(\rho^{2d+4-n}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Using the formulas $g^{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} - h_{ij}(x) + O(|x|^{2d+2})$, $\mathrm{tr}(h)(x) = O(|x|^{2d+2})$, $\det(g_{x_0})(x) = O(|x|^{2d+2})$ and (3.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(L_{g_{x_0}} - \Delta)|x|^{2-n} &= g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j |x|^{2-n} + \partial_i g^{ij} \partial_j |x|^{2-n} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_i \det(g_{x_0})}{\det(g_{x_0})} g^{ij} \partial_j |x|^{2-n} \\ &\quad - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g_{x_0}} |x|^{2-n} \\ &= -n(n-2)|x|^{-2-n} x_i x_j h_{ij} + (n-2)|x|^{-n} x_j \partial_i h_{ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} |x|^{2-n} \partial_i \partial_j h_{ij} + O(|x|^{2+2d-n}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{2-n}(L_{g_{x_0}}-\Delta)|x|^{2-n}dx \qquad (3.29)\\
&\qquad= n(n-2)\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,dx-(n-2)\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{2-2n}x_j\partial_ih_{ij}\,dx\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{4-2n}\partial_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,dx+O(\rho^{4+2d-n})\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-(n-2)\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{2-2n}x_j\partial_ih_{ij}\,dx \qquad (3.30)\\
&\qquad=-2(n-1)(n-2)\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,dx+(n-2)\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{2-2n}\delta_{ij}h_{ij}\,dx\\
&\qquad\quad-(n-2)\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho}|x|^{1-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_\rho+(n-2)\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{1-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_{\tilde\rho}\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{4-2n}\partial_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,dx \qquad (3.31)\\
&\qquad=\frac{(n-2)^2}{2(n-1)}\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{2-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,dx\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho}|x|^{3-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_\rho-\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{3-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_{\tilde\rho}\\
&\qquad=(n-2)^2\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,dx-\frac{(n-2)^2}{2(n-1)}\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{2-2n}\delta_{ij}h_{ij}\,dx\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{(n-2)^2}{2(n-1)}\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho}|x|^{1-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_\rho-\frac{(n-2)^2}{2(n-1)}\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{1-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_{\tilde\rho}\\
&\qquad\quad+\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho}|x|^{3-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_\rho-\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{3-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_{\tilde\rho}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (3.30) and (3.31) in (3.29), the coefficients of $\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\tilde\rho}}|x|^{-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,dx$

cancel out and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\bar\rho}} |x|^{2-n}(L_{g_{x_0}}-\Delta)|x|^{2-n}dx\\
&\quad= -\frac{n(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\left\{\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho}|x|^{1-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_\rho-\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\bar\rho}}|x|^{1-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_{\bar\rho}\right\}\\
&\qquad+\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\left\{\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho}|x|^{3-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_\rho-\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\bar\rho}}|x|^{3-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_{\bar\rho}\right\}\\
&\qquad+O(\rho^{2d+4-n})\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $\operatorname{tr}(h)(x)=O(|x|^{2d+2})$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\int_{B^+_\rho\backslash B^+_{\bar\rho}}|x|^{2-n}\Delta G_{x_0}\,dx \qquad (3.32)\\
&\quad=-2n\left\{\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho}|x|^{1-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_\rho-\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\bar\rho}}|x|^{1-2n}x_ix_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_{\bar\rho}\right\}\\
&\qquad+\left\{\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho}|x|^{3-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_\rho-\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\bar\rho}}|x|^{3-2n}x_i\partial_jh_{ij}\,d\sigma_{\bar\rho}\right\}\\
&\qquad+O(\rho^{2d+4-n})\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the assertion follows from the equations (3.26) and (3.32). □

Proposition 3.12. *Let $x_0\in\mathcal{Z}$ and consider inverted coordinates $y=\frac{x}{|x|}$, where $x=(x_1,...,x_n)$ are Fermi coordinates centered at x_0. If we define a metric $\bar g=G_{x_0}^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_{x_0}$ on $M\backslash\{x_0\}$, then the following statements hold:*

(i) *$(M\backslash\{x_0\},\bar g)$ is an asymptotically flat manifold with boundary (see Definition 1.2) satisfying the hypotheses of Conjecture 1.3;*

(ii) *$\mathcal{I}(x_0)$ satisfies*

$$
\mathcal{I}(x_0)=\lim_{R\to\infty}\left\{\int_{\partial^+B^+_R(0)}\frac{y_a}{|y|}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\bar g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_a},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\right)d\sigma_R-\int_{\partial^+B^+_R(0)}\frac{y_a}{|y|}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_a}\bar g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\right)d\sigma_R\right\}.
$$

Proof. The item (i) follows from the fact that $\bar g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_a},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\right)=\delta_{ab}+O(|y|^{-d-1})$. In order to prove (ii) we can mimic the proof of Proposition 19 in [14] to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\rho^{-1}}(0)}\frac{y_a}{|y|}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\bar g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_a},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\right)d\sigma_{\rho^{-1}}-\int_{\partial^+B^+_{\rho^{-1}}(0)}\frac{y_a}{|y|}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_a}\bar g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}\right)d\sigma_{\rho^{-1}}\\
&\qquad=\mathcal{I}(x_0,\rho)+O(\rho^{2d+4-n})\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we also used the identity (3.14). □

Proof of Proposition 3.7. If $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$ and Conjecture 1.3 is true, Proposition 3.12 implies $\mathcal{I}(x_0) > 0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$, using the fact that

$$\bar{g}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) = 0\,, \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., n-1\,, \quad \text{if } y_n = 0\,.$$

Since $\mathcal{Z} \subset \partial M$ is compact and $\mathcal{I}$ is continuous on $\mathcal{Z}$, we know that $\inf_{x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{I}(x_0) > 0$.

By Proposition 3.11, $\sup_{x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}} |\mathcal{I}(x_0, \rho) - \mathcal{I}(x_0)| \to 0$ as $\rho \to 0$. Hence, we can find $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$ such that

$$\inf_{x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{I}(x_0, \rho) > C_0 \rho^{2d+4-n}\,.$$

Here, ρ_0 and C_0 are the constants appearing in Corollary 3.10. By continuity, there exists an open subset $\Omega \subset \partial M$, containing $\mathcal{Z}$, such that

$$\inf_{x_0 \in \Omega} \mathcal{I}(x_0, \rho) > C_0 \rho^{2d+4-n}\,. \tag{3.33}$$

(If $\mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$ we set $\Omega = \emptyset$.)

We recall that $\mathcal{Z} = \partial M$ if $n = 3$. If $n \geq 4$, we are going to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |W_g(x)|^2 d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{6-2n} dv_{g_0} \\ &\quad + \int_{D_\rho(x_0)} |\pi_g(x)|^2 d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{5-2n} d\sigma_{g_0} = \infty\,, \quad \text{for all } x_0 \in \partial M \backslash \Omega\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Since $\mathcal{Z} \subset \Omega$, the equation (3.34) holds for any $n \geq 6$ by the definition of $\mathcal{Z}$. If $n = 4, 5$, then $d = 1$. In this case,

$$\limsup_{x \to x_0} d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{2-d} |W_{g_0}(x)| = 0\,, \qquad \text{for all } x_0 \in \partial M\,.$$

Hence, $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ if and only if $\limsup_{x \to x_0} d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{1-d} |\pi_{g_0}(x)| = 0$. Thus,

$$\int_{D_\rho(x_0)} |\pi_g(x)|^2 d_{g_0}(x, x_0)^{5-2n} d\sigma_{g_0} = \infty$$

and (3.34) holds.

Since $\partial M \backslash \Omega$ is compact, it follows from Dini's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{x_0 \in \partial M \backslash \Omega} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |W_g(x)|^2 (\epsilon + d_{g_0}(x, x_0))^{6-2n} dv_{g_0} \\ &\quad + \inf_{x_0 \in \partial M \backslash \Omega} \int_{D_\rho(x_0)} |\pi_g(x)|^2 (\epsilon + d_{g_0}(x, x_0))^{5-2n} d\sigma_{g_0} \to \infty\,, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

By the identities (3.33) and (3.35), we can choose $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{\rho}{2}]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{x_0 \in \partial M} \mathcal{I}(x_0, \rho) + \inf_{x_0 \in \partial M \setminus \Omega} \theta \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |W_g(x)|^2 (\epsilon + d_{g_0}(x, x_0))^{6-2n} dv_{g_0} \\
&+ \inf_{x_0 \in \partial M \setminus \Omega} \theta \int_{D_\rho(x_0)} |\pi_g(x)|^2 (\epsilon + d_{g_0}(x, x_0))^{5-2n} d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\qquad > \ C_0 \rho^{2d+4-n} + C_0 \rho^{2-n} \frac{1}{\log(\rho/\epsilon)},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. Now the assertion follows from Corollary 3.10 and formula (3.14). □

3.3 Further estimates

In this section, we will use the same notations of Section 3.2. Using the fact that M is compact, we can assume that $\frac{1}{2} d_{g_0}(x_0, x) \leq d_{g_{x_0}}(x_0, x) \leq 2 d_{g_0}(x_0, x)$ and $\frac{1}{2} d_{g_0}(x_0, x) \leq |\psi_{x_0}^{-1}(x)| \leq 2 d_{g_0}(x_0, x)$ for all $x \in \psi_{x_0}(B^+_{2\rho}(0))$ and all $x_0 \in \partial M$.

Proposition 3.13. *If $2\epsilon \leq \rho$ then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}(x) - R_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}(x) \right| \\
&\qquad \leq C \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2 + d_{g_{x_0}}(x, x_0)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 1_{\psi_{x_0}(B^+_\rho(0))}(x) \\
&\qquad\quad + C \left\{ \epsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} \rho^{-1-n} + \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \rho^{1-n} \right\} 1_{\psi_{x_0}(B^+_{2\rho}(0) \setminus B^+_\rho(0))}(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

for all $x \in M$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_{x_0}}} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}(x) - H_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}(x) + \overline{H}_\infty \bar{U}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}(x) \right| \\
&\qquad \leq C \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2 + d_{g_{x_0}}(x, x_0)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 1_{\psi_{x_0}(\partial' B^+_\rho(0))}(x) \\
&\qquad\quad + C \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2 + d_{g_{x_0}}(x, x_0)^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} 1_{\partial M \setminus \psi_{x_0}(\partial' B^+_\rho(0))}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \partial M$.

Proof. In order to simplify our notations, we will identify points $\psi_{x_0}(x) \in M$ with $x \in B^+_{2\rho}(0)$, omiting the symbol ψ_{x_0}. In particular, we identify $x_0 \in \partial M$ with $0 \in B^+_{2\rho}(0)$.

By the definition of $\bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)} - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)} \\
&\quad = \Delta_{g_{x_0}} \eta_\rho \cdot (U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0} - \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |x|^{2-n}) - \Delta_{g_{x_0}} \eta_\rho \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (G_{x_0} - |x|^{2-n}) \\
&\qquad + 2 < d\eta_\rho, d(U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0} - \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |x|^{2-n}) >_{g_{x_0}} \\
&\qquad - 2\epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} < d\eta_\rho, d(G_{x_0} - |x|^{2-n}) >_{g_{x_0}} \\
&\qquad + \eta_\rho \cdot \left(\Delta_{g_{x_0}} U_\epsilon - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g_{x_0}} U_\epsilon + \Delta \phi_{x_0} \right) \\
&\qquad + \eta_\rho \cdot \left((\Delta_{g_{x_0}} - \Delta) \phi_{x_0} - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g_{x_0}} \phi_{x_0} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_{x_0}}} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)} - H_{g_{x_0}} \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)} + 2(n-1) \bar{U}_{(x_0,\epsilon)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \\
&\quad = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \partial_n \left(\eta_\rho (U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0}) + \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (1 - \eta_\rho) G_{x_0} \right) \\
&\qquad - H_{g_{x_0}} \left(\eta_\rho (U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0}) + \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (1 - \eta_\rho) G_{x_0} \right) \\
&\qquad + 2(n-1) \left(\eta_\rho (U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0}) + \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (1 - \eta_\rho) G_{x_0} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \\
&\quad = \eta_\rho \cdot \left\{ \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \partial_n (U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0}) + 2(n-1)(U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0})^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right\} \\
&\qquad - H_{g_{x_0}} \eta_\rho (U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0}) \\
&\qquad + 2(n-1) \left\{ \left(\eta_\rho (U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0}) + \epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (1 - \eta_\rho) G_{x_0} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} - \eta_\rho (U_\epsilon + \phi_{x_0})^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right\} .
\end{aligned}$$

Now the result easily follows. □

Lemma 3.14. *We have*

$$\int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_{(x_1,\epsilon_1)} \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \leq C \left(\frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x_1, x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} .$$

Proof. Proceeding as in Lemma B.4 of [12] one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1^2 + d_{g_0}(x, x_1)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x, x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\qquad \leq C \left(\frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x_1, x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} .
\end{aligned}$$

From this the assertion follows. □

Lemma 3.15. *We have*

$$\int_M \bar{u}_{(x_1,\epsilon_1)} |\Delta_{g_0} \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)}| dv_{g_0} \leq C\rho \left(\frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x_1,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} \left| \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)} - H_{g_0} \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)} + \overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right| \bar{u}_{(x_1,\epsilon_1)} d\sigma_{g_0} \\ \leq C \left(\rho + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\rho} \right) \left(\frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x_1,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \leq \rho^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.13 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_{g_{x_2}} \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)}(x) - R_{g_{x_2}} \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)}(x) \right| \\ \leq C\rho^{-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 1_{\{d_{g_0}(y,x_2) \leq 4\rho\}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in M$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_{x_2}}} \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)}(x) - H_{g_{x_0}} \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)}(x) + \overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_{(x_2,\epsilon_2)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(x) \right| \\ \leq C \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 1_{\{d_{g_0}(y,x_2) \leq 4\rho\} \cap \partial M}(x) \\ + C \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} 1_{\{d_{g_0}(y,x_2) \geq \rho/2\} \cap \partial M}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \partial M$.

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma B.5 of [12] we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{d_{g_0}(y,x_2) \leq 4\rho\}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1^2 + d_{g_0}(x,x_1)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} dv_{g_0}(y) \\ \leq C\rho^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x_1,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{d_{g_0}(y,x_2) \leq 4\rho\} \cap \partial M} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1^2 + d_{g_0}(x,x_1)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} d\sigma_{g_0}(y) \\ \leq C\rho \left(\frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2 + d_{g_0}(x_1,x_2)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\{d_{g_0}(y,x_2)\geq\rho/2\}\cap\partial M}\left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1^2+d_{g_0}(x,x_1)^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2+d_{g_0}(x,x_2)^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}d\sigma_{g_0}(y)$$
$$\leq C\frac{\epsilon_2}{\rho}\left(\frac{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2^2+d_{g_0}(x_1,x_2)^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

Now the assertion follows. □

4 Blow-up analysis

In this section we will perform a blow-up analysis for sequences of solutions to the equations (2.4) that will be necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let $u(t)$, $t\geq 0$, be the solution of (2.4) obtained in Section 2 and let $\{t_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{\nu\to\infty}t_\nu=\infty$. We set $u_\nu=u(t_\nu)$ and $g_\nu=g(t_\nu)=u_\nu^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_0$. Then

$$\int_{\partial M}u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0}=\int_{\partial M}d\sigma_{g_\nu}=1\,,\quad\text{for all }\nu\,.$$

It follows from Corollary 2.6 that

$$\int_{\partial M}\left|\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial u_\nu}{\eta_{g_0}}-H_{g_0}u_\nu+\overline{H}_\infty u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}d\sigma_{g_0}=\int_{\partial M}|H_{g_\nu}-\overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}d\sigma_{g_\nu}\to 0$$

as $\nu\to\infty$.

Proposition 4.1. *After passing to a subsequence, there exist an integer $m\geq 0$, a smooth function $u_\infty\geq 0$ and a sequence $\{(x^*_{k,\nu},\epsilon^*_{k,\nu})_{1\leq k\leq m}\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ of m-tuplets such that*

(i) The function u_∞ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\Delta_{g_0}u_\infty=0\,, & \textit{in } M\,,\\ \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}u_\infty-H_{g_0}u_\infty+\overline{H}_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{n}{n-2}}=0\,, & \textit{on } \partial M\,.\end{cases}$$

(ii) For all $i\neq j$,

$$\frac{\epsilon^*_{i,\nu}}{\epsilon^*_{j,\nu}}+\frac{\epsilon^*_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon^*_{i,\nu}}+\frac{d_{g_0}(x^*_{i,\nu},x^*_{j,\nu})^2}{\epsilon^*_{i,\nu}\epsilon^*_{j,\nu}}\to\infty\,,\quad\textit{as }\nu\to\infty\,.$$

(iii) We have

$$\Big\|u_\nu-u_\infty-\sum_{k=1}^m\bar{u}_{(x^*_{k,\nu},\epsilon^*_{k,\nu})}\Big\|_{H^1(M)}\to 0\,,\quad\textit{as }\nu\to\infty\,,$$

where the functions $\bar{u}_{(x^*_{k,\nu},\epsilon^*_{k,\nu})}$ were defined in Section 3 (see formulas (3.15) and (3.19)).

Proof. This is the content of Appendix C. The regularity of u_∞ was established by P. Cherrier in [18]. □

Proposition 4.2. *If $u_\infty(x) = 0$ for some $x \in M$, then $u_\infty \equiv 0$.*

Proof. This is just a consequence of the maximum principle and the Hopf's lemma. □

We define the functionals

$$E(u) = \frac{\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\int_M |du|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + 2\int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} u^2 d\sigma_{g_0}}{\left(\int_{\partial M} u^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}}$$

and

$$F(u) = \frac{\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\int_M |du|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + 2\int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} u^2 d\sigma_{g_0}}{\int_{\partial M} u^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}}\,.$$

Observe that $\overline{H}_\infty = \frac{1}{2}F(u_\infty)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_{\nu\to\infty}\int_{\partial M} u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} = \lim_{\nu\to\infty}\left\{\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_{(x^*_{k,\nu},\epsilon^*_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{E(u_\infty)}{2\overline{H}_\infty}\right)^{n-1} + m\left(\frac{Q(B^n,\partial B)}{2\overline{H}_\infty}\right)^{n-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that

$$\overline{H}_\infty = \frac{1}{2}\left(E(u_\infty)^{n-1} + mQ(B^n,\partial B)^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

4.1 The case $u_\infty \equiv 0$

We set

$$\mathcal{A}_\nu = \Big\{(x_k,\epsilon_k,\alpha_k)_{k=1,\dots,m} \in (\partial M\times\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}_+)^m\,,\ \text{such that} \\ d_{g_0}(x_k,x^*_{k,\nu}) \le \epsilon^*_{k,\nu}\,,\ \frac{1}{2}\le\frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon^*_{k,\nu}}\le 2\,,\ \frac{1}{2}\le\alpha_k\le 2\Big\}.$$

For each ν we can choose a triplet $(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu},\alpha_{k,\nu})_{k=1,\dots,m} \in \mathcal{A}_\nu$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Big|d(u_\nu - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)})\Big|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\Big(u_\nu - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)}\Big)^2 d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\quad\le \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Big|d(u_\nu - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)})\Big|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\Big(u_\nu - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)}\Big)^2 d\sigma_{g_0} \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_k,\epsilon_k,\alpha_k)_{k=1,\dots,m} \in \mathcal{A}_\nu$.

The proof of the next two propositions are the same of Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 in [12]:

Proposition 4.3. *(i) For all $i \neq j$,*

$$\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}} + \frac{\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{i,\nu}} + \frac{d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu}, x_{j,\nu})^2}{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}} \to \infty\,, \quad \textit{as } \nu \to \infty\,.$$

(ii) We have

$$\Big\|u_\nu - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)}\Big\|_{H^1(M)} \to 0\,, \quad \textit{as } \nu \to \infty\,.$$

Proposition 4.4. *We have*

$$d_{g_0}(x_{k,\nu}, x^*_{k,\nu}) \leq o(1)\epsilon^*_{k,\nu}\,, \quad \frac{\epsilon_{k,\nu}}{\epsilon^*_{k,\nu}} = 1 + o(1)\,, \quad \alpha_{k,\nu} = 1 + o(1)$$

for all $k = 1, ..., m$. In particular, $(x_k, \epsilon_k, \alpha_k)_{k=1,...,m}$ is an interior point of $\mathcal{A}_\nu$ for ν sufficiently large.

In what follows we assume that $\epsilon_{i,\nu} \leq \epsilon_{j,\nu}$ for all $i \leq j$.

Notation. *We write $u_\nu = v_\nu + w_\nu$, where*

$$v_\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)} \quad \textit{and} \quad w_\nu = u_\nu - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)}\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Observe that by Proposition 4.3 we have

$$\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0} = o(1) \tag{4.3}$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\psi_{k,\nu} : B^+_{2\rho}(0) \to M$ be Fermi coodinates centered at $x_{k,\nu}$. If we set*

$$C_\nu = \left(\int_{\partial M} |w_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}} + \left(\int_M |w_\nu|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}\,,$$

then for all $k = 1, ..., m$ we have

(i) $\Big|\int_{\partial M} \bar{u}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})} w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\Big| \leq o(1)C_\nu$;

(ii) $\Big|\int_{\psi_{k,\nu}(\partial' B^+_{2\rho}(0))} \bar{u}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})} \frac{\epsilon^2_{k,\nu} - |\psi^{-1}_{k,\nu}(x)|^2}{\epsilon^2_{k,\nu} + |\psi^{-1}_{k,\nu}(x)|^2} w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\Big| \leq o(1)C_\nu$;

(iii) $\Big|\int_{\psi_{k,\nu}(\partial' B^+_{2\rho}(0))} \bar{u}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})} \frac{\epsilon_{k,\nu}\psi^{-1}_{k,\nu}(x)}{\epsilon^2_{k,\nu} + |\psi^{-1}_{k,\nu}(x)|^2} w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\Big| \leq o(1)C_\nu$.

Proof. (i) It follows from the definition of $(x_{k,\nu}, \epsilon_{k,\nu}, \alpha_{k,\nu})_{k=1,...,m}$ that

$$\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} < d\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}, dw_\nu >_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})} w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0} = 0\,.$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}w_\nu\, dv_{g_0}\\ &\quad+\int_{\partial M}\left\{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}-H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}\right\}w_\nu\, d\sigma_{g_0}=0\,,\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial M}\overline{H}_\infty\bar{u}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}w_\nu\, d\sigma_{g_0}&=\int_M\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}w_\nu\, dv_{g_0} \qquad (4.4)\\ &+\int_{\partial M}\left\{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}-H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}+\overline{H}_\infty\bar{u}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}\right\}w_\nu\, d\sigma_{g_0}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then, using Proposition 3.13 and a conformal change of the metric we can prove that

$$\left|\int_{\partial M}\bar{u}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}w_\nu d\sigma_{g_0}\right|\leq o(1)\left(\|w_\nu\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(M)}+\|w_\nu\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)}\right)$$

for $k=1,...,m$.

(ii) Let us set $\tilde{\psi}_{k,\nu}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=\epsilon_{k,\nu}}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon)}$. Derivating (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial M}\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty\bar{u}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}\tilde{\psi}_{k,\nu}w_\nu\, d\sigma_{g_0}\\ &\quad=\int_M\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_{g_0}\tilde{\psi}_{k,\nu}w_\nu\, dv_{g_0}\\ &\quad+\int_{\partial M}\left\{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\tilde{\psi}_{k,\nu}-H_{g_0}\tilde{\psi}_{k,\nu}+\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty\bar{u}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}\tilde{\psi}_{k,\nu}\right\}w_\nu\, d\sigma_{g_0}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate (3.8) we observe that $\tilde{\psi}_{k,\nu}$ satisfies

$$\epsilon_{k,\nu}\bar{u}^{-1}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}\tilde{\psi}_{k,\nu}=\frac{n-2}{2}\frac{|x|^2-\epsilon^2_{k,\nu}}{(\epsilon_{k,\nu}+x_n)^2+|x|^2}+O\big((\epsilon_{k,\nu}+|\bar{x}|)\big)\,,\quad\text{in }B^+_\rho(0)\,.$$

Now the result follows as in the item (i), and the item (iii) follows similarly. □

Proposition 4.6. *There exists $c>0$ such that*

$$\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty\int_{\partial M}\sum_{k=1}^m\bar{u}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}w_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0}\leq(1-c)\left\{\int_M\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|^2_{g_0}dv_{g_0}+\int_{\partial M}H_{g_0}w_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}$$

for all ν sufficiently large.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction this is not true. Upon rescaling we can find a sequence $\{\tilde{w}_\nu\}$ satisfying

$$\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|d\tilde{w}_\nu|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} = 1 \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M} \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} \geq 1\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Observe that the identity (4.5) implies

$$\int_{\partial M} |\tilde{w}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \leq Q(M,\partial M)^{-\frac{n-1}{n-2}}\,, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\int_M |\tilde{w}_\nu|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0} \leq Q(M)^{-\frac{n}{n-2}}\,, \tag{4.8}$$

where $Q(M)$ is the conformal invariant defined in [25], which has the same sign of $Q(M,\partial M)$ (see Proposition 1.2 of [26]).

In view of Proposition 4.3 we can choose a sequence $\{N_\nu\}$, such that $N_\nu \to \infty$, $N_\nu\epsilon_{k,\nu} \to 0$ for all $k = 1, ..., m$ and

$$\frac{\epsilon_{j,\nu} + d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu}, x_{j,\nu})}{N_\nu \epsilon_{i,\nu}} \to \infty \quad \text{for all } \ i < j\,.$$

Let us set $\Omega_{j,\nu} = B_{N_\nu\epsilon_{j,\nu}}(x_{j,\nu}) \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{j-1} B_{N_\nu\epsilon_{i,\nu}}(x_{i,\nu})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. It follows from (4.5) and (4.6) that there exists $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{k,\nu}} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|d\tilde{w}_\nu|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\Omega_{k,\nu}\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} H_{g_0}\tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} \right\}$$
$$\leq \lim_{\nu\to\infty} \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0}\,.$$

Let $\psi_\nu : B^+_\rho(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to M$ be Fermi coordinates centered at $x_{k,\nu}$ for each ν. We set

$$\hat{w}_\nu(y) = \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\, \tilde{w}_\nu(\psi_\nu(\epsilon_{k,\nu}y))\,.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n_+,\ |y|\leq N_\nu\}} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|d\hat{w}_\nu(y)|^2\, dy \leq 1\,, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \int_{\{y\in\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+,\ |y|\leq N_\nu\}} |\hat{w}_\nu(y)|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\, dy \leq Q(M,\partial M)^{-\frac{n-1}{n-2}}\,, \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n_+,\,|y|\le N_\nu\}}|\hat{w}_\nu(y)|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\,dy\le Q(M)^{-\frac{n}{n-2}}\,.$$

Thus we can assume that $\hat{w}_\nu\rightharpoonup\hat{w}$ in $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ for some $\hat{w}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\hat{w}^2(y)\,dy>0 \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}|d\hat{w}(y)|^2dy\le n\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\hat{w}^2(y)\,dy\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Moreover, Proposition 4.5 together with the inequalities (4.7) and (4.8) implies that

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}\left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\hat{w}(y)\,dy=0\,, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}\left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{1-|y|^2}{1+|y|^2}\hat{w}(y)\,dy=0\,, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}\left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{y_j}{1+|y|^2}\hat{w}(y)\,dy=0\,,\quad j=1,..,n-1\,, \tag{4.15}$$

where $y=(y_1,...,y_{n-1},0)$.

Let $B_{1/2}$ be the Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with center $(0,...,0,-1/2)$ and radius $1/2$. We set $C=\{w\in H^1(B_{1/2});\ \int_{\partial B_{1/2}}w\,d\sigma=0\}$. Observe that

$$\inf_{0\neq w\in C}\frac{\int_{B_{1/2}}|dw|^2dy}{\int_{\partial B_{1/2}}w^2d\sigma}=2$$

and this infimum is realized only by the coordinate functions $z_1,...,z_n$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ taken with center $(0,...,0,-1/2)$, restricted to $B_{1/2}$.

The ball $B_{1/2}$ is conformally equivalent to the half-space $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ by the inversion $F:\mathbb{R}^n_+\to B_{1/2}\backslash\{(0,...,0,-1)\}$ given by

$$F(y_1,...y_n)=\frac{(y_1,...,y_{n-1},y_n+1)}{y_1^2+...+y_{n-1}^2+(y_n+1)^2}+(0,...,0,-1)\,.$$

An easy calculation shows that F is a conformal map and $F^*g_{eucl}=U_1^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_{eucl}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$, where g_{eucl} is the Euclidean metric, and

$$z_j\circ F(y)=\frac{y_j}{(y_n+1)^2+|\bar{y}|^2}\,,\qquad z_n\circ F(y)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{1-|y|^2}{(y_n+1)^2+|\bar{y}|^2}\,.$$

(See the formula (3.1) for the definition of U_1.)

Using the estimate (4.9) we can easily check that

$$w = (U_1^{-1}\hat{w}) \circ F^{-1} \in H^1(B_{1/2})\,.$$

In view of the identities (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15), w is $L^2(\partial B_{1/2})$-ortogonal to the functions $1, z_1, ..., z_n$. Since by (4.11) we have $w \not\equiv 0$ in $B_{1/2}$, this function satisfies

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} |dw|^2 dy > 2\int_{\partial B_{1/2}} w^2 d\sigma\,,$$

which corresponds to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\hat{w}(y)|^2 dy - n\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\hat{w}^2(y)dy > 0\,.$$

This contradicts the inequality (4.12). ☐

Corollary 4.7. *There exists* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} w_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} \le (1-c)\left\{\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} w_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}\,,$$

for all ν *sufficiently large.*

Proof. By the definition of v_ν (see formula (4.2)) we have

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\int_{\partial M}\Big|v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\Big|^{n-1} d\sigma_{g_0} = 0\,.$$

Hence the assertion follows from Proposition 4.6. ☐

Proposition 4.8. *For all* ν *sufficiently large, we have* $E(v_\nu) \le \left\{\sum_{k=1}^m E(\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)})\right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

Proof. By the definition of v_ν, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dv_\nu|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} v_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k,\nu}^2 \left\{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\int_M |d\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\bar{u}^2_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}\\
&+ \sum_{i<j} 2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\left\{\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} < d\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}, d\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} >_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}E(v_\nu)\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\
&\quad=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\alpha_{k,\nu}^2\int_{\partial M}\frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\quad-\sum_{i<j}2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\int_M\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}dv_{g_0} \\
&\quad-\sum_{i<j}2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\int_{\partial M}\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}-H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\right)\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

On the other hand, by the Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}E(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M}v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\
&\quad=\left\{\int_{\partial M}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M}v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\
&\quad\geq\int_{\partial M}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}v_\nu^2\,d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\quad\geq\int_{\partial M}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\alpha_{k,\nu}^2F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\qquad+\int_{\partial M}\sum_{i<j}2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\left(F(\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}+F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

Observe that, given $i<j$, there exist $C,c>0$ such that

$$\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}(x)^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}(x)\geq c\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2+d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu},x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\epsilon_{i,\nu}^{1-n}$$

and

$$\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}(x)\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}(x)^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\leq C\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2+d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu},x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\epsilon_{i,\nu}^{1-n}\,,$$

for all $x \in \partial M$ such that $d_{g_0}(x, x_{i,\nu}) \leq \epsilon_{i,\nu}$ and ν sufficiently large. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(F(\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\\ &\quad\geq F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + c\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2 + d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu}, x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \epsilon_{i,\nu}^{1-n} 1_{\{d_{g_0}(x,x_{i,\nu})\leq\epsilon_{i,\nu}\}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

on ∂M, from which follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial M}\left(F(\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0} \qquad (4.18)\\ &\quad\geq \int_{\partial M} F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0} + c\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2 + d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu}, x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using this last estimate in (4.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\sum_{k=1}^m E(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\\ &\quad\geq \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu}^2\int_{\partial M} F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0}\\ &\qquad+\sum_{i<j}2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\int_{\partial M} F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0}\\ &\qquad\quad+c\sum_{i<j}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2 + d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu}, x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this last inequality in (4.16) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}E(v_\nu)\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\
&\quad\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} E(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\
&\qquad-\sum_{i<j} 2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\,dv_{g_0} \\
&\qquad-\sum_{i<j} 2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\int_{\partial M}\Big(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\Big)\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\,d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\qquad-c\sum_{i<j}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2+d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu},x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.19}
$$

It follows from Lemmas 3.14 and 3.15 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\left|\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\right|dv_{g_0} \\
&\quad+\int_{\partial M}\left|\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} + \frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right|\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\,d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\leq \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\left|\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\right|dv_{g_0} \\
&\quad+\int_{\partial M}\left|\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} + \overline{H}_\infty\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right|\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\,d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\quad+\left|\frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}) - \overline{H}_\infty\right|\int_{\partial M}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\,d\sigma_{g_0} \\
&\leq C\left(\rho+\frac{\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\rho}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2+d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu},x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + o(1)\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2+d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu},x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.20}
$$

where we used the fact that $\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\left|\frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}) - \overline{H}_\infty\right| = 0$, for all $j = 1, ..., m$. Now the assertion follows from the estimates (4.19) and (4.20), choosing ρ small and ν large. □

Corollary 4.9. *For all ν sufficiently large, we have $E(v_\nu) \leq (mQ(B^n, \partial B)^{n-1})^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.*

Proof. Using Proposition 3.7, we obtain $E(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}) \leq Q(B^n, \partial B)$ for all $k = 1, ..., m$. Then the result follows from Proposition 4.8 □

4.2 The case $u_\infty > 0$

Proposition 4.10. *There exist sequences* $\{\psi_a\}_{a\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty(M)$ *and* $\{\lambda_a > 0\}_{a\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ *satisfying:*

(i) For all $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{g_0}\psi_a = 0\,, & \text{in } M\,,\\ \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\psi_a - H_{g_0}\psi_a + \lambda_a u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a = 0\,, & \text{on } \partial M\,. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\partial M}\psi_a\psi_b u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0} = \begin{cases} 1\,, & \text{if } a = b\,,\\ 0\,, & \text{if } a \neq b\,. \end{cases}$$

(iii) The span of $\{\psi_a\}_{a=1}^\infty$ *is dense in* $L^2(\partial M)$.

(iv) We have $\lim_{a\to\infty}\lambda_a = \infty$.

Proof. Since we are assuming $H_{g_0} > 0$, for each $f \in L^2(\partial M)$ we can define $T(f) = u$ where $u \in H^1(M)$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{g_0}u = 0\,, & \text{in } M\,,\\ \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}u - H_{g_0}u = f u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\,, & \text{on } \partial M\,. \end{cases}$$

Since $H^1(M)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(\partial M)$, the operator $T : L^2(\partial M) \to L^2(\partial M)$ is compact. Integrating by parts we see that T is symmetric with respect to the inner product $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \mapsto \int_{\partial M}\psi_1\psi_2 u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0}$. Then the result follows from the spectral theorem for compact operators. □

Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a finite set such that $\lambda_a > \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty$ for all $a \notin A$ and define the projection

$$\Gamma(f) = \sum_{a\notin A}\left(\int_{\partial M}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right)\psi_a u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} = f - \sum_{a\in A}\left(\int_{\partial M}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right)\psi_a u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\,.$$

Lemma 4.11. *For any* $1 \leq p < \infty$ *there exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^p(\partial M)} \leq C&\left\|\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}f + \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}f\right\|_{L^p(\partial M)}\\ &+ C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M}u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in C^2(M)$ *such that* $\Delta_{g_0}f = 0$ *in* M.

Proof. Let us set $T(f) = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}f - H_{g_0}f + \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}f$ on ∂M. Suppose the result is not true. Then we can find a sequence of harmonic functions $\{f_j\}$ satisfying

$$1 = \|f_j\|_{L^p(\partial M)} \geq j\|T(f_j)\|_{L^p(\partial M)} + j\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f_j d\sigma_{g_0}\right| .$$

By Lemma 3.2 in [11],

$$\|f_j\|_{W^{1,p}(\partial M)} \leq C\|T(f_j)\|_{L^p(\partial M)} + C\|f_j\|_{L^p(\partial M)}\,, \quad \text{if } p > 1\,,$$

and by Proposition B-3 and Corollary B-4 we have

$$\|f_j\|_{W^{\frac{1}{2},1}(\partial M)} \leq C\|T(f_j)\|_{L^1(\partial M)} + C\|f_j\|_{L^1(\partial M)}\,.$$

It follows from compactness that we can find a function f satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\partial M)} = 1\,, \qquad \sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right| = 0\,,$$

and

$$\int_{\partial M} T(\psi_a) f d\sigma_{g_0} = 0 \quad \text{for any } a \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Hence,

$$\left(\lambda_a - \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty\right)\int_{\partial M}\psi_a f u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

In particular, $\int_{\partial M}\psi_a f u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} = 0$ for all $a \notin A$, which implies $f \equiv 0$ on ∂M and contradicts the fact that $\|f\|_{L^p(\partial M)} = 1$. □

Lemma 4.12. *There exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)} \leq C &\left\|\Gamma\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}f + \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}f\right)\right\|_{L^{\frac{n(n-1)}{n^2-2n+2}}(\partial M)} \\ &+ C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right|\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \leq C &\left\|\Gamma\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}f + \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}f\right)\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\ &+ C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right|\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

for all $f \in C^2(M)$ *such that* $\Delta_{g_0}f = 0$ *in* M.

Proof. We will follow the notation in the proof of Lemma 4.11 and set $p_0 = \frac{n(n-1)}{n^2-2n+2}$. By Lemma 3.2 in [11],

$$||f||_{W^{1,p_0}(\partial M)} \leq C||T(f)||_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)} + C||f||_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)} .$$

Thus it follows from Lemma 4.11 that

$$||f||_{W^{1,p_0}(\partial M)} \leq C||T(f)||_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)} + C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right| . \tag{4.23}$$

By the definition of Γ we have

$$T(f) = \Gamma(T(f)) + \sum_{a\in A}\left(\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty - \lambda_a\right)\left\{\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right\} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a . \tag{4.24}$$

Hence,

$$||T(f)||_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)} = ||\Gamma(T(f))||_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)} + C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right| . \tag{4.25}$$

Now the estimate (4.21) follows from (4.23), (4.25) and Sobolev's inequalities.

In order to prove (4.22), observe that by Lemma 4.11 we have

$$||f||_{L^1(\partial M)} \leq C||T(f)||_{L^1(\partial M)} + C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a f d\sigma_{g_0}\right| .$$

Now the result follows from the formula (4.24). □

Lemma 4.13. *There exists* $\zeta > 0$ *with the following significance:*
for all $z = (z_1, ..., z_a) \in \mathbb{R}^A$ *with* $|z| \leq \zeta$ *there exists a smooth function* $\bar{u}_z$*, such that* $\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_z = 0$ *in* M*, satisfying*

$$\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(\bar{u}_z - u_\infty)\psi_a d\sigma_{g_0} = z_a , \quad \textit{for all } a \in A , \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial\bar{u}_z}{\partial\eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_z + \overline{H}_\infty\bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right) = 0 . \tag{4.27}$$

Moreover, the mapping $z \mapsto \bar{u}_z$ *is real analytic.*

Proof. This is just an application of the implicit function theorem. □

Lemma 4.14. *There exists* $0 < \gamma < 1$ *such that*

$$\left|E(\bar{u}_z) - E(u_\infty)\right| \leq C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M}\psi_a\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial\bar{u}_z}{\partial\eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}\,\bar{u}_z + \overline{H}_\infty\,\bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)\right|^{1+\gamma} ,$$

if $|z|$ *is sufficiently small.*

Proof. Observe that the function $z \mapsto E(\bar{u}_z)$ is real analytic. According to results of Lojasiewicz (see the equation (2.4) on p.538 of [40]), there exists $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that

$$|E(\bar{u}_z) - E(u_\infty)| \leq \sup_{a \in A} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} E(\bar{u}_z) \right|^{1+\gamma} ,$$

if $|z|$ is sufficiently small. Derivating $E(\bar{u}_z)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_z^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} E(\bar{u}_z) &= -2(F(\bar{u}_z) - 2\overline{H}_\infty) \int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \tilde{\psi}_{a,z} \, d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_z}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - 2H_{g_0} \bar{u}_z + 2\overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right) \tilde{\psi}_{a,z} \, d\sigma_{g_0} ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where we have set $\tilde{\psi}_{a,z} = \dfrac{\partial \bar{u}_z}{\partial z_a}$ for $a \in A$. Derivating the identity (4.26) we obtain

$$\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \tilde{\psi}_{a,z} \psi_b \, d\sigma_{g_0} = \begin{cases} 1 , & \text{if } a = b , \\ 0 , & \text{if } a \neq b , \end{cases} \tag{4.29}$$

for all $b \in A$.

Integrating by parts and using the identity (4.27) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &(F(\bar{u}_z) - 2\overline{H}_\infty) \int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_z^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} \, d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &= - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_z}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - 2H_{g_0} \bar{u}_z + 2\overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right) \bar{u}_z \, d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &= - \sum_{b \in A} \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_z}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - 2H_{g_0} \bar{u}_z + 2\overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right) \psi_b \, d\sigma_{g_0} \cdot \int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \psi_b \, \bar{u}_z \, d\sigma_{g_0} \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Substituting (4.29) and (4.30) in (4.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_z^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} \, d\sigma_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} E(\bar{u}_z) \\ &\qquad = 2 \sum_{b \in A} \left(\int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_z^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} \, d\sigma_{g_0} \right)^{-1} \cdot \int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \tilde{\psi}_{a,z} \, d\sigma_{g_0} \cdot \int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \bar{u}_z \psi_b \, d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\qquad\qquad \cdot \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_z}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - 2H_{g_0} \bar{u}_z + 2\overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right) \psi_b \, d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\qquad - 2 \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_z}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - 2H_{g_0} \bar{u}_z + 2\overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right) \psi_a \, d\sigma_{g_0} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} E(\bar{u}_z) \right| \leq C \sup_{a \in A} \left| \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_z}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - 2H_{g_0} \bar{u}_z + 2\overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_z^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right) \psi_a \, d\sigma_{g_0} \right| ,$$

from which the assertion follows. □

We set

$$\mathcal{A}_\nu = \Big\{(z, (x_k, \epsilon_k, \alpha_k)_{k=1,\dots,m}) \in \mathbb{R}^A \times (\partial M \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)^m \,, \text{ such that} \\ |z| \le \zeta\,,\ d_{g_0}(x_k, x^*_{k,\nu}) \le \epsilon^*_{k,\nu}\,,\ \frac{1}{2} \le \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon^*_{k,\nu}} \le 2\,,\ \frac{1}{2} \le \alpha_k \le 2\Big\}\,.$$

For each ν we can choose a pair $(z_\nu, (x_{k,\nu}, \epsilon_{k,\nu}, \alpha_{k,\nu})_{k=1,\dots,m}) \in \mathcal{A}_\nu$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Big|d(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})\Big|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} \\ + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\Big(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}\Big)^2 d\sigma_{g_0} \\ \le \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Big|d(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k}\bar{u}_{(x_{k},\epsilon_{k})})\Big|^2_{g_0} dv_{g_0} \\ + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\Big(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k}\bar{u}_{(x_{k},\epsilon_{k})}\Big)^2 d\sigma_{g_0}\end{aligned}$$

for all $(z, (x_k, \epsilon_k, \alpha_k)_{k=1,\dots,m}) \in \mathcal{A}_\nu$.

The proof of the next two propositions are the same of Propositions 6.6 and 6.7 in [12]:

Proposition 4.15. *(i) For all $i \neq j$,*

$$\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}} + \frac{\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{i,\nu}} + \frac{d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu}, x_{j,\nu})^2}{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}} \to \infty\,, \quad \textit{as } \nu \to \infty\,.$$

(ii) We have

$$\Big\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)}\Big\|_{H^1(M)} \to 0\,, \quad \textit{as } \nu \to \infty\,.$$

Proposition 4.16. *We have*

$$|z_\nu| = o(1)$$

and

$$d_{g_0}(x_{k,\nu}, x^*_{k,\nu}) \le o(1)\epsilon^*_{k,\nu}\,, \quad \frac{\epsilon_{k,\nu}}{\epsilon^*_{k,\nu}} = 1 + o(1)\,, \quad \alpha_{k,\nu} = 1 + o(1)$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, m$. In particular, $(z_\nu, (x_k, \epsilon_k, \alpha_k)_{k=1,\dots,m})$ is an interior point of $\mathcal{A}_\nu$ for ν sufficiently large.

In what follows we assume that $\epsilon_{i,\nu} \le \epsilon_{j,\nu}$ for all $i \le j$.

Notation. *We write $u_\nu = v_\nu + w_\nu$, where*

$$v_\nu = \bar{u}_{z_\nu} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)} \quad \textit{and} \quad w_\nu = u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_k,\epsilon_k)}\,. \tag{4.31}$$

Observe that by Proposition 4.15 we have

$$\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0} = o(1) \tag{4.32}$$

Proposition 4.17. *Let $\psi_{k,\nu} : B_{2\rho}^+(0) \to M$ be Fermi coodinates centered at $x_{k,\nu}$. If we set*

$$C_\nu = \left(\int_{\partial M} |w_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}} + \left(\int_M |w_\nu|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} ,$$

then for all $k = 1, ..., m$ and $a \in A$ we have

(i) $\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \psi_a w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \le o(1) \int_{\partial M} |w_\nu| d\sigma_{g_0}$*;*

(ii) $\left|\int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \le o(1)C_\nu$*;*

(iii) $\left|\int_{\psi_{k,\nu}(\partial' B_{2\rho}^+(0))} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \frac{\epsilon_{k,\nu}^2 - |\psi_{k,\nu}^{-1}(x)|^2}{\epsilon_{k,\nu}^2 + |\psi_{k,\nu}^{-1}(x)|^2} w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \le o(1)C_\nu$*;*

(iv) $\left|\int_{\psi_{k,\nu}(\partial' B_{2\rho}^+(0))} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \frac{\epsilon_{k,\nu}\psi_{k,\nu}^{-1}(x)}{\epsilon_{k,\nu}^2 + |\psi_{k,\nu}^{-1}(x)|^2} w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \le o(1)C_\nu$*.*

Proof. (i) We set $\tilde{\psi}_{a,z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}\bar{u}_z$. It follows from the identities (4.27) and (4.29) that $\tilde{\psi}_{a,0} = \psi_a$ for all $a \in A$.

By the definition of $(z_\nu, (x_{k,\nu}, \epsilon_{k,\nu}, \alpha_{k,\nu})_{1\le k\le n})$ we have

$$\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} < d\tilde{\psi}_{a,z_\nu}, w_\nu >_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} \tilde{\psi}_{a,z_\nu} w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0} = 0\,.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_a \int_{\partial M} & u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \psi_a w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &= -\int_{\partial M}\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial \psi_a}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}\psi_a\right) w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &= \int_{\partial M}\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_0}}(\tilde{\psi}_{a,z_\nu} - \psi_a) - H_{g_0}(\tilde{\psi}_{a,z_\nu} - \psi_a)\right) w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then, since $\lambda_a > 0$ and $|z_\nu| \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$, we conclude that

$$\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \psi_a w_\nu \, d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \le o(1)\|w_\nu\|_{L^1(\partial M)}\,, \qquad \text{for all } a \in A\,, \tag{4.33}$$

from which the assertion (i) follows.

The proofs of (ii), (iii) and (iv) are similar to Proposition 4.5. □

Proposition 4.18. *There exists* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M}\left(u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} + \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\right) w_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} \\ \leq (1-c)\left\{\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} w_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

for all ν *sufficiently large.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction this is not true. Upon rescaling we can find a sequence $\{\tilde{w}_\nu\}$ satisfying

$$\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|d\tilde{w}_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M}\left(u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} + \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\right)\tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} \geq 1\,.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 4.6 and using the same notations we only have two possibilities:

Case 1. We can suppose that

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} > 0 \tag{4.34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\Big\{\int_{M\backslash\cup_{k=1}^m\Omega_{k,\nu}} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|d\tilde{w}_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M\backslash\cup_{k=1}^m\Omega_{k,\nu}} H_{g_0}\tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0}\Big\} \\ \leq \lim_{\nu\to\infty}\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\tilde{w}_\nu^2\, d\sigma_{g_0}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

In this case we can assume that $\tilde{w}_\nu \rightharpoonup \tilde{w}$ in $H^1(M)$ and, in view of (4.34) and (4.35), we have

$$\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\tilde{w}^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} > 0 \tag{4.36}$$

and

$$\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|d\tilde{w}|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0}\tilde{w}^2\, d\sigma_{g_0} \leq \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\tilde{w}^2\, d\sigma_{g_0}\,.$$

Then it follows from the definition of $\{\psi_a\}_{a\in\mathbb{N}}$ that

$$\sum_{a\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_a\left(\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a\tilde{w}\, d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^2 \leq \sum_{a\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty\left(\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a\tilde{w}\, d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^2\,. \tag{4.37}$$

By Proposition 4.17 we have

$$\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \psi_a \tilde{w} \, d\sigma_{g_0} = 0 , \quad \text{for all } a \in A .$$

This, together with (4.37), implies that $\tilde{w} \equiv 0$ on ∂M and contradicts the inequality (4.36).

Case 2. There exists $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \tilde{w}_\nu^2 \, d\sigma_{g_0} > 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \Big\{ \int_{\Omega_{k,\nu}} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} |d\tilde{w}_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\Omega_{k,\nu}\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} H_{g_0} \tilde{w}_\nu^2 \, d\sigma_{g_0} \Big\} \\ \leq \lim_{\nu\to\infty} \frac{n}{n-2} \overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \tilde{w}_\nu^2 \, d\sigma_{g_0} .\end{aligned}$$

In this case we proceed exactly as in the proof of Proposition 4.6 to reach a contradiction.

This finishes the proof. □

Corollary 4.19. *For all ν sufficiently large we have*

$$\frac{n}{n-2} \overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} w_\nu^2 \, d\sigma_{g_0} \leq (1-c) \left\{ \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} |dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 \, d\sigma_{g_0} \right\}$$

Proof. By the definition of v_ν (see formula (4.31)) we have

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \int_{\partial M} \Big| v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} - u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \Big|^{n-1} d\sigma_{g_0} = 0 .$$

Hence the assertion follows from Proposition 4.18. □

Lemma 4.20. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \leq C \|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} (H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + C \sum_{k=1}^{m} \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

if ν is sufficiently large.

Proof. Using

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{g_0} u_\nu = 0 , & \text{in } M , \\ \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} u_\nu - H_{g_0} u_\nu + \overline{H}_\infty u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} = -(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty) u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} , & \text{on } \partial M , \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial u_\nu}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}u_\nu + \overline{H}_\infty u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right) = 0\,,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\Big(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_0}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) - H_{g_0}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) + \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})\Big)& \\
= \Gamma\Big(- (H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} - \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty(\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} - u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}})(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})& \\
+ \overline{H}_\infty\Big(\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + \frac{n}{n-2}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) - u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\Big)\Big)&.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the estimate (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)} \leq C\,\Big\|\Gamma\Big(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_0}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) - H_{g_0}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})& \\
+ \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})\Big)\Big\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)}& \\
+ C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})d\sigma_{g_0}\right|&\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_0 = \frac{n(n-1)}{n^2-2n+2}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)} \leq C\left\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\right\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)}& \\
+ C\left\|(\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} - u_\infty^{\frac{n}{n-2}})(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})\right\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)}& \\
+ C\left\|\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + \frac{n}{n-2}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) - u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)}& \\
+ C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})d\sigma_{g_0}\right|&\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

It follows from the pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + \frac{n}{n-2}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) - u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right|& \\
\leq C\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\max\{0,\frac{2}{n-2}-1\}}|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\min\{2,\frac{n}{n-2}\}} + C|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}&
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + \frac{n}{n-2}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) - u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)}& \\
\leq C\left\||u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\min\{2,\frac{n}{n-2}\}} + C|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)}&\,.
\end{aligned}$$

If we set $A = |u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\min\{2, \frac{n}{n-2}\}} + |u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}$ then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)} &\leq \|A\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M \backslash \cup_{k=1}^m D_{N\epsilon_{k,\nu}}(x_{k,\nu}))} + \|A\|_{L^{p_0}(\cup_{k=1}^m D_{N\epsilon_{k,\nu}}(x_{k,\nu}))} \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m (N\epsilon_{k,\nu})^{\frac{(n-2)^2}{2n}} \|A\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} \\
&\quad + C \sum_{k=1}^m \Big\| |u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\min\{1, \frac{2}{n-2}\}} + |u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \Big\|_{L^{n-1}(\partial M \backslash \cup_{k=1}^m D_{N\epsilon_{k,\nu}}(x_{k,\nu}))} \\
&\qquad \cdot \|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)},
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality. Using Proposition 4.15 (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M \backslash \cup_{k=1}^m D_{N\epsilon_{k,\nu}}(x_{k,\nu}))} \\
&\quad = \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu}, \epsilon_{k,\nu})} + w_\nu \Big\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M \backslash \cup_{k=1}^m D_{N\epsilon_{k,\nu}}(x_{k,\nu}))} \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu} \|\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu}, \epsilon_{k,\nu})}\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M \backslash \cup_{k=1}^m D_{N\epsilon_{k,\nu}}(x_{k,\nu}))} + \|w_\nu\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)} \\
&\quad \leq C N^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We also observe that $n - 1 \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}$ if $n = 3, 4$. Hence,

$$\|A\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial M)} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m (N\epsilon_{k,\nu})^{\frac{(n-2)^2}{2n}} + C(N^{-1} + o(1)) \|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)}, \tag{4.40}$$

since $\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)}$ is uniformly bounded according to Proposition 4.15 (ii) and Sobolev's inequality. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{a \in A} \left| \int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \psi_a (u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) d\sigma_{g_0} \right| \qquad (4.41) \\
&\quad = \sup_{a \in A} \left| \int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \psi_a \Big(\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu}, \epsilon_{k,\nu})} + w_\nu \Big) d\sigma_{g_0} \right| \\
&\quad \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + o(1) \|w_\nu\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\
&\quad \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + o(1) \Big\| u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k,\nu} \bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu}, \epsilon_{k,\nu})} \Big\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\
&\quad \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + o(1) \|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^1(\partial M)},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we used the inequality (4.33).

Now we substitute (4.40) and (4.41) in (4.38) and, using the Hölder's inequality and choosing N large, we conclude that

$$\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)} \leq C\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} + C\sum_{k=1}^{m} \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{(n-2)^2}{2n}}$$

for ν sufficiently large. This proves the assertion. □

Lemma 4.21. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \leq C\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} + C\sum_{k=1}^{m} \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

if ν is sufficiently large.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.20, using the estimate (4.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \leq\, & C\left\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\ & + C\left\|(\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}} - u_\infty^{\frac{n}{n-2}})(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\ & + C\left\|\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + \frac{n}{n-2}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) - u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\ & + C\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})d\sigma_{g_0}\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

By the pointwise estimate (4.39) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\|\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} + \frac{n}{n-2}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}) - u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\ & \leq C\left\||u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\min\{\frac{n}{n-2},2\}} + C|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\ & \leq C\left\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)}^{\max\{0,1-\frac{n-2}{2}\}}\left\||u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)}^{\min\{1,\frac{n-2}{2}\}} + C\left\||u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \\ & \leq C\left\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)}^{\max\{0,1-\frac{n-2}{2}\}}\left\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\right\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}\min\{1,\frac{n-2}{2}\}} + C\left\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\right\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

where we used Holder's inequality in the second inequality above.

Substituting (4.41) and (4.43) in (4.42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \leq\, & C\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} + C\sum_{k=1}^{m} \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\ & + C\left\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\right\|_{L^1(\partial M)}^{\max\{0,1-\frac{n-2}{2}\}}\left\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\right\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}\min\{1,\frac{n-2}{2}\}} \\ & + C\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \end{aligned}$$

for ν sufficiently large. Now we use Young's inequality to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \leq C\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} + C\sum_{k=1}^m \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\ + C\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

Since Corollary 2.6 implies

$$\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} = \left(\int_{\partial M} |H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} d\sigma_{g_\nu}\right)^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}} \to 0$$

as $\nu \to \infty$, we can assume that

$$\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} < 1\,. \tag{4.45}$$

The result now follows applying Lemma 4.20 to the inequality (4.44). □

Lemma 4.22.

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M}\psi_a\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{z_\nu}}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu} + \overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \\ \leq C\left\{\int_{\partial M} u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}|H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}\right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}} + C\sum_{k=1}^m \epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

if ν is sufficiently large.

Proof. Integrating by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial M}\psi_a\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{z_\nu}}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu} + \overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\quad= \int_{\partial M}\psi_a\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial u_\nu}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}u_\nu + \overline{H}_\infty u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\quad\quad+ \lambda_a\int_{\partial M} u_\infty^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\psi_a(u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu})\,d\sigma_{g_0} - \overline{H}_\infty\int_{\partial M}\psi_a(u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}})\,d\sigma_{g_0}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}u_\nu - H_{g_0}u_\nu - \overline{H}_\infty u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} = -(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}$ on ∂M and the pointwise estimate

$$|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}| \leq C\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2}{n-2}}|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}| + C|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\,,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{a\in A}\left|\int_{\partial M}\psi_a\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{z_\nu}}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu} + \overline{H}_\infty \bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \\ &\quad\leq C\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} + C\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^1(\partial M)} + C\|u_\nu - \bar{u}_{z_\nu}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Lemmas 4.20 and 4.21 that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{a\in A}&\left|\int_{\partial M}\psi_a\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial\bar{u}_{z_\nu}}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}-H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}+\overline{H}_\infty\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right)d\sigma_{g_0}\right| \\ &\leq C\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu}-\overline{H}_\infty)\|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)}+C\|u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(H_{g_\nu}-\overline{H}_\infty)\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)}+C\sum_{k=1}^{m}\epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

The result now follows using the inequality (4.45) in (4.46). ☐

Proposition 4.23. *There exist* $C>0$ *and* $0<\gamma<1$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&E(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})-E(u_\infty)\\ &\qquad\leq C\left\{\int_{\partial M}u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}|H_{g_\nu}-\overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}\right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}(1+\gamma)}+C\sum_{k=1}^{m}\epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}(1+\gamma)}\end{aligned}$$

if ν *is sufficiently large.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemmas 4.14 and 4.22. ☐

Proposition 4.24. *There exists* $c>0$ *such that*

$$E(v_\nu)\leq\left(E(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})^{n-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}E(\bar{u}_{x_k,\epsilon_{k,\nu}})^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}-c\sum_{k=1}^{m}\epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

if ν *is sufficiently large.*

Proof. By the definition of v_ν, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dv_\nu|^2_{g_0}dv_{g_0}+\int_{\partial M}H_{g_0}v_\nu^2d\sigma_{g_0}\\ &=\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\int_M|d\bar{u}_{z_\nu}|^2_{g_0}dv_{g_0}+\int_{\partial M}H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^2d\sigma_{g_0}\\ &+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\alpha_{k,\nu}^2\left\{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\int_M|d\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}|^2_{g_0}dv_{g_0}+\int_{\partial M}H_{g_0}\bar{u}^2_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}\\ &+\sum_{k=1}^{m}2\alpha_{k,\nu}\left\{\int_M\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}<d\bar{u}_{z_\nu},d\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}>_{g_0}dv_{g_0}+\int_{\partial M}H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}\\ &+\sum_{i<j}2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\left\{\int_M\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}<d\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})},d\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}>_{g_0}dv_{g_0}+\int_{\partial M}H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}E(v_\nu)\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \qquad (4.47)\\
&\quad = \int_{\partial M}\frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m}\alpha_{k,\nu}^2\int_{\partial M}\frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{m}2\alpha_{k,\nu}\int_{\partial M}\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{z_\nu} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}\right)\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\quad - \sum_{i<j}2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\int_M\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\,\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}dv_{g_0}\\
&\quad - \sum_{i<j}2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\int_{\partial M}\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\right)\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(E(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})^{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m}E(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \qquad (4.48)\\
&= \left\{\int_{\partial M}\left(F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\\
&\geq \int_{\partial M}\left(F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} v_\nu^2\,d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\geq \int_{\partial M}F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\,d\sigma_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\alpha_{k,\nu}^2F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\quad + \int_{\partial M}\sum_{k=1}^{m}2\alpha_{k,\nu}\left(F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\bar{u}_{z_\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\quad + \int_{\partial M}\sum_{i<j}2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\left(F(\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $1 \leq k \leq m$. It follows from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + F(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}\\
&\quad\geq F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})} + c\epsilon_{k,\nu}^{-\frac{n}{2}}1_{\{d_{g_0}(x,x_{k,\nu})\leq\epsilon_{k,\nu}\}}
\end{aligned}$$

on ∂M, that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial M}\left(F(\bar u_{z_\nu})^{n-1}\bar u_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + F(\bar u_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\bar u_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\bar u_{z_\nu}\bar u_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0} \\ \geq \int_{\partial M} F(\bar u_{z_\nu})\bar u_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\bar u_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0} + c\epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

if ν is sufficiently large.

Using this last estimate and the inequality (4.18) in (4.48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(E(\bar u_{z_\nu})^{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} E(\bar u_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\ &\quad\geq \int_{\partial M} F(\bar u_{z_\nu})\bar u_{z_\nu}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{m}\alpha_{k,\nu}^2\int_{\partial M} F(\bar u_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})\bar u_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\qquad + \sum_{k=1}^{m} 2\alpha_{k,\nu}\int_{\partial M} F(\bar u_{z_\nu})\bar u_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\bar u_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\qquad + \sum_{i<j} 2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu}\int_{\partial M} F(\bar u_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})\bar u_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})}\bar u_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\qquad\quad + c\epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + c\sum_{i<j}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2 + d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu},x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this last inequality in (4.47) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}E(v_\nu)\left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\, d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \qquad (4.50)\\
&\quad\le \frac{1}{2}\left(E(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})^{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} E(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})})^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \left(\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\, d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\\
&\qquad - \sum_{k=1}^{m} 2\alpha_{k,\nu} \int_{\partial M} \Big(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{z_\nu} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\Big)\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})} d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\qquad - \sum_{i<j} 2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu} \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\; \bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})} dv_{g_0}\\
&\qquad - \sum_{i<j} 2\alpha_{i,\nu}\alpha_{j,\nu} \int_{\partial M} \Big(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})})\bar{u}_{(x_{j,\nu},\epsilon_{j,\nu})}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\Big)\bar{u}_{(x_{i,\nu},\epsilon_{i,\nu})} d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\qquad - c\epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} - c\sum_{i<j}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i,\nu}\epsilon_{j,\nu}}{\epsilon_{j,\nu}^2 + d_{g_0}(x_{i,\nu},x_{j,\nu})^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu}) \to \frac{1}{2}F(u_\infty) = \overline{H}_\infty$ as $\nu \to \infty$, we have the estimate

$$\int_{\partial M}\left|\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g_0}}\bar{u}_{z_\nu} - H_{g_0}\bar{u}_{z_\nu} + \frac{1}{2}F(\bar{u}_{z_\nu})\bar{u}_{z_\nu}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right|\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})} d\sigma_{g_0} \le o(1)\epsilon_{k,\nu}^{\frac{n-2}{2}}. \qquad (4.51)$$

Now the assertion follows from the estimates (4.20), (4.50) and (4.51), choosing ρ small and ν large. □

Corollary 4.25. *There exist* $C > 0$ *and* $0 < \gamma < 1$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
E(v_\nu) \le &\left(E(u_\infty)^{n-1} + mQ(B^n,\partial B)^{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\\
&+ C\left(\int_{\partial M} u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}(1+\gamma)}
\end{aligned}$$

if ν *is sufficiently large.*

Proof. Using Proposition 3.7, we obtain $E(\bar{u}_{(x_{k,\nu},\epsilon_{k,\nu})}) \le Q(B^n,\partial B)$ for all $k = 1, ..., m$. Then the result follows from Propositions 4.23 and 4.24. □

5 Proof of the main theorem

Let $u(t)$, $t \geq 0$, be the solution of (2.4) obtained in Section 2. The next proposition, which is analogous to Proposition 3.3 of [12], is a crucial step in our argument. The blow-up analysis of Section 4 is used in its proof.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\{t_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{\nu\to\infty} t_\nu = \infty$. Then we can choose $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $C > 0$ such that, after passing to a subsequence, we have*

$$\overline{H}_{g(t_\nu)} - \overline{H}_\infty \leq C \left\{ \int_{\partial M} u(t_\nu)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g(t_\nu)} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} d\sigma_{g_0} \right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}(1+\gamma)}$$

for all ν.

Proof. Let us set $u_\nu(x) = u(x, t_\nu)$ and $g_\nu = g(t_\nu) = u_\nu^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$. We consider the nonnegative smooth function u_∞ obtained in Proposition 4.1 and write $u_\nu = v_\nu + w_\nu$ as in the formula (4.2) if $u_\infty \equiv 0$, or in the formula (4.31) if $u_\infty > 0$. Then integrating by parts the equations (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{H}_{g_\nu} = & \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} |dv_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} v_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0} \\
& + \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} |dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0} \\
& + \int_M \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} < dw_\nu, dv_\nu >_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_0} v_\nu w_\nu d\sigma_{g_0} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} < dw_\nu, dv_\nu >_{g_0} dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_0} v_\nu w_\nu d\sigma_{g_0} \\
& = \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_\nu} u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu d\sigma_{g_0} - \int_M \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} |w_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} - \int_{\partial M} 2H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{H}_{g_\nu} = & \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} |dv_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} v_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0} \\
& - \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} |dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} - \int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0} + 2\int_{\partial M} H_{g_\nu} u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu d\sigma_{g_0} \,,
\end{aligned}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{H}_{g_\nu} = & \frac{1}{2} E(v_\nu) \left\{ \int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + 2\int_{\partial M} (H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty) u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu d\sigma_{g_0} \\
& - \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} |dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} - \int_{\partial M} \left\{ H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 - \frac{n}{n-2} \overline{H}_\infty v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} w_\nu^2 \right\} d\sigma_{g_0} \\
& + \overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M} \left\{ -\frac{n}{n-2} v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} w_\nu^2 + 2(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu \right\} d\sigma_{g_0} \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

We can prove that

$$\left\{\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} - 1 \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1}\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} - \frac{n-2}{n-1}\,.$$

Thus, it follows from the volume normalization $\int_{\partial M}(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} = 1$ that

$$\left\{\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} - 1 \leq \int_{\partial M}\left\{\frac{n-2}{n-1}v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} - \frac{n-2}{n-1}(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right\} d\sigma_{g_0}\,. \tag{5.2}$$

Using the equation (5.2) in the equation (5.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{H}_{g_\nu} \leq{}& \overline{H}_\infty + (\frac{1}{2}E(v_\nu) - \overline{H}_\infty)\left\{\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + 2\int_{\partial M}(H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty)u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&+ \overline{H}_\infty \int_{\partial M}\Big\{\frac{n-2}{n-1}v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} - \frac{n}{n-2}v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} w_\nu^2 \\
&\qquad + 2(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu - \frac{n-2}{n-1}(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\Big\} d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&- \int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} - \int_{\partial M}\left\{H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 - \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} w_\nu^2\right\} d\sigma_{g_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Now we are going to estimate some terms of the right hand side of (5.3) separately. By the Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial M} u_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} (H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty) w_\nu d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\leq \left\{\int_{\partial M} u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}} \cdot \left\{\int_{\partial M} |w_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

It follows from Corollaries 4.7 and 4.19 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M}\left\{H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 - \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty v_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu^2\right\} d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\geq c\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + c\int_{\partial M} H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 d\sigma_{g_0}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}|dw_\nu|_{g_0}^2 dv_{g_0} + \int_{\partial M}\left\{H_{g_0} w_\nu^2 - \frac{n}{n-2}\overline{H}_\infty v_\nu^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu^2\right\} d\sigma_{g_0}\\
&\geq c'\left\{\int_{\partial M} |w_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

since $Q(M, \partial M) > 0$. By the pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{n-2}{n-1} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} - \frac{n}{n-2} v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} w_\nu^2 + 2(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu - \frac{n-2}{n-1}(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right| \\ &\leq C v_\nu^{\max\{0, \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} - 3\}} |w_\nu|^{\min\{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}, 3\}} + C |w_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}},\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial M} \left|\frac{n-2}{n-1} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} - \frac{n}{n-2} v_\nu^{\frac{2}{n-2}} w_\nu^2 + 2(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w_\nu - \frac{n-2}{n-1}(v_\nu + w_\nu)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}\right| d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\leq C \int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\max\{0, \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} - 3\}} |w_\nu|^{\min\{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}, 3\}} d\sigma_{g_0} + C \int_{\partial M} |w_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \qquad (5.6) \\ &\leq C \left\{\int_{\partial M} |w_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1} \min\{\frac{n-1}{n-2}, \frac{3}{2}\}}.\end{aligned}$$

We recall that $\|w_\nu\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)} = o(1)$ by (4.3) and (4.32). Using the estimates (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) in the inequality (5.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{H}_{g_\nu} \leq \overline{H}_\infty &+ (\frac{1}{2} E(v_\nu) - \overline{H}_\infty) \left\{\int_{\partial M} v_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\ &+ C \left\{\int_{\partial M} u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n}{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

In view of the equation (4.1), the Corollaries 4.9 and 4.25 imply that

$$\frac{1}{2} E(v_\nu) - \overline{H}_\infty \leq C \left\{\int_{\partial M} u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}(1+\gamma)}.$$

Hence,

$$\overline{H}_{g_\nu} \leq \overline{H}_\infty + C \left\{\int_{\partial M} u_\nu^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g_\nu} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}(1+\gamma)},$$

where we also used Corollary 2.6 with $p = \frac{2(n-1)}{n}$.

This finishes the proof of Proposition 5.1. □

Once we have proved Corollary 2.6 and Proposition 5.1, the proof of the following result is a simple argument by contradiction as in Proposition 3.4 of [12].

Proposition 5.2. *There exist* $0 < \gamma < 1$ *and* $t_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$\overline{H}_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty \leq \left\{\int_{\partial M} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}(1+\gamma)}$$

for all $t \geq t_0$.

Corollary 5.3. *There exist* $0 < \gamma < 1$, $C > 0$ *and* $t_1 > 0$ *such that*

$$\overline{H}_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty \le C\left\{\int_{\partial M} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} dv_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}(1+\gamma)}$$

for all $t \ge t_1$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{H}_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty \le C&\left\{\int_{\partial M} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)}|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} dv_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n}{2(n-1)}(1+\gamma)} \\ &+ C(\overline{H}_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty)^{1+\gamma}\,,\end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows. □

Proposition 5.4. *There exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{\int_{\partial M} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \le C$$

for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof. By the evolution equation (2.7) and Corollary 5.3, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(\overline{H}_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty) &= -(n-2)\int_{\partial M} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2\, u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \\ &\le -(n-2)\left\{\int_{\partial M} \left|H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)}\right|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \\ &\le -c(\overline{H}_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty)^{\frac{2}{1+\gamma}}\end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$ sufficiently large. Hence, $\frac{d}{dt}(\overline{H}_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty)^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}} \ge c$, which implies

$$\overline{H}_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_\infty \le Ct^{-\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}}\,.$$

Then using Hölder's inequality and the equation (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_T^{2T} \left(\int_{\partial M} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &\le \left(\int_T^{2T} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_T^{2T} \int_{\partial M} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\, dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\{\frac{1}{n-2} T(\overline{H}_{g(T)} - \overline{H}_{g(2T)})\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}}\end{aligned}$$

for T sufficiently large. This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\partial M} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\partial M} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \left(\int_{\partial M} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} k} \leq C\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof. ◻

Proposition 5.5. *Given $\gamma_0 > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{D_r(x)} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \leq \gamma_0$$

for all $x \in \partial M$ and all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\gamma_0 > 0$. Using Propostion 5.4 we can choose $T > 0$ large such that

$$\int_T^\infty \left\{\int_{\partial M} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2 d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \leq \frac{\gamma_0}{2(n-1)}\,. \tag{5.7}$$

Then we choose $r > 0$ small such that

$$\int_{D_r(x)} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \leq \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \tag{5.8}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $x \in \partial M$. By the second equation of (2.4) we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D_r(x)} u(t) d\sigma_{g_0} - \int_{D_r(x)} u(T)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0} \qquad (5.9)\\
&= \int_T^t \frac{d}{dt}\left\{\int_{D_r(x)} u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\} dt \\
&= -(n-1) \int_T^t \int_{D_r(x)} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})\, u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\, dt \\
&\leq (n-1) \int_T^\infty \left\{\int_{\partial M} (H_{g(t)} - \overline{H}_{g(t)})^2\, u(t)^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} dt
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \geq T$ and all $x \in \partial M$, where we have used the boundary area normalization. The result now follows from the inequalities (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9). ◻

Proposition 5.6. *There exist $C, c > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_M u(t) \leq C \quad \textit{and} \quad \inf_M u(t) \geq c\,, \quad \textit{for all } t \geq 0\,. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. By the estimate (2.10) and the Sobolev's embedding theorems, we can choose $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_M u(t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0} \leq C_1\,, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0\,.$$

We fix $n-1 < q < p < n$. According to Corollary 2.6 there is $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\partial M} |H_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)} \leq C_2\,, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0\,.$$

We set $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1^{\frac{p}{p-q}} C_2^{-\frac{q}{p-q}}$, where γ_1 is the constant obtained in Proposition A-2. By Proposition 5.5 there is $r > 0$ such that

$$\int_{D_r(x)} d\sigma_{g(t)} \leq \gamma_0\,, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,\ x \in \partial M\,.$$

Then

$$\int_{D_r(x)} |H_{g(t)}|^q d\sigma_{g(t)} \leq \left\{ \int_{D_r(x)} d\sigma_{g(t)} \right\}^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left\{ \int_{D_r(x)} |H_{g(t)}|^p d\sigma_{g(t)} \right\}^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq \gamma_1\,.$$

Hence, the first assertion of (5.10) follows from Proposition A-2 and the maximum principle. The second one follows exactly as in the proof of the second estimate of (2.8). □

Now we are able to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Once we have proved Proposition 5.6, it follows as in [11] p.642 that all higher order derivatives of u are uniformly bounded. The uniqueness of the asymptotic limit of $H_{g(t)}$ follows from Proposition 5.4. □

6 Appendix A - Some elliptic estimates

The next proposition is a modification of the arguments in the proof of Theorems 8.17 and 8.18 of [28]. We refer the reader to Lemma 3.2 of [43] and Lemma 3.3 of [42] for similar results under boundary conditions. (See also the proof of Lemma A.1 in [30].)

Proposition A-1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M. Let $q > n-1$ and $h \in L^q(\partial M)$ with $\|h\|_{L^q(\partial M)} \leq \Lambda$. We fix $r_0 > 0$ small and for each $x \in \partial M$ consider $\psi_x : B^+_{4r_0}(0) \to M$ Fermi coordinates centered at x. Then* *(a) If $p > 1$, there exists $C = C(n, g, p, q, \Lambda)$ such that*

$$\sup_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} u \leq Cr^{-\frac{n}{p}} \|u\|_{L^p(\psi_x(B_{2r}^+(0)))} + Cr^{1-\frac{n-1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q(D_{4r}(x))}$$

for any $x \in \partial M$, $r < r_0$, and $0 < u \in H^1(M)$, $f \in L^q(\partial M)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u \geq 0\,, & \text{in } M\,, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_g} u + hu \geq f\,, & \text{on } \partial M\,. \end{cases}$$

(b) If $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{n-2}$, there exists $C = C(n, g, p, q, \Lambda)$ such that

$$r^{-\frac{n}{p}} \|u\|_{L^p(\psi_x(B_{2r}^+(0)))} \leq C \inf_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} u + Cr^{1-\frac{n-1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q(D_{4r}(x))}$$

for any $x \in \partial M$, $r < r_0$, and $0 < u \in H^1(M)$, $f \in L^q(\partial M)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u \leq 0\,, & \text{in } M\,, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_g} u + hu \leq f\,, & \text{on } \partial M\,. \end{cases}$$

Remark. Observe that $D_r(x) = \psi_x(\partial' B_r(0))$, according to our notations (see Section 2).

Proposition A-2. *Let (M^n, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M and dimension $n \geq 3$. We choose $\rho > 0$ small such that for all $x \in \partial M$ we have Fermi coordinates $\psi_x : B_{2\rho}^+(0) \to M$ centered at x. For each $q > n - 1$ and $C_1 > 0$, we can find constants $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(n, g_0, q, C_1) > 0$ and $C = C(n, g_0, q) > 0$ with the following significance: if $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$ is a conformal metric satisfying*

$$\int_M dv_g \leq C_1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{D_r(x)} |H_g|^q d\sigma_g \leq \gamma_1$$

for $x \in \partial M$ and $0 < r < \rho$, then we have

$$u(x) \leq Cr^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\int_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} .$$

Proof. We will first suppose that $C_1 = 1$. We can assume that for any $x \in \partial M$ and Fermi coordinates $\psi_x : B_{2\rho}^+(0) \to M$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |z| \leq d_{g_0}(\psi_x(z), x) \leq \sqrt{2} |z|\,, \qquad \text{for all } z \in B_{2\rho}^+(0)\,. \tag{A-1}$$

Let $r \in (0, \rho)$ and $x \in \partial M$ be given. We define

$$f(s) = (r - s)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \sup_{B_s^+(0)} u \circ \psi_x\,, \qquad \text{for } s \in (0, r]\,,$$

and $f(0) = r^{\frac{n-2}{2}}u(x)$. Then we can choose $r_0 \in [0, r)$ satisfying $f(r_0) \geq f(s)$ for all $s \in [0, r)$, and $x_0 = (x_0^1, ..., x_0^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $|x| \leq r_0$ such that

$$u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \geq u \circ \psi_x(z)\,, \qquad \text{for all } z \in B^+_{r_0}(0)\,.$$

Let us set $\bar{x}_0 = (x_0^1, ..., x_0^{n-1}, 0)$ and choose any $0 < s \leq \frac{r-r_0}{2}$. Let us first assume $s > 8x_0^n$. It follows from Proposition A-1 that there exists $C = C(n, g_0, q)$ such that

$$s^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \sup_{B^+_{s/8}(0)} u \circ \psi_{\psi_x(\bar{x}_0)} \leq C\left\{\int_{\psi_{\psi_x(\bar{x}_0)}(B^+_{s/4}(0))} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}$$
$$+ Cs^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n-1}{q}}\left\{\int_{\psi_{\psi_x(\bar{x}_0)}(\partial' B^+_{s/2}(0))} \left|\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}u\right|^q d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\,,$$

where $\psi_{\psi_x(\bar{x}_0)} : B^+_{2\rho}(0) \to M$ are Fermi coordinates centered at $\psi_x(\bar{x}_0)$. By the hypothesis (A-1) and the fact that $s/8 < x_0^n$, we then have

$$s^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \leq C\left\{\int_{\psi_x(B^+_s(\bar{x}_0))} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}$$
$$+ Cs^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n-1}{q}}\left\{\int_{\psi_x(\partial' B^+_s(\bar{x}_0))} \left|\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} - H_{g_0}u\right|^q d\sigma_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\,.$$

Using the second equation of (2.1), we conclude that

$$s^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \leq C\left\{\int_{\psi_x(B^+_s(\bar{x}_0))} dv_g\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}$$
$$+ Cs^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n-1}{q}}\left\{\int_{\psi_x(\partial' B^+_s(\bar{x}_0))} u^{\frac{n}{n-2}q - \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} |H_g|^q d\sigma_g\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

holds whenever $8x_0^n < s \leq \frac{r-r_0}{2}$.

On the other hand, by a standard interior estimate for linear elliptic equations (see [28], Theorem 8.17 on p.194) if $s \leq 8x_0^n$ and $s \leq \frac{r-r_0}{2}$ then there exists $C = C(n, g_0)$ such that

$$s^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \leq C\left\{\int_{\psi_x(B^+_r(0))} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0}\right\}^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}\,.$$

By the definitions of r_0 and x_0 we obtain

$$\sup_{B^+_{\frac{r-r_0}{2}}(\bar{x}_0)} u \circ \psi_x \leq \sup_{B^+_{\frac{r+r_0}{2}}(0)} u \circ \psi_x \leq 2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0)\,.$$

Hence, there exists $K = K(n, g_0, q) > 0$ such that

$$s^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \leq K \left\{ \int_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} dv_g \right\}^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \tag{A-2}$$

$$+ K\Big(s^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0)\Big)^{\frac{n}{n-2} - \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{D_r(x))} |H_g|^q d\sigma_g \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $0 < s \leq \frac{r-r_0}{2}$.

Now we choose $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(n, g_0, q) > 0$ such that

$$(2K)^{\frac{n}{n-2} - \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{A-3}$$

and claim that

$$\left(\frac{r-r_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \leq 2K \tag{A-4}$$

if $\int_M dv_g \leq 1$ and $\int_{D_r(x)} |H_g|^q d\sigma_g \leq \gamma_1$. Indeed, if $\left(\frac{r-r_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) > 2K$, then we can use the inequality (A-2) with $s = \left(\frac{2K}{u \circ \psi_x(x_0)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} < \frac{r-r_0}{2}$ to conclude that $2K \leq K + K(2K)^{\frac{n}{n-2} - \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_1^{\frac{1}{q}}$, contradicting our choice of γ_1.

Using the estimate (A-2) with $s = \frac{r-r_0}{2}$ and the inequality (A-4) we can see that

$$\left(\frac{r-r_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \leq K \left(\int_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}(2K)^{\frac{n}{n-2} - \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{r-r_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0)\,.$$

Hence, by (A-3),

$$\left(\frac{r-r_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \leq 2K \left(\int_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}\,.$$

This implies

$$r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u(x) \leq (r-r_0)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u \circ \psi_x(x_0) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} K \left(\int_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}\,.$$

proving the case $C_1 = 1$.

Next we will turn attention to the case $C_1 > 1$. Let γ_1 be the constant obtained above. If $\int_M dv_g \leq C_1$, we choose $\lambda = C_1^{-\frac{n-2}{2n}}$ and set $\tilde{g} = \lambda^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g = (\lambda u)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$. Then $\int_M dv_{\tilde{g}} \leq 1$ and we have $\int_{D_r(x)} |H_{\tilde{g}}|^q d\sigma_{\tilde{g}} \leq \gamma_1$ whenever $\int_{D_r(x)} |H_g|^q d\sigma_g \leq \gamma_1 C_1^{\frac{n-1-q}{n}}$. In that case, we just have proved above that

$$\lambda u(x) \leq C r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\int_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} dv_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}$$

which is equivalent to

$$u(x) \leq Cr^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\int_{\psi_x(B_r^+(0))} dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}$$

by scaling. This finishes the proof. □

The next proposition can be proved adapting the arguments on the proof of Proposition A.2 of [12], using Proposition A-1(b) and interior Harnack estimates for elliptic linear equations (see [28], Theorem 8.18).

Proposition A-3. *Suppose $u > 0$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{g_0} u \geq 0\,, & \textit{in } M\,, \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} u + Pu \geq 0\,, & \textit{on } \partial M\,, \end{cases}$$

where $P \in C^\infty(\partial M)$. Then there exists $C = C(M, g_0, P)$ such that

$$\int_M u dv_{g_0} \leq C \inf_M u\,.$$

In particular,

$$\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0} \leq C \inf_M u \left(\sup_M u \right)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\,.$$

Proposition A-4. *Suppose $u > 0$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{g_0} u \leq 0\,, & \textit{in } M\,, \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g_0}} u + Pu \leq 0\,, & \textit{on } \partial M\,, \end{cases}$$

where $P \in L^q(\partial M)$ and $q > n-1$. Then there exists $C = C(M, g_0, q, \|P\|_{L^q(\partial M)})$ such that

$$\sup_M u \leq C \left(\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}\,.$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Proposition A-1(a) and the fact that M is compact. □

7 Appendix B - Construction of the Green function

In this section we prove the existence of the Green function used in this article and some of its properties. The construction performed here is similar to the ones in p.106 of [7] and p.201 of [22].

Lemma B-1. *Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u : M\backslash\{x\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying*

$$|u(y)| \leq C_0 d_g(x,y)^\alpha\,, \quad |\nabla_g u(y)|_g \leq C_0 d_g(x,y)^{\alpha-1}\,,$$

for any $x \neq y \in M$. Then for any $0 < \theta \leq 1$ there exists $C_1 = C_1(M,n,g,C_0,\alpha)$ such that

$$|u(y) - u(z)| \leq C_1 d_g(y,z)^\theta (d_g(x,y)^{\alpha-\theta} + d_g(x,z)^{\alpha-\theta})$$

for any $y,z \in M$, $y \neq x \neq z$.

Proof. Let $y \neq x$ and $z \neq x$.

1st case: $d_g(y,z) \leq \frac{1}{2} d_g(x,y)$. Let $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ be a smooth curve such that $\gamma(0) = y$, $\gamma(1) = z$, and $\int_0^1 |\gamma'(t)|_g dt \leq \frac{3}{2} d_g(y,z)$.

Claim. We have

$$\frac{1}{4} d_g(x,y) \leq d_g(\gamma(t),x) \leq \frac{7}{4} d_g(x,y)\,.$$

Proof of the claim. Since $d_g(y,\gamma(t)) \leq \frac{3}{2} d_g(y,z) \leq \frac{3}{4} d_g(x,y)$, we have

$$d_g(x,\gamma(t)) \geq d_g(x,y) - d_g(\gamma(t),y) \geq d_g(x,y) - \frac{3}{4} d_g(x,y) = \frac{1}{4} d_g(x,y)$$

Moreover,

$$d_g(\gamma(t),x) \leq d_g(\gamma(t),y) + d_g(y,x) \leq \frac{3}{4} d_g(x,y) + d_g(x,y) = \frac{7}{4} d_g(x,y)$$

which proves the claim.

We write $u(z) - u(y) = \int_0^1 g(\nabla_g u(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t))\, dt$ by the fundamental theorem of calculus. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |u(y) - u(z)| &\leq \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |\nabla_g u(\gamma(t))|_g \int_0^1 |\gamma'(t)|_g dt \leq C \sup_{t\in[0,1]} d_g(\gamma(t),x)^{\alpha-1} \frac{3}{2} d_g(y,z) \\ &\leq C(\alpha) d_g(x,y)^{\alpha-1} d_g(y,z) \leq C(\alpha) d_g(x,y)^{\alpha-\theta} d_g(y,z)^\theta \end{aligned}$$

2nd case: $d_g(y,z) > \frac{1}{2} d_g(x,y)$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(y) - u(z)| &\leq |u(y)| + |u(z)| \leq C d_g(y,x)^\alpha + C d_g(z,x)^\alpha \\ &\leq C d_g(y,x)^{\alpha-\theta} d_g(z,y)^\theta + C d_g(z,x)^{\alpha-\theta} (d_g(x,y) + d_g(y,z))^\theta \\ &\leq C d_g(y,z)^\theta (d_g(x,y)^{\alpha-\theta} + d_g(x,z)^{\alpha-\theta})\,. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Notation. *In what follows* (M, g) *will denote a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary* ∂M*, dimension* $n \geq 3$ *and Sobolev quotient* $Q(M, \partial M)$ *positive. We denote by* L_g *the conformal Laplacian* $\Delta_g - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g$*, and by* B_g *the boundary conformal operator* $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \eta_g} - \dfrac{n-2}{2(n-1)} H_g$*, where* η_g *is the inward unit normal vector to* ∂M*.*

Let us fix $x_0 \in \partial M$ and assume there exist $C = C(M, n, g)$ and $N > 1$ such that

$$H_g(y) \leq C d_g(x_0, y)^N\,, \quad \text{for all } y \in \partial M\,. \tag{B-1}$$

We are going to construct a Green function G_{x_0} with singularity at x_0.

Proposition B-2. *Under the above conditions, if* N *is sufficiently large then there exists* $0 < G_{x_0} \in C^\infty(M \backslash \{x_0\})$ *satisfying*

$$\phi(x_0) = -\int_M G_{x_0}(y) L_g \phi(y) dv_g(y) - \int_{\partial M} G_{x_0}(y) B_g \phi(y) d\sigma_g(y) \tag{B-2}$$

for any $\phi \in C^2(M)$*. Moreover, the following properties hold:*

(P1) There exists $C = C(M, n, g)$ *such that for any* $y \in M$*,* $y \neq x_0$*,*

$$|G_{x_0}(y)| \leq C d_g(x_0, y)^{2-n}\,, \quad |\nabla_g G_{x_0}(y)|_g \leq C d_g(x_0, y)^{1-n}\,.$$

(P2) Consider Fermi coordinates $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ *centered at* x_0*. In those coordinates, let us write* $g_{ab} = \exp(h_{ab})$ *where* h_{ab}*,* $a, b = 1, ..., n$*, is a symmetric 2-tensor of the form*

$$h_{ij}(y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} h_{ij,\alpha} y^\alpha + O(|y|^{d+1})\,,$$

for $i, j = 1, ..., n-1$*, and* $h_{an} = 0$ *for* $a = 1, ..., n$*. Here,* $d = \left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]$*. Then there exists* $C = C(M, n, g)$ *such that*

$$\left| G_{x_0}(y) - \frac{|y|^{2-n}}{(n-2)\sigma_{n-1}} \right| \leq C \sum_{a,b=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ab,\alpha}| d_g(x_0, y)^{|\alpha|+2-n} + C d_g(x_0, y)^{d+3-n}\,, \tag{B-3}$$

$$\left| \nabla_g \Big(G_{x_0}(y) - \frac{|y|^{2-n}}{(n-2)\sigma_{n-1}} \Big) \right|_g \leq C \sum_{a,b=1}^{n-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d} |h_{ab,\alpha}| d_g(x_0, y)^{|\alpha|+1-n} + C d_g(x_0, y)^{d+2-n}\,.$$

Proof. Firstly, we are going to define an appropriate coordinate system for points near the boundary. Let us set $d_x = d(x, \partial M)$ for $x \in M$ and

$$M_\rho = \{x \in M\,;\ d_x < \rho\}\,, \quad \text{for } \rho > 0\,.$$

We choose $\rho_0 > 0$ small such that the function

$$\begin{aligned} M_{2\rho_0} &\to \partial M \\ x &\mapsto \bar{x} \end{aligned}$$

is well defined and smooth, where $\bar{x}$ is defined by $d(x,\bar{x}) = d(x,\partial M)$. Then $\partial_t M = \{x \in M\,;\, d_x = t\}$ is a smooth embedded $(n-1)$-submanifold of M for any $0 < t < 2\rho_0$. For each $x \in M_{\rho_0}$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} M_{2\rho_0} &\to \partial_{d_x} M \\ y &\mapsto y_x\,, \end{aligned}$$

where y_x is defined by $d(y,y_x) = d(y,\partial_{d_x}M)$.

For any $x \in M_{\rho_0}$ we define the local coordinate system $\psi_x(y) = (y_1,...,y_n)$ on $M_{2\rho_0}$, where $y_n = d_y$ and $(y_1,...,y_{n-1})$ are normal coordinates of y_x centered at x, with respect to the submanifold $\partial_{d_x}M$. Then $(x,y) \mapsto \psi_x(y)$ is locally defined and smooth.

Observe that $\psi_x(x) = (0,...,0,d_x)$ for any $x \in M_{\rho_0}$ and ψ_x are Fermi coordinates for any $x \in \partial M$. Moreover, $g_{an} \equiv \delta_{an}$ and $g_{ab}(x) = \delta_{ab}$, $a,b = 1,...,n$, in the coordinates $\psi_x(y) = (y_1,...,y_n)$. It is also clear that $d\psi_x^{-1}\Big(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\Big)$ is the normal unit vector on ∂M. Choosing ρ_0 possibly smaller, we can assume that $\psi_x(y) = (y_1,...,y_n)$ is defined for $0 \le y_n < 2\rho_0$ and $|(y_1,...,y_{n-1})| < \rho_0$, for any $x \in M_{\rho_0}$.

Let $\chi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to [0,1]$ be a smooth cutoff function satisfying $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t < \rho_0/2$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \ge \rho_0$. For each $x \in M_{\rho_0}$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1(x,y) = \chi(\frac{1}{2}y_n)\chi(|(y_1,...,y_{n-1})|) \\ \cdot\Big\{|(y_1,...,y_{n-1},y_n-d_x)|^{2-n} + |(y_1,...,y_{n-1},y_n+d_x)|^{2-n}\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

where we are using the coordinates $\psi_x(y) = (y_1,...,y_n)$. Observe that

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_a^2}\mathcal{H}_1(x,y) = 0\,, \quad \text{for } |(y_1,...,y_{n-1})| < \rho_0/2\,,\ 0 \le y_n < \rho_0\,,\ x \ne y\,.$$

Moreover, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\mathcal{H}_1(x,y) = 0$ if $y \in \partial M$, $x \ne y$.

For each $x \in M\backslash M_{\rho_0/2}$ we set

$$\mathcal{H}_2(x,y) = \chi(4d_g(y,x))d_g(y,x)^{2-n} \quad \text{if } 0 < d_g(y,x) < \rho_0/4\,.$$

and 0 otherwise. We can assume that $\rho_0/4$ is smaller than the injectivity radius of (M,g). If we express $y \mapsto \mathcal{H}_2(x,y)$ in normal coordinates $(y_1,...,y_n)$ centered at x, we have $\mathcal{H}_2(x,y) = \chi(4|(y_1,...,y_n)|)|(y_1,...,y_n)|^{2-n}$ and thus

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_a^2}\mathcal{H}_2(x,y) = 0\,, \quad \text{for } 0 < d_g(y,x) < \rho_0/8\,.$$

We now define $\mathcal{H} : M \times M\backslash D_M \to \mathbb{R}$ by the expression

$$\mathcal{H}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(n-2)\sigma_{n-1}}\chi(d_x)\mathcal{H}_1(x,y) + \frac{1}{(n-2)\sigma_{n-1}}(1-\chi(d_x))\mathcal{H}_2(x,y)\,.$$

Here, $D_M = \{(x,x) \in M \times M\,;\ x \in M\}$. Thus $\mathcal{H}(x,y) = \mathcal{H}_1(x,y)$ if $x \in M_{\rho_0/2}$ and $\mathcal{H}(x,y) = \mathcal{H}_2(x,y)$ if $x \in M\backslash M_{\rho_0}$ Observe that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{g,y}}\mathcal{H}(x,y) = 0$ if $y \in \partial M$, $y \neq x$.

Expressing $y \mapsto \mathcal{H}_1(x,y)$ and $y \mapsto \mathcal{H}_2(x,y)$ in their respective coordinate systems (as described above) one can check that there exists $C = C(M,g,n)$ such that

$$|L_{g,y}\mathcal{H}(x,y)| \leq C d_g(x,y)^{1-n}\,.$$

For any $\phi \in C^2(M)$ and any $x \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) = &\int_M \Big(\Delta_{g,y}\mathcal{H}(x,y)\phi(y) - \mathcal{H}(x,y)\Delta_g\phi(y)\Big)dv_g(y)\\ &- \int_{\partial M}\mathcal{H}(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_g}\phi(y)d\sigma_g(y)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{B-4}$$

since this expression holds for $\frac{1}{(n-2)\sigma_{n-1}}\mathcal{H}_1$ when $x \in M_{\rho_0}$, and for $\frac{1}{(n-2)\sigma_{n-1}}\mathcal{H}_2$ when $x \in M\backslash M_{\rho_0/2}$. In particular, $\Delta_{distr,y}\mathcal{H}(x,y) = \Delta_{g,y}\mathcal{H}(x,y) - \delta_x$.

We define $\Gamma_k : M \times M\backslash D_M \to \mathbb{R}$ inductively by setting

$$\Gamma_1(x,y) = L_{g,y}\mathcal{H}(x,y)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{k+1}(x,y) = \int_M \Gamma_k(x,z)\Gamma_1(z,y)dv_g(z)\,.$$

According to Proposition 4.12 on p.108 of [7], which is a result due to Giraud ([29], p.50), we have

$$|\Gamma_k(x,y)| \leq \begin{cases} C d_g(x,y)^{k-n}\,, & \text{if } k < n\,,\\ C(1 + |\log d_g(x,y)|)\,, & \text{if } k = n\,,\\ C\,, & \text{if } k > n\,,\end{cases} \tag{B-5}$$

for some $C = C(M,g,n)$. Moreover, Γ_k is continuous on $M \times M$ for $k > n$ and on $M \times M\backslash D_M$ for $k \leq n$.

We are now going to refine the estimate (B-5) around the point $x_0 \in \partial M$ using the expansion $g_{ab} = \exp(h_{ab})$. One can see that

$$|L_{g,y}\mathcal{H}(x_0,y)| \leq C\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d}|h_{ab,\alpha}|d_g(x_0,y)^{|\alpha|-n} + C d_g(x_0,y)^{d+1-n}$$

since $\mathcal{H}(x,y) = \mathcal{H}_1(x,y)$ for $x \in \partial M$. Giraud's result then implies

$$|\Gamma_k(x_0,y)| \leq C\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{d}|h_{ab,\alpha}|d_g(x_0,y)^{k-1+|\alpha|-n} + d_g(x_0,y)^{k+d-n}\,,\ \text{ if } k < n-d\,. \tag{B-6}$$

Claim 1. Given $0 < \theta < 1$ there exists $C = C(M,g,n,\theta)$ such that

$$|\Gamma_{n+1}(x,y) - \Gamma_{n+1}(x,y')| \leq C d_g(y,y')^{\theta}\,,\quad \text{for any } y \neq x \neq y'\,. \tag{B-7}$$

In particular, $\Gamma_{n+1}(x_0,\cdot) \in C^{0,\theta}(M)$.

Proof of Claim 1. We first observe that

$$|\Gamma_1(x,y) - \Gamma_1(x,y')| \le C d_g(y,y')^\theta \left(d_g(x,y)^{1-\theta-n} + d_g(x,y')^{1-\theta-n}\right),$$

according to Lemma B-1. Then the claim follows from the estimates (B-5) and Giraud's result.

Let us set

$$F_k(x,y) = \mathcal{H}(x,y) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z)\mathcal{H}(z,y)dv_g(z).$$

The next claim can be proved by induction on k.

Claim 2. For any $\phi \in C^2(M)$ and any $x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) = &-\int_M F_k(x,y)L_g\phi(y)dv_g(y) - \int_{\partial M} F_k(x,y)B_g\phi(y)d\sigma_g(y) \\ &+ \int_M \Gamma_{k+1}(x,y)\phi(y)dv_g(y) - \int_{\partial M} \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}H_g(y)F_k(x,y)\phi(y)d\sigma_g(y) \end{aligned} \tag{B-8}$$

for all $k = 1, 2, ...$

Claim 3. For any $x \in M$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, $y \mapsto F_n(x,y)$ is in $C^{1,\theta}(M\backslash\{x\})$ and satisfies

$$|F_n(x,y)| \le C d_g(x,y)^{2-n}, \quad |\nabla_{g,y}F_n(x,y)|_g \le C d_g(x,y)^{1-n}, \tag{B-9}$$

$$\frac{|\nabla_{g,y}F_n(x,y) - \nabla_{g,y'}F_n(x,y')|_g}{d_g(y,y')^\theta} \le C' d_g(x,y)^{1-\theta-n} + C' d_g(x,y')^{1-\theta-n}. \tag{B-10}$$

Here, $C = C(M,g,n)$ and $C' = C'(M,g,n,\theta)$. In particular, $y \mapsto \dfrac{\partial}{\partial\eta_{g,y}}F_n(x,y)$ exists and is continuous in $\partial M\backslash\{x\}$ for any $x \in \partial M$.

As a consequence of Claim 3 we can choose N large enough such that $y \mapsto H_g(y)F_n(x_0,y)$ is in $C^{1,\theta}(\partial M)$ for $0 < \theta < 1$ and satisfies

$$||H_g(\cdot)F_n(x_0,\cdot)||_{C^{1,\theta}(\partial M)} \le C(M,g,n,\theta). \tag{B-11}$$

Proof of Claim 3. We first choose $y \neq x$, and let y_t be a smooth curve such that $y_0 = y$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M\backslash B_r(y)} \Gamma_j(x,z)\mathcal{H}(z,y_t)dv_g(z) = \int_{M\backslash B_r(y)} \Gamma_j(x,z)\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}(z,y_t)dv_g(z)$$

for any $r > 0$. We choose $t_0 = t_0(x,y) > 0$ such that $\dfrac{1}{2} \le \dfrac{d_g(x,y_t)}{d_g(x,y)} \le \dfrac{3}{2}$ for all $t \in [0,t_0)$.

For any $r > 0$ such that $2r < d_g(x,y)$ and $t \in (0, t_0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_r(y)} \Gamma_j(x,z) \Big| \frac{\mathcal{H}(z,y_t) - \mathcal{H}(z,y)}{t} \Big| dv_g(z) \\
&\qquad \leq C \int_{B_r(y)} d_g(x,z)^{1-n} (d_g(z,y_t)^{1-n} + d_g(z,y)^{1-n}) dv_g(z) \\
&\qquad \leq C 2^{n-1} d_g(x,y)^{1-n} \int_{B_r(y)} (d_g(z,y_t)^{1-n} + d_g(z,y)^{1-n}) dv_g(z) \\
&\qquad \leq C 2^{n-1} (2^{n-1} + 1)\, d_g(x,y)^{1-n} \int_{B_r(y)} d_g(z,y)^{1-n} dv_g(z)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and the rhs goes to 0 as $r \to 0$.

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z) \mathcal{H}(z,y_t) dv_g(z) = \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z) \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(z,y_t) dv_g(z) \tag{B-12}$$

and the estimates in (B-9) follow from Giraud's result.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{d_g(y,y')^\theta} \Big| \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mathcal{H}(z,y) dv_g(z) - \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mathcal{H}(z,y') dv_g(z) \Big| \\
&\qquad \leq \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z) \Big| \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mathcal{H}(z,y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \mathcal{H}(z,y')}{d_g(y,y')^\theta} \Big| dv_g(z) \\
&\qquad \leq C \int_M d_g(x,z)^{1-n} (d_g(z,y)^{1-\theta-n} + d_g(z,y')^{1-\theta-n}) dv_g(z) \\
&\qquad \leq C(M,g,n,\theta) (d_g(x,y)^{2-\theta-n} + d_g(x,y')^{2-\theta-n})
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma B-1 in the second inequality, and Giraud's result in the last one.

This proves Claim 3.

We define $u_{x_0} \in C^{2,\theta}(M)$ to be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_g u_{x_0}(y) = -\Gamma_{n+1}(x_0, y)\,, & \text{in } M\,, \\ B_g u_{x_0}(y) = \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} H_g(y) F_n(x_0, y)\,, & \text{on } \partial M\,, \end{cases} \tag{B-13}$$

which satisfies

$$||u_{x_0}||_{C^{2,\theta}(M)} \leq C ||u_{x_0}||_{C^0(M)} + C ||\Gamma_{n+1}(x_0, \cdot)||_{C^{0,\theta}(M)} + C ||H_g(\cdot) F_n(x_0, \cdot)||_{C^{1,\theta}(\partial M)}\,, \tag{B-14}$$

where $C = C(M,g,n,\theta)$. (See Theorems 6.30 and 6.31 on pp.127-128 of [28]. See also Theorem 7.3 on p.668 of [1].) The existence and uniqueness of u_{x_0} is due to the hypothesis $Q(M, \partial M) > 0$.

Claim 4. There exists $C = C(M, g, n, \theta)$ such that $\|u_{x_0}\|_{C^{2,\theta}(M)} \leq C$.

Proof of Claim 4. Using the formula (B-8) with $k = n$ and any $\phi \in C^2(M)$, one can see that

$$\sup_M |\phi| \leq C \sup_M |L_g\phi| + C \sup_{\partial M} |B_g\phi| + C\|\phi\|_{L^2(M)} + C\|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial M)} .$$

Since $Q(M, \partial M) > 0$, there exists $C = C(M, g, n)$ such that

$$\int_M \phi^2 dv_g + \int_{\partial M} \phi^2 d\sigma_g \leq C \int_M |L_g(\phi)\phi| dv_g + C \int_{\partial M} |B_g(\phi)\phi| d\sigma_g .$$

Thus, the Young's inequality implies

$$\int_M \phi^2 dv_g + \int_{\partial M} \phi^2 d\sigma_g \leq C \int_M L_g(\phi)^2 dv_g + C \int_{\partial M} B_g(\phi)^2 d\sigma_g .$$

Hence,

$$\|\phi\|_{C^0(M)} \leq C\|L_g\phi\|_{C^0(M)} + C\|B_g\phi\|_{C^0(\partial M)} .$$

Setting $\phi = u_{x_0}$ and using the equations (B-13) we see that

$$\|u_{x_0}\|_{C^0(M)} \leq C\|\Gamma_{n+1}(x_0, \cdot)\|_{C^0(M)} + C\|H_g(\cdot)F_n(x_0, \cdot)\|_{C^0(\partial M)} . \tag{B-15}$$

Now the Claim 4 follows from the estimates (B-5), (B-7), (B-11), (B-14) and (B-15).

We define the function $G_{x_0} \in C^{1,\theta}(M\backslash\{x_0\}$ by

$$G_{x_0}(y) = \mathcal{H}(x_0, y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_M \Gamma_i(x_0, z)\mathcal{H}(z, y) dv_g(z) + u_{x_0}(y) .$$

One can check that the formula (B-2) holds.

Claim 5. The function G_{x_0} is in $C^\infty(M\backslash\{x_0\})$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} L_g G_{x_0} = 0 , & \text{in } M\backslash\{x_0\} , \\ B_g G_{x_0} = 0 , & \text{on } \partial M\backslash\{x_0\} . \end{cases} \tag{B-16}$$

Proof of Claim 5. In order to prove Claim 5 we rewrite the formula (B-4) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M \mathcal{H}(x, y) L_g\phi(y) dv_g(y) + \int_{\partial M} \mathcal{H}(x, y) B_g\phi(y) d\sigma_g(y) \qquad \text{(B-17)} \\ &\quad = \int_M L_{g,y}\mathcal{H}(x, y)\phi(y) dv_g(y) \\ &\qquad - \phi(x) - \int_{\partial M} \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} H_g(y)\mathcal{H}(x, y)\phi(y) d\sigma_g(y) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \left\{ \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z)\mathcal{H}(z,y)dv_g(z) \right\} L_g\phi(y)dv_g(y) \\
&\qquad + \int_{\partial M} \left\{ \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z)\mathcal{H}(z,y)dv_g(z) \right\} B_g\phi(y)d\sigma_g(y) \\
&= \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z) \left\{ \int_M \mathcal{H}(z,y)L_g\phi(y)dv_g(y) + \int_{\partial M} \mathcal{H}(z,y)B_g\phi(y)d\sigma_g(y) \right\} dv_g(z) \\
&= \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z) \int_M L_{g,y}\mathcal{H}(z,y)\phi(y)dv_g(y)dv_g(z) \\
&\qquad - \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z) \left\{ \int_{\partial M} \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} H_g(y)\mathcal{H}(z,y)\phi(y)d\sigma_g(y) + \phi(z) \right\} dv_g(z) \\
&= \int_M \left\{ \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z)L_{g,y}\mathcal{H}(z,y)dv_g(z) - \Gamma_j(x,y) \right\} \phi(y)dv_g(y) \\
&\qquad - \int_{\partial M} \left\{ \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z)\mathcal{H}(z,y)dv_g(z) \right\} \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} H_g(y)\phi(y)d\sigma_g(y) \qquad \text{(B-18)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (B-17) in the second equality. Hence we have proved that the equations

$$
\begin{cases}
L_{g,y} \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z)\mathcal{H}(z,y)dv_g(z) = \Gamma_{j+1}(x,y) - \Gamma_j(x,y)\,, & \text{in } M\,, \\
B_{g,y} \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z)\mathcal{H}(z,y)dv_g(z) = -\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} H_g(y) \int_M \Gamma_j(x,z)\mathcal{H}(z,y)dv_g(z)\,, & \text{on } \partial M\,,
\end{cases}
$$

hold in the sense of distributions. Then it is easy to check that the equations (B-16) hold in the sense of distributions. Since $G_{x_0} \in C^{1,\theta}(M \backslash \{x_0\})$, elliptic regularity arguments imply that $G_{x_0} \in C^\infty(M \backslash \{x_0\})$. This proves Claim 5.

The property (P1) follows from the formula (B-9) and Claim 4. In order to prove (P2) we use (B-5), (B-6), (B-12) and Claim 4.

Claim 6. The function G_{x_0} is positive on $M \backslash \{x_0\}$.

Proof of Claim 6. Let

$$
G^-_{x_0} = \begin{cases} -G_{x_0}\,, & \text{if } G_{x_0} < 0\,, \\ 0\,, & \text{if } G_{x_0} \geq 0\,. \end{cases}
$$

Since G_{x_0} has support in $M \backslash \{x_0\}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= -\int_M G^-_{x_0} L_g G_{x_0} dv_g - \int_{\partial M} G^-_{x_0} B_g G_{x_0} d\sigma_g \\
&= \int_M \left(|\nabla_g G^-_{x_0}|^2_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g (G^-_{x_0})^2 \right) dv_g + \int_{\partial M} \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} H_g (G^-_{x_0})^2 d\sigma_g\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the hypothesis $Q(M, \partial M) > 0$, we have $G^-_{x_0} \equiv 0$ which implies $G_{x_0} \geq 0$.

We now change the metric by a conformal factor $0 < u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g$ satisfies $R_{\tilde{g}} > 0$ in M and $H_{\tilde{g}} \equiv 0$ on ∂M (see [26]). Observing the conformal properties (2.2) and (2.3), we see that $\tilde{G} = u^{-1}G_{x_0} \geq 0$ satisfies $L_{\tilde{g}}\tilde{G} = 0$ in $M\backslash\{x_0\}$ and $B_{\tilde{g}}\tilde{G} = 0$ on $\partial M\backslash\{x_0\}$. The strong maximum principle then implies $\tilde{G} > 0$, proving Claim 6.

This finishes the proof of Proposition B-2. □

Let us set $D_M = \{(x,x) \in M \times M;\ x \in M\}$. Now we want to extend the Green function $G_{x_0} : M\backslash\{x_0\} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ obtained in Proposition B-2 to a function on $M \times M\backslash D_M$. So, in order to define G_{x_0} for all points $x_0 \in M$, we change conformally the metric in such a way that $H_g \equiv 0$ on ∂M and $R_g > 0$ in M (see [26]).

Proposition B-3. *There exists a positive continuous function $G : M \times M\backslash D_M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

$$\phi(x) = -\int_M G(x,y)L_g\phi(y)dv_g(y) - \int_{\partial M} G(x,y)B_g\phi(y)d\sigma_g(y) \tag{B-19}$$

for any $\phi \in C^2(M)$ and any $x \in M$. Moreover, the following properties hold:

(P1) For any $x, y \in M$, $x \neq y$, $G(x,y) = G(y,x)$ and $G(x,y) > 0$.

(P2) For each $x \in M$, $y \mapsto G(x,y) \in C^\infty(M\backslash\{x\})$, and there exist $C = C(M,g,n)$ such that

$$|G(x,y)| \leq Cd_g(x,y)^{2-n}\,, \quad |\nabla_{g,y}G(x,y)|_g \leq Cd_g(x,y)^{1-n}\,,$$

for any $x, y \in M$, $x \neq y$.

Remark. A conformal change of the metric does not affect the result of this proposition. More precisely, if we obtain $G(x,y)$ as above, then $\tilde{G}(x,y) = v(x)^{-1}v(y)^{-1}G(x,y)$ satisfies the conclusions of Proposition B-3 when we replace the metric g by $\tilde{g} = v^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g$. Here, $0 < v \in C^\infty(M)$. The formula (B-19) in this case is clear when we use the conformal properties (2.2) and (2.3).

Proof. Since $H_g \equiv 0$ on ∂M, the hypothesis (B-1) is satisfied for any point $x = x_0 \in \partial M$. Moreover, the construction in Proposition B-2 can be performed for any other $x \in M\backslash\partial M$, since we can always solve the equations (B-13) using the fact that $R_g > 0$ in M. Then we define $G(x,y) = G_x(y)$ and the formula (B-19) follows from (B-2).

Here, we will follow the notations of the proof of Proposition B-2 and set $u(x,y) = u_x(y)$.

As in the estimate (B-15), we have

$$||u_x - u_{x'}||_{C^0(M)} \leq C||\Gamma_{n+1}(x,\cdot) - \Gamma_{n+1}(x',\cdot)||_{C^0(M)}$$

Using Claim 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|u(x,y)-u(x',y')| &\le |u_x(y)-u_{x'}(y)|+|u_{x'}(y)-u_{x'}(y')|\\ &\le \|u_x-u_{x'}\|_{C^0(M)}+C\sup_{y\in M}|\nabla_g u_{x'}(y)|_g d_g(y,y')\\ &\le C\|\Gamma_{n+1}(x,\cdot)-\Gamma_{n+1}(x',\cdot)\|_{C^0(M)}+Cd_g(y,y')\,,\end{aligned}$$

where the right hand side goes to zero as $(x,y)\to(x',y')$ because $(x,y)\mapsto\Gamma_{n+1}(x,y)$ is continuous. Hence we see that $(x,y)\mapsto u(x,y)$ is continuous. From this we conclude that G is continuous on $M\times M\backslash D_M$.

Claim 7. For any $x\neq y$ we have $G(x,y)=G(y,x)$.

Proof of Claim 7. Given $0\le f_1,f_2\in C_0^\infty(M\backslash\partial M)$ we choose ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 satisfying

$$\begin{cases}L_g\phi_1=f_1\,, & \text{in}\,M\,,\\ B_g\phi_1=0\,, & \text{on}\,\partial M\,,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}L_g\phi_2=f_2\,, & \text{in}\,M\,,\\ B_g\phi_2=0\,, & \text{on}\,\partial M\,.\end{cases}$$

Then, by the formula (B-19) and Tonneli's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M\int_M G(x,y)L_g\phi_1(y)L_g\phi_2(x)dv_g(y)dv_g(x)\\ &\qquad=-\int_M\phi_1(x)L_g\phi_2(x)dv_g(x)=-\int_M L_g\phi_1(y)\phi_2(y)dv_g(y)\\ &\qquad=\int_M\int_M G(y,x)L_g\phi_1(y)L_g\phi_2(x)dv_g(x)dv_g(y)\,.\end{aligned}\tag{B-20}$$

Thus,

$$\int_M\int_M(G(x,y)-G(y,x))f_1(y)f_2(x)dv_g(y)dv_g(x)=0\,.$$

Then we see that $G(x,y)=G(y,x)$ for all $x,y\in M\backslash\partial M$, with $x\neq y$. Since $(x,y)\mapsto G(x,y)-G(y,x)$ is continuous on $M\times M\backslash D_M$ and vanishes on $\{(M\backslash\partial M)\times(M\backslash\partial M)\}\backslash D_M$, we see that $G(x,y)=G(y,x)$ for all $x,y\in M$, with $x\neq y$. This proves Claim 7.

The property (P2) is the property (P1) of Proposition B-2, concluding the proof of Proposition B-3. ☐

Corollary B-4. *Let $G:M\times M\backslash D_M\to\mathbb{R}$ be the Green function obtained in Proposition B-3. If $0<\alpha<1$, then*

$$T(f)(x)=\int_{\partial M}G(x,y)f(y)dv(y)$$

defines a continuous linear map $T:L^1(\partial M)\to W^{\alpha,1}(\partial M)$. Here $W^{\alpha,1}(\partial M)$ denotes the fractional Sobolev space.

Proof. Since

$$|T(f)(x)| \leq C \int_{\partial M} d_g(x,y)^{2-n} |f(y)| d\sigma_g(y)$$

we have $\|T(f)\|_{L^1(\partial M)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(\partial M)}$ for some $C = C(M,n,g)$. Moreover,

$$\frac{T(f)(x) - T(f)(x')}{d_g(x,x')^{n-1+\alpha}} = \int_{\partial M} \frac{G(x,y) - G(x',y)}{d_g(x,x')^{n-1+\alpha}} f(y) d\sigma_g(y)\,.$$

Let $\theta \in (\alpha, 1)$. By Lemma B-1 and (P2) of Proposition B-3 we have

$$\frac{|G(x,y) - G(x,y')|}{d_g(y,y')^{\theta}} \leq C d_g(x,y)^{2-\theta-n} + C d_g(x,y')^{2-\theta-n}\,.$$

Then

$$\frac{|G(x,y) - G(x',y)|}{d_g(x,x')^{\theta}} = \frac{|G(y,x) - G(y,x')|}{d_g(x,x')^{\theta}} \leq C d_g(y,x)^{2-\theta-n} + C d_g(y,x')^{2-\theta-n}\,.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\partial M} \frac{|T(f)(x) - T(f)(x')|}{d_g(x,x')^{n-1+\alpha}} d\sigma_g(x,x') \\
&\quad \leq C \iiint_{\partial M} d_g(x,x')^{\theta-\alpha-n+1} (d_g(x,y)^{2-\theta-n} + d_g(x',y)^{2-\theta-n}) |f(y)| d\sigma_g(x,x',y) \\
&\quad \leq C \int_{\partial M} \left\{ \int_{\partial M} d_g(x',y)^{2-\alpha-n} dv_g(x') \right\} |f(y)| d\sigma_g(y) \\
&\qquad + C \int_{\partial M} \left\{ \int_{\partial M} d_g(x,y)^{2-\alpha-n} dv_g(x) \right\} |f(y)| d\sigma_g(y) \\
&\quad \leq C(M,n,g,\alpha) \|f\|_{L^1(\partial M)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

8 Appendix C - The asymptotic behavior of Palais-Smale sequences

Let (M^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M and dimension $n \geq 3$. In this appendix we consider the following family of equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u = 0\,, & \text{in } M\,, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_g} u - h_\nu u + u^{\frac{n}{n-2}} = 0\,, & \text{on } \partial M\,, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N}\,, \end{cases} \tag{C-1}$$

and their associated functionals

$$I_g^\nu(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |du|_g^2 dv_g + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M} h_\nu u^2 d\sigma_g - \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \int_{\partial M} |u|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_g\,, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N}\,,$$

for $u \in H^1(M)$. Here, $\{h_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of functions in $C^\infty(\partial M)$.

Definition C-1. *We say that* $\{u_\nu\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}} \subset H^1(M)$ *is a Palais-Smale sequence for* $\{I^\nu_g\}$ *if*
(i) $\{I^\nu_g(u_\nu)\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is bounded; and*
(ii) $dI^\nu_g(u_\nu) \to 0$ *strongly in* $H^1(M)'$ *as* $\nu \to \infty$.

In this section we describe the asymptotic behavior of Palais-Smale sequences for $\{I^\nu_g\}$. We show that, as $\nu \to \infty$ and $h_\nu \to h_\infty$ each Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_\nu \geq 0\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ is $H^1(M)$-asymptotic to a nonnegative solution of the limit equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u = 0\,, & \text{in}\, M\,,\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_g}u - h_\infty u + u^{\frac{n}{n-2}} = 0\,, & \text{on}\, \partial M\,, \end{cases} \tag{C-2}$$

plus a finite number of "bubbles" obtained by rescaling fundamental positive solutions of the Euclidean equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0\,, & \text{in}\, \mathbb{R}^n_+\,,\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}u + u^{\frac{n}{n-2}} = 0\,, & \text{on}\, \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+\,. \end{cases} \tag{C-3}$$

Since, to the best of the author's knowledge, there is no such result in the literature for the type of equations we are working with, we provide a proof here.

Our result is inspired by Struwe's theorem in [44] for equations of the type $\Delta u + \lambda u + |u|^{\frac{4}{n-2}}u = 0$ on Euclidean domains. We refer the reader to the Chapter 3 of Druet-Hebey-Robert's book [22] for a version of Struwe's theorem on closed Riemannian manifolds, and to [15, 16, 35] for similar equations with boundary conditions.

We now begin to state our theorem more precisely.

Convention. We assume that there is some $h_\infty \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ and $C > 0$ such that $h_\nu \to h_\infty$ in $L^2(\partial M)$ as $\nu \to \infty$ and $|h_\nu(x)| \leq C$ for all $x \in \partial M$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. This obviously implies that $h_\nu \to h_\infty$ in $L^p(\partial M)$ as $\nu \to \infty$, for any $p \geq 1$.

We define the Sobolev's space $D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ as the completion of $C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |du(y)|^2 dy}\,.$$

It follows from a Liouville-type theorem established by Li and Zhu in [31] (see also [24] and [19]) that any nonnegative solution in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ to the equations (C-3) is of the form

$$U_{\epsilon,a}(y) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{(y_n + \frac{\epsilon}{n-2})^2 + |\bar{y} - a|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,, \qquad a \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \epsilon > 0\,, \tag{C-4}$$

or is identically zero (see the remark concerning regularity in the proof of Theorem C-2). According to independent works by Escobar ([23]) and Beckner ([9]) we have the sharp Euclidean Sobolev's inequality

$$\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} |u(y)|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} dy\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \leq K_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |du(y)|^2 dy\,, \tag{C-5}$$

for $u \in D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, which has the family (C-4) as extremal functions. Here, $K_n = \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}$, where σ_{n-1} is the area of the unit $(n-1)$-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Up to a multiplicative nonzero constant, the functions defined by (C-4) are the only nontrivial extremal ones for the inequality (C-5).

We define the functional I^{∞}_g by the same expression of I^{ν}_g with $h_\nu = h_\infty$ for all ν, and state our theorem as follows:

Theorem C-2. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M and dimension $n \geq 3$. Suppose $\{u_\nu \geq 0\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for $\{I^{\nu}_g\}$. Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, sequences $\{R^j_\nu > 0\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{x^j_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \partial M$ for $j = 1, ..., m$, a nonnegative solution $u^0 \in H^1(M)$ of (C-2) and nontrivial nonegative solutions $U^j = U_{\epsilon_j, a_j} \in D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ of (C-3) satisfying, up to a subsequence,*

(i) $R^j_\nu \to \infty$ as $\nu \to \infty$, for $j = 1, ..., m$;

(ii) x^j_ν converges as $\nu \to \infty$, for $j = 1, ..., m$;

(iii) $\left\| u_\nu - u^0 - \sum_{j=1}^m \eta^j_\nu u^j_\nu \right\|_{H^1(M)} \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$, where

$$u^j_\nu(x) = (R^j_\nu)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} U^j(R^j_\nu \psi^{-1}_{\nu,j}(x)) \quad \textit{for } x \in B^+_{r_0}(0)\,,$$

for each $j = 1, ..., m$. Here, $r_0 > 0$ is small,

$$\psi_{\nu,j} : B^+_{2r_0}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to M$$

are Fermi coordinates centered at $x^j_\nu \in \partial M$, η^j_ν are smooth cutoff functions such that $\eta^j_\nu \equiv 1$ in $\psi_{\nu,j}(B^+_{r_0}(0))$, and $\eta^j_\nu \equiv 0$ in $M \backslash \psi_{\nu,j}(B^+_{2r_0}(0))$.

Moreover,

$$I^{\nu}_g(u_\nu) - I^{\infty}_g(u^0) - \frac{m}{2(n-1)} K_n^{-2(n-1)} \to 0 \quad \textit{as } \nu \to \infty\,,$$

and we can assume that for all $i \neq j$

$$\frac{R^i_\nu}{R^j_\nu} + \frac{R^j_\nu}{R^i_\nu} + R^i_\nu R^j_\nu d_g(x^i_\nu, x^j_\nu)^2 \to \infty \quad \textit{as } \nu \to \infty\,. \tag{C-6}$$

Remark. We point out that relations of the type (C-6) were previously obtained in [8, 10].

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem C-2 which will be performed in several lemmas. Our presentation will follow the same steps of the Chapter 3 of [22] with the necessary modifications.

Lemma C-3. *Let $\{u_\nu\}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for $\{I^{\nu}_g\}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that $||u_\nu||_{H^1(M)} \leq C$ for all ν.*

Proof. It sufficies to prove that $||du_\nu||_{L^2(M)}$ and $||u_\nu||_{L^2(\partial M)}$ are uniformly bounded. Hence the proof follows the same arguments of [22], p.27. □

If we define I_g by $I_g = I_g^\nu$ with $h_\nu \equiv 0$ for all ν, then we have:

Lemma C-4. *Let $\{u_\nu \geq 0\}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for $\{I_g^\nu\}$ such that $u_\nu \rightharpoonup u^0 \geq 0$ in $H^1(M)$ and set $\hat{u}_\nu = u_\nu - u^0$. Then $\{\hat{u}_\nu\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for $\{I_g\}$ and satisfies*

$$I_g(\hat{u}_\nu) - I_g^\nu(u_\nu) + I_g^\infty(u^0) \to 0 \quad \text{as } \nu \to \infty\,. \tag{C-7}$$

Moreover, u^0 is a (weak) solution of (C-2).

Proof. First observe that $u_\nu \rightharpoonup u^0$ in $H^1(M)$ implies that $u_\nu \to u^0$ in $L^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(\partial M)$ and a.e. in ∂M. Using the facts that $dI_g^\nu(u_\nu)\phi \to 0$ for any $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ and $h_\nu \to h_\infty$ in $L^p(\partial M)$ for any $p \geq 1$, it is not difficult to see that the last assertion of Lemma C-4 follows.

In order to prove (C-7), we first observe that

$$I_g^\nu(u_\nu) = I_g(\hat{u}_\nu) + I_g^\infty(u^0) - \frac{(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\int_{\partial M}\Phi_\nu d\sigma_g + o(1)\,,$$

where $\Phi_\nu = |\hat{u}_\nu + u^0|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} - |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} - |u^0|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}$, and $o(1) \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$. Then (C-7) follows from the fact that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\partial M}\Phi_\nu d\sigma_g \leq C\int_{\partial M}|\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}|u^0|d\sigma_g + C\int_{\partial M}|u^0|^{\frac{n}{n-2}}|\hat{u}_\nu|d\sigma_g\,, \quad \text{for all } \nu\,,$$

and, by basic integration theory, the right hand side of this last inequality goes to 0 as $\nu \to \infty$.

Now we are going to prove that $\{\hat{u}_\nu\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for I_g. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$. Observe that

$$\left|\int_{\partial M}h_\nu u_\nu\phi d\sigma_g - \int_{\partial M}h_\infty u_\nu\phi d\sigma_g\right| \leq ||u_\nu||_{L^2(\partial M)}||h_\nu - h_\infty||_{L^{2(n-1)}(\partial M)}||\phi||_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)}$$

by Hölder's inequality. Then, by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\int_{\partial M}h_\nu u_\nu\phi d\sigma_g = \int_{\partial M}h_\infty u^0\phi d\sigma_g + o(||\phi||_{H^1(M)})$$

from which follows that

$$dI_g^\nu(u_\nu)\phi = dI_g(\hat{u}_\nu)\phi - \int_{\partial M}\psi_\nu\phi d\sigma_g + o(||\phi||_{H^1(M)})\,, \tag{C-8}$$

where $\psi_\nu = |\hat{u}_\nu + u^0|^{\frac{2}{n-2}}(\hat{u}_\nu + u^0) - |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2}{n-2}}\hat{u}_\nu - |u^0|^{\frac{2}{n-2}}u^0$.

Next we observe that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\partial M}|\psi_\nu\phi|d\sigma_g \leq C\int_{\partial M}|\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2}{n-2}}||u^0|\phi|d\sigma_g + C\int_{\partial M}|u^0|^{\frac{2}{n-2}}||\hat{u}_\nu|\phi|d\sigma_g\,, \quad \text{for all } \nu\,,$$

and use Hölder's inequality and basic integration theory to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial M} |\psi_\nu \phi| d\sigma_g &\leq \left\{ \left\| |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2}{n-2}} u^0 \right\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} + \left\| |u^0|^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \hat{u}_\nu \right\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}(\partial M)} \right\} \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)} \\ &= o\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\partial M)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Then we can use this identity and the Sobolev embedding theorem in (C-8) to conclude that

$$dI_g^\nu(u_\nu)\phi = dI_g(\hat{u}_\nu)\phi + o(\|\phi\|_{H^1(M)}),$$

finishing the proof. ☐

Lemma C-5. *Let* $\{\hat{u}_\nu\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a Palais-Smale sequence for* I_g *such that* $\hat{u}_\nu \rightharpoonup 0$ *in* $H^1(M)$ *and* $I_g(\hat{u}_\nu) \to \beta$ *as* $\nu \to \infty$ *for some* $\beta < \dfrac{K_n^{-2(n-1)}}{2(n-1)}$. *Then* $\hat{u}_\nu \to 0$ *in* $H^1(M)$ *as* $\nu \to \infty$.

Proof. Since

$$\int_M |d\hat{u}_\nu|^2 dv_g - \int_{\partial M} |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_g = dI_g(\hat{u}_\nu) \cdot \hat{u}_\nu = o(\|\hat{u}_\nu\|_{H^1(M)})$$

and $\{\|\hat{u}_\nu\|_{H^1(M)}\}$ is uniformly bounded due to Lemma C-3, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta + o(1) = I_g(\hat{u}_\nu) &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_{\partial M} |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_g + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_M |d\hat{u}_\nu|_g^2 dv_g + o(1)\end{aligned} \tag{C-9}$$

which already implies $\beta \geq 0$. On the other hand, there exists $B = B(M, g) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\partial M} |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \leq K_n^2 \int_M |d\hat{u}_\nu|_g^2 dv_g + B \int_{\partial M} |\hat{u}_\nu|^2 d\sigma_g,$$

as proved by Li and Zhu in [32]. Since $H^1(M)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(\partial M)$, we have $\|\hat{u}_\nu\|_{L^2(\partial M)} \to 0$. Then we obtain

$$(2(n-1)\beta + o(1))^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \leq 2(n-1) K_n^2 \beta + o(1)$$

from which we conclude that either

$$\frac{K_n^{-2(n-1)}}{2(n-1)} \leq \beta + o(1)$$

or $\beta = 0$. Hence, our hypotheses imply $\beta = 0$, which using (C-9) finishes the proof. ☐

Let us define the functional

$$E(u)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}|du(y)|^2dy-\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}|u(y)|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}dy$$

for $u\in D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ and observe that $E(U_{\epsilon,a})=\dfrac{K_n^{-2(n-1)}}{2(n-1)}$ for any $a\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $\epsilon>0$.

Lemma C-6. *Let $\{\hat{u}_\nu\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for I_g. Suppose $\hat{u}_\nu\rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(M)$ but not strongly. Then there exist a sequence $\{R_\nu>0\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$, $R_\nu\to\infty$, a convergent sequence $\{x_\nu\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\partial M$ and a nontrivial solution $u\in D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ of*

$$\begin{cases}\Delta u=0\,, & in\ \mathbb{R}^n_+\,,\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}u-|u|^{\frac{2}{n-2}}u=0\,, & on\ \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+\end{cases}\tag{C-10}$$

such that, up to a subsequence, the following holds: If

$$\hat{v}_\nu(x)=\hat{u}_\nu(x)-\eta_\nu(x)R_\nu^{\frac{n-2}{2}}u(R_\nu\psi_{x_\nu}^{-1}(x))$$

then $\{\hat{v}_\nu\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for I_g satisfying $\hat{v}_\nu\rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(M)$ and

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\Big\{I_g(\hat{u}_\nu)-I_g(\hat{v}_\nu)\Big\}=E(u)\,.$$

Here, $\psi_{x_\nu}:B^+_{2r_0}(0)\subset\mathbb{R}^n_+\to M$ are Fermi coordinates centered at x_ν and $\eta_\nu(x)$ is a smooth cutoff function such that $\eta_\nu\equiv 1$ in $\psi_{x_\nu}(B^+_{r_0}(0))$ and $\eta_\nu\equiv 0$ in $M\backslash\psi_{x_\nu}(B^+_{2r_0}(0))$.

Proof. By the density of $C^\infty(M)$ in $H^1(M)$ we can assume that $\hat{u}_\nu\in C^\infty(M)$. We can also assume that $I_g(\hat{u}_\nu)\to\beta$ as $\nu\to\infty$ and, since $dI_g(\hat{u}_\nu)\to 0$ in $H^1(M)'$, we obtain

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\int_{\partial M}|\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_g=2(n-1)\beta\geq K_n^{-2(n-1)}$$

as in the proof of Lemma C-5. Hence, given $t_0>0$ small we can choose $x_0\in\partial M$ and $\lambda_0>0$ such that

$$\int_{D_{t_0}(x_0)}|\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_g\geq\lambda_0$$

up to a subsequence. Now we set

$$\mu_\nu(t)=\max_{x\in\partial M}\int_{D_t(x)}|\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_g$$

for $t>0$ and choose, for any $\lambda\in(0,\lambda_0)$, subsequences $\{t_\nu\}\subset(0,t_0)$ and $\{x_\nu\}\subset\partial M$ such that

$$\lambda=\mu_\nu(t_\nu)=\int_{D_{t_\nu}(x_\nu)}|\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}d\sigma_g\,.\tag{C-11}$$

We can also assume that x_ν converges. Now we choose $r_0 > 0$ small such that for any $x_0 \in \partial M$ the Fermi coordinates $\psi_{x_0}(z)$ centered at x_0 are defined for all $z \in B^+_{2r_0}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and satisfy

$$\frac{1}{2}|z - z'| \leq d_g(\psi_{x_0}(z), \psi_{x_0}(z')) \leq 2|z - z'|\,, \quad \text{for any } z, z' \in B^+_{r_0}(0)\,.$$

For each ν we consider Fermi coordinates

$$\psi_\nu = \psi_{x_\nu} : B^+_{2r_0}(0) \to M\,.$$

For any $R_\nu \geq 1$ and $y \in B^+_{R_\nu r_0}(0)$ we set

$$\tilde{u}_\nu(y) = R_\nu^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\,\hat{u}_\nu(\psi_\nu(R_\nu^{-1}y)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}_\nu(y) = (\psi_\nu^* g)(R_\nu^{-1}y)\,.$$

Let us consider $z \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $r > 0$ such that $|z| + r < R_\nu r_0$. Then we have

$$\int_{B^+_r(z)} |d\tilde{u}_\nu|^2_{\tilde{g}_\nu} dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu} = \int_{\psi_\nu(R_\nu^{-1}B^+_r(z))} |d\hat{u}_\nu|^2_g dv_g\,,$$

and, if in addiction $z \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial' B^+_r(z)} |\tilde{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde{g}_\nu} &= \int_{\psi_\nu(R_\nu^{-1}\partial' B^+_r(z))} |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_g \\ &\leq \int_{D_{2R_\nu^{-1}r}(\psi_\nu(R_\nu^{-1}z))} |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_g\,,\end{aligned} \tag{C-12}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\psi_\nu(R_\nu^{-1}\partial' B^+_r(z)) = \psi_\nu(\partial' B^+_{R_\nu^{-1}r}(R_\nu^{-1}z)) \subset D_{2R_\nu^{-1}r}(\psi_\nu(R_\nu^{-1}z))\,.$$

Given $r \in (0, r_0)$ we fix $t_0 \leq 2r$. Then, given any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ to be fixed later, we set $R_\nu = 2rt_\nu^{-1} \geq 2rt_0^{-1} \geq 1$. Then it follows from (C-11) and (C-12) that

$$\int_{\partial' B^+_r(z)} |\tilde{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde{g}_\nu} \leq \lambda\,. \tag{C-13}$$

Moreover, since $\psi_\nu(\partial' B^+_{2R_\nu^{-1}r}(0)) = D_{t_\nu}(x_\nu)$ we have

$$\int_{\partial' B^+_r(0)} |\tilde{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde{g}_\nu} = \int_{D_{t_\nu}(x_\nu)} |\hat{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_g = \lambda\,. \tag{C-14}$$

Choosing r_0 smaller if necessary, we can suppose that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |du|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |du|^2_{\tilde{g}_{x_0,R}} dv_{\tilde{g}_{x_0,R}} \leq 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |du|^2 dx \tag{C-15}$$

for any $R \geq 1$ and any $u \in D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(u) \subset B^+_{2r_0R}(0)$. Here, $\tilde{g}_{x_0,R}(y) = (\psi^*_{x_0}g)(R^{-1}y)$. We can also assume that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} |u|dx \leq \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} |u|d\sigma_{\tilde{g}_{x_0,R}} \leq 2\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} |u|dx \tag{C-16}$$

for all $u \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(u) \subset \partial' B^+_{2r_0R}(0)$.

Let $\tilde{\eta}$ be a smooth cutoff function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 \leq \tilde{\eta} \leq 1$, $\tilde{\eta}(z) = 1$ for $|z| \leq \frac{1}{4}$, and $\tilde{\eta}(z) = 0$ for $|z| \geq \frac{3}{4}$. We set $\tilde{\eta}_\nu(y) = \tilde{\eta}(r_0^{-1}R_\nu^{-1}y)$.

It is easy to check that $\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d(\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu)|^2_{\tilde{g}_\nu} dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded. Then the inequality (C-15) implies that $\{\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu\}$ is uniformly bounded in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ and we can assume that $\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ for some u.

Claim 1. Let us set $r_1 = \dfrac{r_0}{24}$. There exists $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(n)$ such that for any $0 < r < r_1$ and $0 < \lambda < \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_0\}$ we have

$$\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu \to u\,, \quad \text{in } H^1(B^+_{2Rr}(0))\,, \quad \text{as } \nu \to \infty\,,$$

for any $R \geq 1$ satisfying $R \leq R_\nu$ for all ν large.

Proof of Claim 1. We consider $r \in (0, r_1)$, $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and choose $z_0 \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ such that $|z_0| < 3(2R-1)r_1$. By Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_r^{2r} \liminf_{\nu\to\infty}\left\{\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho(z_0)} \left\{|d(\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu)|^2 + |\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu|^2\right\}d\sigma_\rho\right\}d\rho \\ \leq \liminf_{\nu\to\infty}\int_{B^+_{2r}(z_0)} \left\{|d(\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu)|^2 + |\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu|^2\right\}dx \leq C\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $d\sigma_\rho$ is the volume form on $\partial^+B^+_\rho(z_0)$ induced by the Euclidean metric. Thus there exists $\rho \in [r, 2r]$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\int_{\partial^+B^+_\rho(z_0)} \left\{|d(\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu)|^2 + |\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu|^2\right\}d\sigma_\rho \leq C\,, \quad \text{for all } \nu\,.$$

Hence, $\{||\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu||_{H^1(\partial^+B^+_\rho(z_0))}\}$ is uniformly bounded and, since the embedding

$$H^1(\partial^+B^+_\rho(z_0)) \subset H^{1/2}(\partial^+B^+_\rho(z_0))$$

is compact, we can assume that

$$\tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu \to u \ \text{ in } \ H^{1/2}(\partial^+B^+_\rho(z_0))\,, \quad \text{as } \nu \to \infty\,.$$

We set $\mathcal{A} = B^+_{3r}(z_0) - \overline{B^+_\rho(z_0)}$ and let $\{\phi_\nu\} \subset D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ be such that

$$\begin{cases}\phi_\nu = \tilde{\eta}_\nu\tilde{u}_\nu - u\,, & \text{in } B^+_{\rho+\epsilon}(z_0)\,,\\ \phi_\nu = 0\,, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+\backslash B^+_{3r-\epsilon}(z_0)\,,\end{cases}$$

with $\epsilon > 0$ small. Then

$$\|\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu - u\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial^+ B^+_\rho(z_0))} = \|\phi_\nu\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial^+ B^+_\rho(z_0))} \to 0\,, \quad \text{as } \nu \to \infty$$

and there exists $\{\phi^0_\nu\} \subset D^1(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$\|\phi_\nu + \phi^0_\nu\|_{H^1(\mathcal{A})} \leq C\|\phi_\nu\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial^+\mathcal{A})} = C\|\phi_\nu\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial^+ B^+_\rho(z_0))}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of ν. Here, $D^1(\mathcal{A})$ is the closure of $C^\infty_0(\mathcal{A})$ in $H^1(\mathcal{A})$ and we have set $\partial^+\mathcal{A} = \partial\mathcal{A} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n_+ \backslash \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ and $\partial'\mathcal{A} = \partial\mathcal{A} \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

The sequence of functions $\{\zeta_\nu = \phi_\nu + \phi^0_\nu\} \subset D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_\nu = \tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu - u\,, & \text{in } \overline{B^+_\rho(z_0)}\,, \\ \zeta_\nu = \phi_\nu + \phi^0_\nu\,, & \text{in } \overline{B^+_{3r}(z_0)} \backslash B^+_\rho(z_0)\,, \\ \zeta_\nu = 0\,, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+ \backslash B^+_{3r}(z_0)\,. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\zeta_\nu \to 0$ in $H^1(\mathcal{A})$. We set

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\nu(x) = R_\nu^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \zeta_\nu(R_\nu \psi_\nu^{-1}(x))\,, \quad \text{if } \ x \in \psi_\nu(B^+_{6r_1}(0))\,,$$

and $\tilde{\zeta}_\nu(x) = 0$, otherwise. Since we are assuming $|z_0| < 3(2R-1)r_1 \leq 3(2R_\nu - 1)r_1$ for all ν large, then $B^+_{3r}(z_0) \subset B^+_{6r_1 R_\nu}(0)$. Hence,

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\nu(x) = \begin{cases} R_\nu^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu - u)(R_\nu \psi_\nu^{-1}(x))\,, & \text{if } \ x \in \psi_\nu(R_\nu^{-1} \overline{B^+_\rho(z_0)})\,, \\ (\phi_\nu + \phi^0_\nu)(R_\nu \psi_\nu^{-1}(x))\,, & \text{if } \ x \in \psi_\nu\Big(R_\nu^{-1}(\overline{B^+_{3r}(z_0)} \backslash B^+_\rho(z_0))\Big)\,, \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{\zeta}_\nu(x) = 0$ otherwise, and

$$\begin{aligned} dI_g(\hat{u}_\nu) \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_\nu &= dI_g(\hat{\eta}_\nu \hat{u}_\nu) \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_\nu \qquad \text{(C-17)} \\ &= \int_{B^+_{3r}(z_0)} < d(\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu), d\zeta_\nu >_{\tilde{g}_\nu} \, dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu} - \int_{\partial' B^+_{3r}(z_0)} |\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2}{n-2}} (\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu) \zeta_\nu d\sigma_{\tilde{g}_\nu}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\eta}_\nu(x) = \tilde{\eta}(r_0^{-1} \psi_\nu^{-1}(x))$.

Since there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|\tilde{\zeta}_\nu\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C\|\zeta_\nu\|_{D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}$, the sequence $\{\tilde{\zeta}_\nu\}$ is uniformly bounded in $H^1(M)$. Hence,

$$dI_g(\hat{u}_\nu) \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_\nu \to 0 \quad \text{as } \ \nu \to \infty\,. \qquad \text{(C-18)}$$

Noting that $\zeta_\nu \to 0$ in $H^1(\mathcal{A})$ and $\zeta_\nu \rightharpoonup 0$ in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^+_{3r}(z_0)} < d(\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu), d\zeta_\nu >_{\tilde{g}_\nu} \, dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu} &= \int_{B^+_\rho(z_0)} < d(\zeta_\nu + u), d\zeta_\nu >_{\tilde{g}_\nu} \, dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu} + o(1) \qquad \text{(C-19)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2_{\tilde{g}_\nu} dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu} + o(1)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\partial' B^+_{3r}(z_0)} |\tilde\eta_\nu \tilde u_\nu|^{\frac{2}{n-2}} (\tilde\eta_\nu \tilde u_\nu)\zeta_\nu \, d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} |\zeta_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} + o(1)\,. \tag{C-20}$$

Using (C-17), (C-18), (C-19) and (C-20) we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2_{\tilde g_\nu} dv_{\tilde g_\nu} = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} |\zeta_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} + o(1)\,. \tag{C-21}$$

Using again the facts that $\zeta_\nu \to 0$ in $H^1(\mathcal{A})$ and $\zeta_\nu \rightharpoonup 0$ in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, we can apply the identity

$$\left| |\tilde\eta_\nu \tilde u_\nu - u|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} - |\tilde\eta_\nu \tilde u_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} + |u|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} \right| \leq C|u|^{\frac{n}{n-2}} |\tilde\eta_\nu \tilde u_\nu - u| + C|\tilde\eta_\nu \tilde u_\nu - u|^{\frac{n}{n-2}} |u|$$

to see that

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} |\zeta_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} = \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(z_0)} |\tilde\eta_\nu \tilde u_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} - \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(z_0)} |u|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} + o(1)\,.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} |\zeta_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} &\leq \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(z_0)} |\tilde\eta_\nu \tilde u_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\partial' B^+_\rho(z_0)} |\tilde u_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} + o(1)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C-22}$$

where we have used the fact that $\tilde\eta_\nu(z) = 1$ for all $z \in B^+_\rho(z_0)$.

If $N = N(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\partial' B^+_2(0)$ is covered by N discs $\partial' B^+_1(z)$ with center $z \in \partial' B^+_2(0)$, then we can choose points $z_i \in \partial' B^+_{2r}(z_0)$, $i = 1, ..., N$, satisfying

$$\partial' B^+_\rho(z_0) \subset \partial' B^+_{2r}(z_0) \subset \cup_{i=1}^N \partial' B^+_r(z_i)\,.$$

Hence, using (C-13), (C-21) and (C-22) we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2_{\tilde g_\nu} dv_{\tilde g_\nu} + o(1) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} |\zeta_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} \leq N\lambda + o(1)\,. \tag{C-23}$$

It follows from (C-15), (C-16) and the Sobolev inequality (C-5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} |\zeta_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde g_\nu} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} &\leq 2^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} |\zeta_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} K_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2 dx \leq 2^{1+\frac{n-2}{n-1}} K_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2_{\tilde g_\nu} dv_{\tilde g_\nu}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Then using (C-21) and (C-23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2_{\tilde{g}_\nu} dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu} &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} |\zeta_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde{g}_\nu} + o(1) \\ &\leq \left(2^{1+\frac{n-2}{n-1}} K_n^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2_{\tilde{g}_\nu} dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} + o(1) \\ &\leq 2^{1+\frac{n-1}{n-2}} K_n^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} (N\lambda + o(1))^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2_{\tilde{g}_\nu} dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu} + o(1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now we set $\lambda_1 = \dfrac{K_n^{-2(n-1)}}{2^{2n-3}N}$ and assume that $\lambda < \lambda_1$. Then $2^{1+\frac{n-1}{n-2}}(N\lambda)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} K_n^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} < 1$ and we conclude that

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |d\zeta_\nu|^2_{\tilde{g}_\nu} dv_{\tilde{g}_\nu} = 0\,.$$

Hence, $\zeta_\nu \to 0$ in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. Since $r \leq \rho$, we have

$$\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu \to u \quad \text{in } H^1(B^+_r(z_0))\,. \tag{C-24}$$

Now let us choose any $z_0 = ((z_0)^1, ..., (z_0)^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ satisfying $(z_0)^n > \dfrac{r}{2}$ and $|z_0| < 3(2R-1)r_1$. Using this choice of z_0 and $r' = \dfrac{r}{6}$ replacing r, the process above can be performed with some obvious modifications. In this case, we have $\partial' B^+_{3r'}(z_0) = \emptyset$ and the boundary integrals vanish. Hence, the equality (C-21) already implies that $\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu \to u$ in $H^1(B^+_{r'}(z_0))$.

If $N_1 = N_1(R, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N_2 = N_2(R, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that the halfball $B^+_{2R}(0)$ is covered by N_1 halfballs of radius 1 and center in $\partial' B^+_{2R}(0)$ plus N_2 balls of radius 1/6 and center in $\{z = (z^1, ..., z^n) \in' B^+_{2R}(0)\,;\, z^n > 1/2\}$, then the halfball $B^+_{2Rr}(0)$ is covered by N_1 halfballs of radius r and center in $\partial' B^+_{2Rr}(0)$ plus N_2 balls of radius $r/6$ and center in $\{z = (z^1, ..., z^n) \in' B^+_{2Rr}(0)\,;\, z^n > r/2\}$.

Hence, $\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu \to u$ in $H^1(B^+_{2Rr}(0))$, finishing the proof of Claim 1.

Using (C-14), (C-16) and Claim 1 with $R = 1$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda = \int_{\partial' B^+_r(0)} |\tilde{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde{g}_\nu} &= \int_{\partial' B^+_r(0)} |\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} d\sigma_{\tilde{g}_\nu} \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\partial' B^+_r(0)} |u|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} dx + o(1)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{C-25}$$

It follows that $u \not\equiv 0$, due to (C-5).

Claim 2. We have

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} R_\nu = \infty\,.$$

In particular, Claim 1 can be stated with any $R \geq 1$.

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose by contradiction that, up to a subsequence, $R_\nu \to R'$ as $\nu \to \infty$, for some $1 \leq R' < \infty$. Then, since $u_\nu \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(M)$, we have $\tilde{u}_\nu \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(B^+_{2r}(0))$. This contradicts the fact that

$$\tilde{u}_\nu \tilde{\eta}_\nu \to u \not\equiv 0\,, \quad \text{in } H^1(B^+_{2r}(0))\,,$$

which is obtained by applying Claim 1 with $R = 1$. This proves Claim 2.

The fact that u is a (weak) solution of (C-10) follows easily from the fact that $\{\hat{u}_\nu\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for I_g and $\tilde{\eta}_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu \to u$ in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$.

Now we set

$$V_\nu(x) = \eta_\nu(x) R_\nu^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u(R_\nu \psi^{-1}_{x_\nu}(x))$$

for $x \in \psi_{x_\nu}(B^+_{2r_0}(0))$ and 0 otherwise. The proof of the following claim is totally analogous to Step 3 on p.37 of [22] with some obvious modifications.

Claim 3. We have $\hat{u}_\nu - V_\nu \rightharpoonup 0$, as $\nu \to \infty$, in $H^1(M)$. Moreover,

$$dI_g(V_\nu) \to 0\,, \quad dI_g(\hat{u}_\nu - V_\nu) \to 0\,, \quad \text{as } \nu \to \infty\,,$$

strongly in $H^1(M)'$, and

$$I_g(\hat{u}_\nu) - I_g(\hat{u}_\nu - V_\nu) \to E(u)\,, \quad \text{as } \nu \to \infty\,.$$

We finally observe that if $r'_0 > 0$ is also sufficiently small then $|(\eta_\nu - \eta'_\nu)V_\nu| \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$, where η'_ν is a smooth cutoff function such that $\eta'_\nu \equiv 1$ in $\psi_{x_\nu}(B^+_{r'_0}(0))$ and $\eta'_\nu \equiv 0$ in $M \backslash \psi_{x_\nu}(B^+_{2r'_0}(0))$. Hence, the statement of Lemma C-6 holds for any $r_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, finishing the proof. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem C-2.

Proof of Theorem C-2. According to Lemma C-3, the Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_\nu\}$ for I^ν_g is uniformly bounded in $H^1(M)$. Hence, we can assume that $u_\nu \rightharpoonup u^0$ in $H^1(M)$, and $u_\nu \to u^0$ a.e in M, for some $0 \leq u^0 \in H^1(M)$. By Lemma C-4, u^0 is a solution to the equations (C-2). Moreover, $\hat{u}_\nu = u_\nu - u^0$ is Palais-Smale for I_g and satisfies

$$I_g(\hat{u}_\nu) = I^\nu_g(u_\nu) - I^\infty_g(u^0) + o(1)\,.$$

If $\hat{u}_\nu \to 0$ in $H^1(M)$, then the theorem is proved. If $\hat{u}_\nu \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(M)$ but not strongly, then we apply Lemma C-6 to obtain a new Palais-Smale sequence $\{\hat{u}^1_\nu\}$ satisfying

$$I_g(\hat{u}^1_\nu) \leq I_g(\hat{u}_\nu) - \beta^* + o(1) = I^\nu_g(u_\nu) - I^\infty_g(u^0) - \beta^* + o(1)\,,$$

where $\beta^* = \dfrac{K_n^{-2(n-1)}}{2(n-1)}$. The term β^* appears in the above inequality because $E(u) \geq \beta^*$ for any $u \in D^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ nontrivial solution to the equations (C-1). This can be seen using the Sobolev's inequality (C-5).

Now we again have either $\hat{u}^1_\nu \to 0$ in $H^1(M)$, in which case the theorem is proved, or we apply Lemma C-6 to obtain a new Palais-Smale sequence $\{\hat{u}^2_\nu\}$. The process follows by induction and stops by virtue of Lemma C-5, once we obtain a Palais-Smale sequence $\{\hat{u}^m_\nu\}$ with $I_g(\hat{u}^m_\nu)$ converging to some $\beta < \beta^*$.

We are now left with the proof of (C-6) and the fact that the U^j's obtained by the process above are of the form (C-4). To that end, we just need to follow the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [22], with some simple changes, to obtain the relation (C-6) and to prove that the U^j's are nonnegative.

For the regularity of the U^j's we can use Théorème 1 in Cherrier's paper [18]. We point out that although this theorem is established for compact manifolds we can use the conformal equivalence between $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $B^n\backslash\{\text{point}\}$ and a removable singularities theorem (see Lemma 2.7 on p.1821 of [4]) to apply Cherrier's theorem in B^n.

This finishes the proof of Theorem C-2. □

Acknowledgment. I am grateful to the hospitality of the Mathematics Department of the Imperial College London, where part of this work was written during the spring of 2012.

References

[1] Agmon, S., Douglis, A., Niremberg, L.: Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12**, 623-727 (1959)

[2] Almaraz, S.: A compactness theorem for scalar-flat metrics on manifolds with boundary. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **41**, 341-386 (2011)

[3] Almaraz, S.: An existence theorem of conformal scalar-flat metrics on manifolds with boundary. Pacific J. Math. **248**(1), 1-22 (2010)

[4] Almaraz, S.: Blow-up phenomena for scalar-flat metrics on manifolds with boundary, Journal of Differential Equations **251**, 1813-1840 (2011)

[5] Ambrosetti, A., Li, Y., Malchiodi, A.: On the Yamabe problem and the scalar curvature problem under boundary condtions. Math. Ann. **322**(4), 667-699 (2002)

[6] Aubin, T.: Équations différentielles non linéaires et probléme de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire. J. Math. Pures Appl. **55**, 269-296 (1976)

[7] Aubin, T.: Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer monographs in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1998)

[8] Bahri, A., Coron, J.: On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: The effect of the topology of the domain Comm. Pure Appl.Math. **41** 253-290 (1988)

[9] Beckner, W.: Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality. Ann. of Math. (2) **138**(1), 213242 (1993).

[10] Brezis, H., Coron, J.: Convergence of solutions of H-systems or how to blow bubbles. Archive Rat. Mech. Anal. **89**(1), 21-56 (1985)

[11] Brendle, S.: A generalization of the Yamabe flow for manifolds with boundary. Asian J. Math. **6**(4), 625-644 (2002)

[12] Brendle, S.: Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy. J. Differential Geom. **69**, 217-278 (2005)

[13] Brendle, S.: Convergence of the Yamabe flow in dimension 6 and higher. Invent. Math. **170**(3), 541-576 (2007).

[14] Brendle, S., Chen, S.: An existence theorem for the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary. arXiv:0908.4327v2

[15] Cao, D., Noussair, E. S., Yan, S.: Existence and nonexistence of interior-peaked solution for a nonlinear Neumann problem. Pacific J. Math. **200**(1), 19-41 (2001)

[16] Chabrowski, J., Girão, P.: Symmetric solutions of the Neumann problem involving a critical Sobolev exponent. Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, Journal of the Juliusz Schauder Center **19**, 1-27 (2002)

[17] Chen, S.,: Conformal Deformation to Scalar Flat Metrics with Constant Mean Curvature on the Boundary in Higher Dimensions. arXiv:0912.1302v2

[18] Cherrier, P.: Problèmes de Neumann non linéaires sur les variétés Riemannienes. J. Funct. Anal. **57**, 154-206 (1984)

[19] Chipot, M., Shafrir, I., Fila, M.: On the solutions to some elliptic equations with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. Adv. Differential Equations **1**(1), 91-110 (1996)

[20] Chow, B.: The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45**, 10031014 (1992)

[21] Dai, D.: Convergence of the Yamabe flow on manifolds with boundary. PhD Thesis, University of California, Santa Barbara, 2008.

[22] Druet, O., Hebey, E., Robert, F.: Blow-up Theory for Elliptic PDEs in Riemannian Geometry. Mathematical Notes. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2004)

[23] Escobar, J.: Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **37**(3), 687-698 (1988)

[24] Escobar, J.: Uniqueness theorems on conformal deformation of metrics, Sobolev inequalities, and an eigenvalue estimate. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **43**(7), 857-883 (1990)

[25] Escobar, J.: The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary. J. Differential Geom. **35**, 21-84 (1992)

[26] Escobar, J.: Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary. Ann. Math. **136**, 1-50 (1992)

[27] Escobar, J.: Conformal metrics with prescribed mean curvature on the boundary. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **4**, 559-592 (1996)

[28] Gilbarg, D. and Trudinger, N.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Springer monographs in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, (2001)

[29] Giraud, G.: Sur la problème de Dirichlet généralisé. Ann. Sci. Ècole Norm. Sup. (3) **46**, 131-145 (1929)

[30] Han, Z., Li, Y.: The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary: existence and compactness results. Duke Math. J. **99**(3), 489-542 (1999)

[31] Li, Y., Zhu, M.: Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres. Duke Math. J. **80**(2), 383-417 (1995)

[32] Li, Y., Zhu, M.: Sharp Sobolev trace inequalities on Riemannian manifolds with boundary. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50**, 449-487 (1997)

[33] Marques, F.: Existence results for the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54**(6), 1599-1620 (2005)

[34] Marques, F.: Conformal deformation to scalar flat metrics with constant mean curvature on the boundary. Comm. Anal. Geom. **15**(2), 381-405 (2007)

[35] Pierotti, D., Terracini, S.: On a Neumann problem with critical exponent and critical nonlinearity on the boundary. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20**, 1155-1187 (1995)

[36] Raulot, S.: Green functions for the Dirac operator under local boundary conditions and applications. Ann. Glob Anal. Geom. **39**, 337-359 (2011)

[37] Schoen, R.: Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature. J. Differential Geom. **20**, 479-495 (1984)

[38] Schoen, R.: Variational theory for the total scalar curvature functional for Riemannian metrics and related topics. Topics in Calculus of Variations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, v. 1365 (1989)

[39] R. Schoen, S.-T. Yau.: On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in General Relativity. Comm. Math. Phys., **65**, 45-76 (1979)

[40] Simon, L.: Asymptotics for a class of non-linear evolution equations with applications to geometric problems. Ann. of Math. **118** 525-571, (1983)

[41] Schwetlick, H. and Struwe, M.: Convergence of the Yamabe flow for large energies. J. Reine Angew. Math. **562**, 59-100 (2003)

[42] Sincich, E.: Stability and Reconstruction for the Determination of Boundary Terms by a Single Measurements. PhD Thesis, SISSA-ISAS, Trieste, 2005 (down-loadable at http:// www.sissa.it/library/)

[43] Sincich, E.: Stability for the determination of unknown boundary and impedance with a Robin boundary condition. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **42**(6), 2922-2943 (2010)

[44] Struwe, M.: A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities. Math. Z. **187**, 511517 (1984)

[45] Trudinger, N.: Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of a Riemannian structure on compact manifolds. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) **22**, 265-274 (1968)

[46] Witten, E.: A new proof of the positive energy theorem. Comm. Math. Phys. **80**, 381-402 (1981)

[47] Yamabe, H.: On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds. Osaka Math. J. **12**, 21-37 (1960)

[48] Ye, R.: Global existence and convergence of the Yamabe flow. J. Differential Geom. **39** 3550 (1994)

Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal Fluminense
Niterói - RJ, Brazil
almaraz@vm.uff.br